In Re:

*DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLAW BERLIN BIOPOWER* 

> DAY 2 - PUBLIC HEARING January 25, 2011

SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, LCR 44

Original File 012511DE10195DAY2PUBLIC.txt Min-U-Script® with Word Index

				_
				Page 3
1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	1	INDEX	
2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	2	P	PAGE
3		3	DISCUSSION RE: Technical Session and procedural issues	4
4	January 25, 2011 - 12:09 p.m. DAY 2 Concord, New Hampshire PUBLIC HEARING	4	* * * * * * * * *	
5		5	WITNESS PANEL: Gary Long	
6	RE: DE 10-195 PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF NEW HAMPSHIRE:	6	Richard C. Labrecque Terrance Large	
7	Petition for Approval of Power Purchase Agreement between PSNH and Laidlaw Berlin	7	Lisa Shapiro	
8	Biopower, LLC.	8	CROSS-EXAMINATION P	PAGE
9		9		40 71
10	PRESENT: Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding Commissioner Clifton C. Below	10	PUBLIC PORTION RESUMED	83 85
11	Commissioner Amy L. Ignatius	11	MS. AMICON	05
12	Sandy Deno, Clerk	12	EXHIBITS P	PAGE
13	APPEARANCES: Reptg. Public Service Co. of N.H.: Robert A. Bersak, Esq.	13		112
14		14	(Reserved) What authority does the seller have to cause other	
15	Reptg. City of Berlin: Christopher Boldt, Esq.(Donahue, Tucker)	15	parties to comply with the right of first refusal?	
16	Keriann Roman, Esq. (Donahue, Tucker)	16		
17	Reptg. Bridgewater Power, Pinetree Power, Pinetree Power-Tamworth, DG Whitefield Power, Springfield Power, and Indeck	17	PSNH 12 Record Request by PSNH: Whether the Commission	116
18	Energy-Alexanderia: David J. Shulock, Esq. (Brown, Olson)	18	(Reserved) approval of the PPA and this section in particular would	
19	David K. Wiesner, Esq. (Brown, Olson)	19	preclude the Commission from exercising any statutory	
20	Reptg. Clean Power Development:	20	authority to modify any order related to this PPA	rs
21	James T. Rodier, Esq.	21	Telated to this FFA	
22	Reptg. Edrest Properties, LLC: Jonathan Edwards	22		122
23		23	Is it PSNH's position that (Reserved) it needs or does not need	- 0
24	COURT REPORTER: Susan J. Robidas, LCR NO. 44	24	approval from this Commission	17
	Page 2			Page 4
1	APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)			Ũ
		-		
2	Reptg. OCA:	1	PROCEEDINGS	_
2	Reptg. OCA: Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate	2	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good af	
		2 3	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good af Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. I	I think I
3	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff:	2 3 4	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good af Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. I have all my papers straight. I understand t	I think I there's
3 4	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq.	2 3 4 5	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good af Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. I have all my papers straight. I understand t been some discussions this morning with res	I think I there's pect to
3 4 5	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good af Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. I have all my papers straight. I understand t been some discussions this morning with resp our request that the parties undertake some	I think I there's pect to review
3 4 5 6	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good af Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. I have all my papers straight. I understand t been some discussions this morning with resp our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma	I think I there's pect to review nterials.
3 4 5 6 7	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. I have all my papers straight. I understand t been some discussions this morning with resp our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have something	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing?
3 4 5 6 7 8	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good af Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. I have all my papers straight. I understand t been some discussions this morning with resp our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethin MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairman	I think I there's pect to review aterials. ing? an.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. I have all my papers straight. I understand t been some discussions this morning with resp our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethin MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairma Pursuant to the court's instructions and the	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aft Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. It have all my papers straight. I understand t been some discussions this morning with resp our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethic MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairman Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatment	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order nent
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good af Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. I have all my papers straight. I understand t been some discussions this morning with resp our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethi MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairma Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatm yesterday, we have brought in earlier this ma	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order nent orning,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. I have all my papers straight. I understand t been some discussions this morning with resp our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethic MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairma Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatmy yesterday, we have brought in earlier this mo at the 8:30 time, 20 copies of both Ventyx	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order nent orning, and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aft Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. It have all my papers straight. I understand t been some discussions this morning with resp our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethic MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairman Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatmy yesterday, we have brought in earlier this mo at the 8:30 time, 20 copies of both Ventyx Northern Energy reports. Three of those copies	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order nent orning, and es went
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. If have all my papers straight. I understand the been some discussions this morning with response our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethic MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairman Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatmy yesterday, we have brought in earlier this mo at the 8:30 time, 20 copies of both Ventyx Northern Energy reports. Three of those copies up to you. We understand that and we have	I think I there's pect to review therials. ing? an. order nent orning, and es went offered
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. If have all my papers straight. I understand the been some discussions this morning with response our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethic MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairman Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatme yesterday, we have brought in earlier this me at the 8:30 time, 20 copies of both Ventyx Northern Energy reports. Three of those copies up to you. We understand that and we have a receipt that basically tracks the PUC rules.	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order nent orning, and es went offered These
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. I have all my papers straight. I understand t been some discussions this morning with resp our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethic MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairma Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatm yesterday, we have brought in earlier this me at the 8:30 time, 20 copies of both Ventyx Northern Energy reports. Three of those copie up to you. We understand that and we have a receipt that basically tracks the PUC rules. materials will be kept confidential by the p	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order nent orning, and es went offered These parties.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. If have all my papers straight. I understand the been some discussions this morning with response our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery mandard Mr. Boldt, you have somethin MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairman Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatmy yesterday, we have brought in earlier this mondart the 8:30 time, 20 copies of both Ventyx Northern Energy reports. Three of those copies up to you. We understand that and we have a receipt that basically tracks the PUC rules. materials will be kept confidential by the p They'll be used in this case. They will not	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order nent orning, and es went offered These parties. be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. If have all my papers straight. I understand the been some discussions this morning with response our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethic MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairman Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatmy yesterday, we have brought in earlier this mo at the 8:30 time, 20 copies of both Ventyx Northern Energy reports. Three of those copie up to you. We understand that and we have a receipt that basically tracks the PUC rules. materials will be kept confidential by the p They'll be used in this case. They will not disseminated beyond the parties; and that it	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order nent orning, and es went offered These parties. be t is one
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. If have all my papers straight. I understand the been some discussions this morning with response our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethic MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairman Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatmer yesterday, we have brought in earlier this me at the 8:30 time, 20 copies of both Ventyx Northern Energy reports. Three of those copies up to you. We understand that and we have a receipt that basically tracks the PUC rules. materials will be kept confidential by the p They'll be used in this case. They will not disseminated beyond the parties; and that it where, when this matter is over and the appendict.	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order nent orning, and es went offered These parties. be t is one eals are
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. If have all my papers straight. I understand the been some discussions this morning with response our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethic MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairman Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatm yesterday, we have brought in earlier this mo at the 8:30 time, 20 copies of both Ventyx Northern Energy reports. Three of those copies up to you. We understand that and we have a receipt that basically tracks the PUC rules. materials will be kept confidential by the p They'll be used in this case. They will not disseminated beyond the parties; and that it where, when this matter is over and the appendone, the copies come back to us. In that w	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order nent orning, and es went offered These parties. be t is one eals are yay, we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. If have all my papers straight. I understand the been some discussions this morning with response our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethic MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairman Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatment yesterday, we have brought in earlier this mo at the 8:30 time, 20 copies of both Ventyx Northern Energy reports. Three of those copies up to you. We understand that and we have a receipt that basically tracks the PUC rules. materials will be kept confidential by the p They'll be used in this case. They will not disseminated beyond the parties; and that it where, when this matter is over and the append done, the copies come back to us. In that w believe we are protecting the confidentialit	I think I there's pect to review therials. ing? an. order nent orning, and es went offered These parties. be t is one eals are yay, we ty
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. If have all my papers straight. I understand the been some discussions this morning with response our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethin MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairma Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatmy yesterday, we have brought in earlier this mo at the 8:30 time, 20 copies of both Ventyx Northern Energy reports. Three of those copie up to you. We understand that and we have a receipt that basically tracks the PUC rules. materials will be kept confidential by the p They'll be used in this case. They will not disseminated beyond the parties; and that it where, when this matter is over and the apper done, the copies come back to us. In that w believe we are protecting the confidentialit honoring the confidentiality order and protect	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order nent orning, and es went offered These parties. be t is one eals are yay, we ty ing the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq. Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate Reptg. Staff: Suzanne G. Amidon, Esq. Edward N. Damon, Esq. Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Div.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good aff Reopening the hearing in Docket DE 10-195. If have all my papers straight. I understand the been some discussions this morning with response our request that the parties undertake some through a technical session of discovery ma And Mr. Boldt, you have somethic MR. BOLDT: Yes, Mr. Chairman Pursuant to the court's instructions and the granting our motion for confidential treatment yesterday, we have brought in earlier this mo at the 8:30 time, 20 copies of both Ventyx Northern Energy reports. Three of those copies up to you. We understand that and we have a receipt that basically tracks the PUC rules. materials will be kept confidential by the p They'll be used in this case. They will not disseminated beyond the parties; and that it where, when this matter is over and the append done, the copies come back to us. In that w believe we are protecting the confidentialit	I think I there's pect to review tterials. ing? an. order nent orning, and es went offered These parties. be t is one eals are yay, we ty ing the

	DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLA	AW DI	
	Page 5		Page 7
1	City's witness. We're not here to make things	1	located in Alexandria. There are six that are
2	difficult. We are trying to very hard work through	2	scattered throughout the state. Mr. Sansoucy's
3	this issue that was first raised in our motion on	3	company evaluates them for those municipalities for
4	January 11th. There is	4	tax purposes. And it is of import that material not
5	MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me address	5	be disseminated out beyond the purposes of this
6	the status of confidentiality while we're on this	6	case "this case" being this PUC docket. We don't
7	topic.	7	want this material sent out into the world, so to
	So, because this morning we were	8	speak, because that will violate the copyright. And
8	finally given a copy of the Ventyx and the Energy	9	if there's a violation of copyright remember, we
9	Solutions documents, I think	9 10	gave the strong language as an exhibit to our motion
10	MR. BOLDT: Yes, those are those		
11		11	to compel.
12	three.	12	MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, I understand
13	MR. CHAIRMAN: As I indicated	13	MR. BOLDT: If those materials were
14	yesterday, we had not previously had the opportunity	14	yanked from Mr. Sansoucy, then his business abilities
15	for in camera review, but it sounded like the	15	will be severely impaired. So we're trying to strike
16	material was of a nature that might be susceptible to	16	a balance of issues. Give the materials to the
17	a protective treatment. And I also pointed out that	17	parties, but acknowledge
18	under by operation of our rules, the filing of	18	MR. CHAIRMAN: I'm just trying to
19	such material, had it been filed on January 11th, the	19	follow. I understand the copyright argument. But I
20	date of the motion for confidentiality, would have	20	thought you were going down the path of some kind of
21	been treated in confidence. And so, having said that	21	competitive information argument, that somehow, if
22	now, and having had the opportunity for in camera	22	Mr. Shulock's clients have them, have the material,
23	review, we're prepared to confirm that they shall be	23	there's some harm that might happen other than the
24	treated in confidence. I also noted yesterday that	24	copy violation of the copyright.
	Dava 6		Dana 0
	Page 6		Page 8
1	they should be distributed to all of the parties and	1	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright
1 2	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the	1 2	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material
	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order.		MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to
2	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the	2	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion
2 3	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order.	2 3	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to
2 3 4	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this	2 3 4	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion
2 3 4 5	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by	2 3 4 5	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files.
2 3 4 5 6	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of	2 3 4 5 6	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's
2 3 4 5 6 7	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather. Mr. Shulock and I have had several	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather. Mr. Shulock and I have had several conversations. He's not willing or able to sign off on the receipt that says don't make copies and disseminate them. So we are concerned and do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't addressed the files yet or made any ruling on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather. Mr. Shulock and I have had several conversations. He's not willing or able to sign off on the receipt that says don't make copies and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't addressed the files yet or made any ruling on the files.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather. Mr. Shulock and I have had several conversations. He's not willing or able to sign off on the receipt that says don't make copies and disseminate them. So we are concerned and do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't addressed the files yet or made any ruling on the files. MR. BOLDT: And at this point in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather. Mr. Shulock and I have had several conversations. He's not willing or able to sign off on the receipt that says don't make copies and disseminate them. So we are concerned and do not feel that we can provide copies to IPP. We have no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't addressed the files yet or made any ruling on the files. MR. BOLDT: And at this point in time, my discussion is on the Ventyx and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather. Mr. Shulock and I have had several conversations. He's not willing or able to sign off on the receipt that says don't make copies and disseminate them. So we are concerned and do not feel that we can provide copies to IPP. We have no problem tendering copies to Staff. The Staff the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't addressed the files yet or made any ruling on the files. MR. BOLDT: And at this point in time, my discussion is on the Ventyx and the Northern. So we are concerned with copies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather. Mr. Shulock and I have had several conversations. He's not willing or able to sign off on the receipt that says don't make copies and disseminate them. So we are concerned and do not feel that we can provide copies to IPP. We have no problem tendering copies to Staff. The Staff the attorney for OCA is fine with the receipt. She and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't addressed the files yet or made any ruling on the files. MR. BOLDT: And at this point in time, my discussion is on the Ventyx and the Northern. So we are concerned with copies primarily the Ventyx. The Northern is not nearly as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather. Mr. Shulock and I have had several conversations. He's not willing or able to sign off on the receipt that says don't make copies and disseminate them. So we are concerned and do not feel that we can provide copies to IPP. We have no problem tendering copies to Staff. The Staff the attorney for OCA is fine with the receipt. She and I worked on the language, and she is fine with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't addressed the files yet or made any ruling on the files. MR. BOLDT: And at this point in time, my discussion is on the Ventyx and the Northern. So we are concerned with copies primarily the Ventyx. The Northern is not nearly as harsh. And we said to Mr. Shulock we can provide the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather. Mr. Shulock and I have had several conversations. He's not willing or able to sign off on the receipt that says don't make copies and disseminate them. So we are concerned and do not feel that we can provide copies to IPP. We have no problem tendering copies to Staff. The Staff the attorney for OCA is fine with the receipt. She and I worked on the language, and she is fine with that. So we are fine in providing them to her.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't addressed the files yet or made any ruling on the files. MR. BOLDT: And at this point in time, my discussion is on the Ventyx and the Northern. So we are concerned with copies primarily the Ventyx. The Northern is not nearly as harsh. And we said to Mr. Shulock we can provide the Northern. That is the smaller of the two which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather. Mr. Shulock and I have had several conversations. He's not willing or able to sign off on the receipt that says don't make copies and disseminate them. So we are concerned and do not feel that we can provide copies to IPP. We have no problem tendering copies to Staff. The Staff the attorney for OCA is fine with the receipt. She and I worked on the language, and she is fine with that. So we are fine in providing them to her. MR. CHAIRMAN: Could you tell me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't addressed the files yet or made any ruling on the files. MR. BOLDT: And at this point in time, my discussion is on the Ventyx and the Northern. So we are concerned with copies primarily the Ventyx. The Northern is not nearly as harsh. And we said to Mr. Shulock we can provide the Northern. That is the smaller of the two which you've been provided. You have obtained copies this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather. Mr. Shulock and I have had several conversations. He's not willing or able to sign off on the receipt that says don't make copies and disseminate them. So we are concerned and do not feel that we can provide copies to IPP. We have no problem tendering copies to Staff. The Staff the attorney for OCA is fine with the receipt. She and I worked on the language, and she is fine with that. So we are fine in providing them to her. MR. CHAIRMAN: Could you tell me what this I'm not following this whole notion of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't addressed the files yet or made any ruling on the files. MR. BOLDT: And at this point in time, my discussion is on the Ventyx and the Northern. So we are concerned with copies primarily the Ventyx. The Northern is not nearly as harsh. And we said to Mr. Shulock we can provide the Northern. That is the smaller of the two which you've been provided. You have obtained copies this morning. But Ventyx has a very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they should be distributed to all of the parties and that all the parties would be subject to the constraints of a protective order. MR. BOLDT: The one concern in this mix, Mr. Chairman, is the treatment of the IPPs. The IPPs are private citizens frankly, a group of private citizens that are also tax-evaluated by Mr. Sansoucy's company in the various municipalities that they reside where their plants are in residence, rather. Mr. Shulock and I have had several conversations. He's not willing or able to sign off on the receipt that says don't make copies and disseminate them. So we are concerned and do not feel that we can provide copies to IPP. We have no problem tendering copies to Staff. The Staff the attorney for OCA is fine with the receipt. She and I worked on the language, and she is fine with that. So we are fine in providing them to her. MR. CHAIRMAN: Could you tell me what this I'm not following this whole notion of these entities or tax-evaluated clients.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BOLDT: Primarily the copyright material MS. HATFIELD: Can I I'm sorry to interrupt. But just to go back to the City's motion for confidential treatment covers three things: The Ventyx study, the Energy Solutions outlook information, and then the Sansoucy company files. And I'm wondering if Attorney Boldt, when he's referring to this business impact, if he's perhaps referring to the Sansoucy company files. MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, and we haven't addressed the files yet or made any ruling on the files. MR. BOLDT: And at this point in time, my discussion is on the Ventyx and the Northern. So we are concerned with copies primarily the Ventyx. The Northern is not nearly as harsh. And we said to Mr. Shulock we can provide the Northern. That is the smaller of the two which you've been provided. You have obtained copies this morning. But Ventyx has a very MR. CHAIRMAN: Northern, meaning the

	DE 10-195 P5NH/LAIDLA	111 21	
	Page 9		Page 11
1	So it's the Ventyx materials that we	1	as expeditiously as possible in that matter.
2	are most concerned with. In light of the fact that	2	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. I
3	both Staff and OCA are subject to the confidentiality	3	want to hear from Mr well, is there anything else
4	and have no issues with the return of the copies,	4	before I hear from Mr. Shulock?
5	meaningful cross can be occurring for this	5	(No verbal response)
6	Commission. We think that, in the balance of	6	MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Shulock.
	interests, that this is a solution that all can then	-	MR. SHULOCK: My original objection to
7	-	7	the use of these materials stands. I believe that
8	address.	8	
9	Also, we have we will make Mr.	9	the parties haven't had adequate time to review them,
10	Sansoucy available for another technical session	10	even if they are provided. Under this agreement that
11	where questions can be asked of him concerning these	11	Mr. Boldt has offered, there are some logistical
12	newly available materials, either this afternoon,	12	problems. He has agreed to provide me with one copy
13	tomorrow, the 1st, something along those lines, so	13	of the Ventyx materials, which I may not further
14	that as much as possible we are meeting in the	14	copy, although I can show it to my clients, all
15	middle, to the degree we can.	15	right. So I would have to that means I can't fax
16	And so we ask this body's	16	it, I can't e-mail it, I can't duplicate it in any
17	consideration of our concerns and hope that it will	17	way. I would either have to sit on the phone and
18	recognize that this is a reasonable solution to the	18	describe it with clients or have them come to Concord
19	situation.	19	to review the materials and to work with it. That's
20	MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me understand	20	an extremely cumbersome process. My clients did join
21	exactly what arrangement you want to have with Mr.	21	together to try to make the process a little easier
22	Shulock. And I need to hear Mr. Shulock's response.	22	for everyone, so that six people had one attorney
23	MR. BOLDT: Certainly. Late last	23	representing them. But you have to understand that
24	night, with then some verbiage changes with Ms.	24	for the attorney, that creates incredible logistical
	Page 10		Page 12
1	-	1	-
1	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges	1	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly.
2	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential	2	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of
2 3	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature	2 3	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together
2 3 4	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such	2 3 4	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a
2 3 4 5	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute	2 3 4 5	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you
2 3 4 5 6	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to	2 3 4 5 6	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this
2 3 4 5 6 7	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the	2 3 4 5 6 7	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms. MR. CHAIRMAN: But you would give him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to this problem, short of sending copies to everybody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms. MR. CHAIRMAN: But you would give him one copy?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to this problem, short of sending copies to everybody who may not do anything with them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms. MR. CHAIRMAN: But you would give him one copy? MR. BOLDT: We would give him a copy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to this problem, short of sending copies to everybody who may not do anything with them? MR. SHULOCK: With this information, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms. MR. CHAIRMAN: But you would give him one copy? MR. BOLDT: We would give him a copy. He's fine to meet with his client or clients to go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to this problem, short of sending copies to everybody who may not do anything with them? MR. SHULOCK: With this information, I believe I would have to work with probably at least
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms. MR. CHAIRMAN: But you would give him one copy? MR. BOLDT: We would give him a copy. He's fine to meet with his client or clients to go over how to do they review how do they want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to this problem, short of sending copies to everybody who may not do anything with them? MR. SHULOCK: With this information, I believe I would have to work with probably at least half of the clients, unless the other clients were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms. MR. CHAIRMAN: But you would give him one copy? MR. BOLDT: We would give him a copy. He's fine to meet with his client or clients to go over how to do they review how do they want to deal with the materials. I understand that some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to this problem, short of sending copies to everybody who may not do anything with them? MR. SHULOCK: With this information, I believe I would have to work with probably at least half of the clients, unless the other clients were willing to let me work with just one. That I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms. MR. CHAIRMAN: But you would give him one copy? MR. BOLDT: We would give him a copy. He's fine to meet with his client or clients to go over how to do they review how do they want to deal with the materials. I understand that some of his principals are not in state, they are out. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to this problem, short of sending copies to everybody who may not do anything with them? MR. SHULOCK: With this information, I believe I would have to work with probably at least half of the clients, unless the other clients were willing to let me work with just one. That I would have to work out outside of the room. And I can't,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms. MR. CHAIRMAN: But you would give him one copy? MR. BOLDT: We would give him a copy. He's fine to meet with his client or clients to go over how to do they review how do they want to deal with the materials. I understand that some of his principals are not in state, they are out. And I understand that that's a logistical issue. However,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to this problem, short of sending copies to everybody who may not do anything with them? MR. SHULOCK: With this information, I believe I would have to work with probably at least half of the clients, unless the other clients were willing to let me work with just one. That I would have to work out outside of the room. And I can't, sitting here, say how that would work.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms. MR. CHAIRMAN: But you would give him one copy? MR. BOLDT: We would give him a copy. He's fine to meet with his client or clients to go over how to do they review how do they want to deal with the materials. I understand that some of his principals are not in state, they are out. And I understand that that's a logistical issue. However, in the interest of balancing competing needs, we feel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to this problem, short of sending copies to everybody who may not do anything with them? MR. SHULOCK: With this information, I believe I would have to work with probably at least half of the clients, unless the other clients were willing to let me work with just one. That I would have to work out outside of the room. And I can't, sitting here, say how that would work. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms. MR. CHAIRMAN: But you would give him one copy? MR. BOLDT: We would give him a copy. He's fine to meet with his client or clients to go over how to do they review how do they want to deal with the materials. I understand that some of his principals are not in state, they are out. And I understand that that's a logistical issue. However, in the interest of balancing competing needs, we feel that is a reasonable solution. We also have agreed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to this problem, short of sending copies to everybody who may not do anything with them? MR. SHULOCK: With this information, I believe I would have to work with probably at least half of the clients, unless the other clients were willing to let me work with just one. That I would have to work out outside of the room. And I can't, sitting here, say how that would work. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BOLDT: There's six separate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms. MR. CHAIRMAN: But you would give him one copy? MR. BOLDT: We would give him a copy. He's fine to meet with his client or clients to go over how to do they review how do they want to deal with the materials. I understand that some of his principals are not in state, they are out. And I understand that that's a logistical issue. However, in the interest of balancing competing needs, we feel that is a reasonable solution. We also have agreed to give multiple copies to Staff, multiple copies to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to this problem, short of sending copies to everybody who may not do anything with them? MR. SHULOCK: With this information, I believe I would have to work with probably at least half of the clients, unless the other clients were willing to let me work with just one. That I would have to work out outside of the room. And I can't, sitting here, say how that would work. MR. BOLDT: There's six separate clients. They all have their own interests and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Hatfield this morning in essence, it acknowledges this body's grant of our motion for confidential treatment, in that the party and the signature line for them the attorneys agree to keep such information confidential at all times, not distribute copies of the materials to parties not authorized to receive, to use the materials only in the above-referenced matter meaning this case, this docket and at the conclusion of the hearing in the above docket, and any related appeals, to immediately return all copies to me. That's what we're asking to be the terms. MR. CHAIRMAN: But you would give him one copy? MR. BOLDT: We would give him a copy. He's fine to meet with his client or clients to go over how to do they review how do they want to deal with the materials. I understand that some of his principals are not in state, they are out. And I understand that that's a logistical issue. However, in the interest of balancing competing needs, we feel that is a reasonable solution. We also have agreed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	problems for doing things on the fly and quickly. It's very hard to find the time when I can get all of those clients together MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me ask a practical question. And I guess it goes to how you prepared your cross-examination, discovery in this case, and how an attorney deals with multiple clients. I mean, do you need all of your clients to review this to help you conduct discovery? Would you typically have one or some subset to help you? Or do you do your discovery on your own? I mean, I'm just trying to figure out is there a practical solution to this problem, short of sending copies to everybody who may not do anything with them? MR. SHULOCK: With this information, I believe I would have to work with probably at least half of the clients, unless the other clients were willing to let me work with just one. That I would have to work out outside of the room. And I can't, sitting here, say how that would work. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BOLDT: There's six separate

	DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLA		
	Page 13		Page 15
1	MR. CHAIRMAN: I understand. So did	1	and we are willing to give the materials now. We're
2	you have anything else, then, on this?	2	willing to have our expert appear for a technical
3	MR. SHULOCK: On the Ventyx, no.	3	session on it at the convenience of the parties
	MR. CHAIRMAN: All right. Ms.	4	immediately. And I must say that IPP has not filed
4	Hatfield, did you have something more on this?		testimony in this case and no expert. Had they had
5	MS. HATFIELD: Well, I'm afraid it's	5	an expert, that expert could sit with Mr. Shulock in
6	repetitive, but I'd like to say it again if I could.	6	
7	· · ·	7	this room and go over this material within 20
8	It is Day 2 of the hearing, and it's 12:20, by my watch, and the OCA still has not received information	8	minutes.
9		9	So we ask, respectfully, that this
10	that Berlin should have filed on January 11th. And	10	claim of we are slowing this process down we're
11	I'm afraid, because we know time is of the essence in	11	trying to protect the City's rights. This is of
12	this case we've heard it from Laidlaw before they	12	prime importance to the City of Berlin, that the
13	withdrew, and it's been mentioned several times, that	13	proper information come before this board. We're not
14	this delay is really not going to be useful, and it	14	trying to slow this down. We're trying to speed it
15	may not lead to information that's useful for the	15	up as quickly as possible. And I will do have a
16	Commission making its decision. So I would suggest	16	request to reconsider the motion to strike. And at
17	that the Commission reconsider whether it's holding	17	the proper time, I'm fine to take five minutes to go
18	Paragraph 12, Section E of the OCA's motion in	18	through Mr. McCluskey's testimony and Mr. Frantz's
19	abeyance at this time and, instead, just strike the	19	testimony and show why Mr. Sansoucy's testimony
20	information from Mr. Sansoucy's testimony that	20	should be considered rebuttal.
21	references this material, because this really is just	21	MR. CHAIRMAN: Yeah, I appreciate, Mr.
22	getting to the point of just being ridiculous.	22	Boldt, that your motives are good here, and certainly
23	And I actually did have a few other	23	that you are in favor of expediting the process and
24	things that I needed to address in my motion that	24	providing the information in a reasonable way. But
	Page 14		Page 16
	Page 14		Page 16
1	have been brought to my attention when it's the	1	there have been some issues that we never got the
2	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time.	2	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us
2 3	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr.	2 3	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules
2 3 4	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem	2 3 4	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact
2 3 4 5	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to	2 3 4 5	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data
2 3 4 5 6	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are	2 3 4 5 6	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made
2 3 4 5 6 7	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This	2 3 4 5 6 7	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for MR. CHAIRMAN: Provided what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want to make sure we don't overlook the Sansoucy files in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for MR. CHAIRMAN: Provided what information?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want to make sure we don't overlook the Sansoucy files in this conversation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for MR. CHAIRMAN: Provided what information? MR. BOLDT: The summary information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want to make sure we don't overlook the Sansoucy files in this conversation. MS. HATFIELD: And actually, Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for MR. CHAIRMAN: Provided what information? MR. BOLDT: The summary information that's attached to our motion for confidential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want to make sure we don't overlook the Sansoucy files in this conversation. MS. HATFIELD: And actually, Mr. Chairman, those are I don't believe they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for MR. CHAIRMAN: Provided what information? MR. BOLDT: The summary information that's attached to our motion for confidential treatment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want to make sure we don't overlook the Sansoucy files in this conversation. MS. HATFIELD: And actually, Mr. Chairman, those are I don't believe they're directly raised by my motion. But if you look at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for MR. CHAIRMAN: Provided what information? MR. BOLDT: The summary information that's attached to our motion for confidential treatment. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. But not the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want to make sure we don't overlook the Sansoucy files in this conversation. MS. HATFIELD: And actually, Mr. Chairman, those are I don't believe they're directly raised by my motion. But if you look at the City's January 11th motion for confidential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for MR. CHAIRMAN: Provided what information? MR. BOLDT: The summary information that's attached to our motion for confidential treatment. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. But not the documents.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want to make sure we don't overlook the Sansoucy files in this conversation. MS. HATFIELD: And actually, Mr. Chairman, those are I don't believe they're directly raised by my motion. But if you look at the City's January 11th motion for confidential treatment, attached to that are the IPP data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for MR. CHAIRMAN: Provided what information? MR. BOLDT: The summary information that's attached to our motion for confidential treatment. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. But not the documents. MR. BOLDT: But not the documents,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want to make sure we don't overlook the Sansoucy files in this conversation. MS. HATFIELD: And actually, Mr. Chairman, those are I don't believe they're directly raised by my motion. But if you look at the City's January 11th motion for confidential treatment, attached to that are the IPP data requests. And it's Data Request 1-3 on page I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for MR. CHAIRMAN: Provided what information? MR. BOLDT: The summary information that's attached to our motion for confidential treatment. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. But not the documents. MR. BOLDT: But not the documents, pursuant to this provision of the rules. And there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want to make sure we don't overlook the Sansoucy files in this conversation. MS. HATFIELD: And actually, Mr. Chairman, those are I don't believe they're directly raised by my motion. But if you look at the City's January 11th motion for confidential treatment, attached to that are the IPP data requests. And it's Data Request 1-3 on page I believe it's Page 8 of 10. That's where there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for MR. CHAIRMAN: Provided what information? MR. BOLDT: The summary information that's attached to our motion for confidential treatment. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. But not the documents. MR. BOLDT: But not the documents, pursuant to this provision of the rules. And there was no request for it, no motion to compel until the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want to make sure we don't overlook the Sansoucy files in this conversation. MS. HATFIELD: And actually, Mr. Chairman, those are I don't believe they're directly raised by my motion. But if you look at the City's January 11th motion for confidential treatment, attached to that are the IPP data requests. And it's Data Request 1-3 on page I believe it's Page 8 of 10. That's where there's a reference to company files retained by Mr. Sansoucy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for MR. CHAIRMAN: Provided what information? MR. BOLDT: The summary information that's attached to our motion for confidential treatment. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. But not the documents. MR. BOLDT: But not the documents, pursuant to this provision of the rules. And there was no request for it, no motion to compel until the motion to strike is filed, and after 5:00 on Sunday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want to make sure we don't overlook the Sansoucy files in this conversation. MS. HATFIELD: And actually, Mr. Chairman, those are I don't believe they're directly raised by my motion. But if you look at the City's January 11th motion for confidential treatment, attached to that are the IPP data requests. And it's Data Request 1-3 on page I believe it's Page 8 of 10. That's where there's a reference to company files retained by Mr. Sansoucy. So that's really where that's raised. I'll let
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have been brought to my attention when it's the appropriate time. MR. BOLDT: If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. To say that we are creating this problem is not fair. We filed our motion. And pursuant to the Rule 203.08(b) excuse me, (b)(1) we are authorized to give citation to the materials. This is copyrighted material that we were very concerned with, as a municipality, were we going to be stepping into a copyright fight. That is from our expert. We provided this information on the 11th. And unfortunately, there was no request for MR. CHAIRMAN: Provided what information? MR. BOLDT: The summary information that's attached to our motion for confidential treatment. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. But not the documents. MR. BOLDT: But not the documents, pursuant to this provision of the rules. And there was no request for it, no motion to compel until the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there have been some issues that we never got the information, and it could have been provided to us under our under another subsection of our rules that would have protected it. And also, it's a fact that there was no objection by the to the data request, but at the same time, a point that was made clear yesterday, there was no request for the information as well. So I think there's a number of issues that go to the treatment of this and not just the one that you pointed out. Well, let me address I'm trying to look, Ms. Hatfield, again at your motion here, because I want to make sure we don't overlook the Sansoucy files in this conversation. MS. HATFIELD: And actually, Mr. Chairman, those are I don't believe they're directly raised by my motion. But if you look at the City's January 11th motion for confidential treatment, attached to that are the IPP data requests. And it's Data Request 1-3 on page I believe it's Page 8 of 10. That's where there's a reference to company files retained by Mr. Sansoucy.

	Page 17		Page 19
1	about it.	1	MR. SHULOCK: If I may? Mr. Sansoucy
2	MR. BOLDT: Just for the record, it's	2	had direct testimony regarding gas prices and the
3	my understanding that those files are the tax files	3	effect that that might have on the energy market in
4	of Mr. San Mr. Shulock's clients, so that they	4	the future. This Data Request 13 went to that,
5	have the same materials already.	5	regarding his opinions and what he based those
6	MR. CHAIRMAN: Is there anything in	6	opinions on. And he based those not just on the
7	his testimony that refers to him relying on his files	7	studies, but also on the confidential documents,
8	as a basis for his ultimate opinion as to the	8	including company files retained by Mr. Sansoucy from
9	reasonableness of the contract?	9	other third-party power generation gas pipeline
10	MR. BOLDT: Not directly. I don't	10	companies. And we just don't know what those are. I
11	believe so, Mr. Chairman. There are references to	11	mean, his rebuttal testimony which isn't really
12	exhibits that were prepared and attached to the	12	rebuttal testimony, it's an expansion of his covering
13	rebuttal testimony that we will argue the propriety	13	holes in his direct testimony is all based on
14	of those coming back in when we argue about that	14	this, even if he hasn't mentioned that specifically
15	motion.	15	in the rebuttal testimony. This data request makes
	MR. SHULOCK: If I may?	16	that clear, I think.
16 17	MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Shulock.	17	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.
18	MR. CHARMAN, MI. Shulock. MR. SHULOCK: I understood Mr. Boldt's	18	(Chairman and Commissioners conferring.)
	argument yesterday. The testimony did rely on those	19	MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me start with this
19 20	confidential information on that confidential	20	issue, Mr. Boldt. One thing, I think there needs to
20	information. And secondly, this is the first time	20	be some accommodation to the wood IPPs. And I'm
21	that I heard today well, I heard it a few minutes	21	concerned that one copy doesn't get there. I'm not
23	before your returning to the room that this	23	convinced that they need six copies.
23 24	information was somehow propriety to my clients or	23 24	And Mr. Shulock, it just seems to me
27	mornation was somenow propriety to my chemis of	27	And Mr. Shulock, it just seems to me
	Page 18		Page 20
	Page 18		Page 20
1	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether	1	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation
2	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the	2	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the
2 3	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to	2 3	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests
2 3 4	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release	2 3 4	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing
2 3 4 5	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I	2 3 4 5	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need
2 3 4 5 6	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone	2 3 4 5 6	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you
2 3 4 5 6 7	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or	2 3 4 5 6 7	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try and clarify at least my understanding of this, as I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And we will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try and clarify at least my understanding of this, as I was, in my memory, trying to make clear what was in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And we will MR. BOLDT: so that if they get a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try and clarify at least my understanding of this, as I was, in my memory, trying to make clear what was in the data responses and what was in the rebuttal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And we will MR. BOLDT: so that if they get a copy and let's pick a number. Is it two? Is it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try and clarify at least my understanding of this, as I was, in my memory, trying to make clear what was in the data responses and what was in the rebuttal testimony. And clearly in the rebuttal testimony	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And we will MR. BOLDT: so that if they get a copy and let's pick a number. Is it two? Is it three? You know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try and clarify at least my understanding of this, as I was, in my memory, trying to make clear what was in the data responses and what was in the rebuttal testimony. And clearly in the rebuttal testimony there's a reliance on Ventyx and Energy Solutions,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And we will MR. BOLDT: so that if they get a copy and let's pick a number. Is it two? Is it three? You know MR. SANSOUCY: He has to disclose who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try and clarify at least my understanding of this, as I was, in my memory, trying to make clear what was in the data responses and what was in the rebuttal testimony. And clearly in the rebuttal testimony there's a reliance on Ventyx and Energy Solutions, but it does appear that it's only in the data	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And we will MR. BOLDT: so that if they get a copy and let's pick a number. Is it two? Is it three? You know MR. SANSOUCY: He has to disclose who it's going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try and clarify at least my understanding of this, as I was, in my memory, trying to make clear what was in the data responses and what was in the rebuttal testimony. And clearly in the rebuttal testimony there's a reliance on Ventyx and Energy Solutions, but it does appear that it's only in the data response pointed out by Ms. Hatfield. There's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And we will MR. BOLDT: so that if they get a copy and let's pick a number. Is it two? Is it three? You know MR. SANSOUCY: He has to disclose who it's going to MR. BOLDT: He has to disclose who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try and clarify at least my understanding of this, as I was, in my memory, trying to make clear what was in the data responses and what was in the rebuttal testimony. And clearly in the rebuttal testimony there's a reliance on Ventyx and Energy Solutions, but it does appear that it's only in the data response pointed out by Ms. Hatfield. There's a reference to a number of things, including Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And we will MR. BOLDT: so that if they get a copy and let's pick a number. Is it two? Is it three? You know MR. SANSOUCY: He has to disclose who it's going to MR. BOLDT: He has to disclose who it's going to before it goes, and they have to give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try and clarify at least my understanding of this, as I was, in my memory, trying to make clear what was in the data responses and what was in the rebuttal testimony. And clearly in the rebuttal testimony there's a reliance on Ventyx and Energy Solutions, but it does appear that it's only in the data response pointed out by Ms. Hatfield. There's a reference to a number of things, including Mr. Sansoucy's files, which then there appears to be an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And we will MR. BOLDT: so that if they get a copy and let's pick a number. Is it two? Is it three? You know MR. SANSOUCY: He has to disclose who it's going to MR. BOLDT: He has to disclose who it's going to before it goes, and they have to give it back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try and clarify at least my understanding of this, as I was, in my memory, trying to make clear what was in the data responses and what was in the rebuttal testimony. And clearly in the rebuttal testimony there's a reliance on Ventyx and Energy Solutions, but it does appear that it's only in the data response pointed out by Ms. Hatfield. There's a reference to a number of things, including Mr. Sansoucy's files, which then there appears to be an assertion that those files are confidential. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And we will MR. BOLDT: so that if they get a copy and let's pick a number. Is it two? Is it three? You know MR. SANSOUCY: He has to disclose who it's going to before it goes, and they have to give it back MR. SANSOUCY: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try and clarify at least my understanding of this, as I was, in my memory, trying to make clear what was in the data responses and what was in the rebuttal testimony. And clearly in the rebuttal testimony there's a reliance on Ventyx and Energy Solutions, but it does appear that it's only in the data response pointed out by Ms. Hatfield. There's a reference to a number of things, including Mr. Sansoucy's files, which then there appears to be an assertion that those files are confidential. And they may well be. But at this point, you know, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And we will MR. BOLDT: so that if they get a copy and let's pick a number. Is it two? Is it three? You know MR. SANSOUCY: He has to disclose who it's going to MR. BOLDT: He has to disclose who it's going to before it goes, and they have to give it back MR. BOLDT: and only use it for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that they had seen it. And I don't know whether that's true or not. I don't know what the information is that he relied on. I did manage to contact one client. There was no request to release that confidentiality before this proceeding. And I also asked the client whether Mr. Sansoucy or anyone from his office had ever shared with them Ventyx or other confidential information and I'm sorry I asked just specifically about the Ventyx information, and no one had shared that information with them. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let me just try and clarify at least my understanding of this, as I was, in my memory, trying to make clear what was in the data responses and what was in the rebuttal testimony. And clearly in the rebuttal testimony there's a reliance on Ventyx and Energy Solutions, but it does appear that it's only in the data response pointed out by Ms. Hatfield. There's a reference to a number of things, including Mr. Sansoucy's files, which then there appears to be an assertion that those files are confidential. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there's got to be some way to reach an accommodation between the two parties, so that you can have the assistance of your clients, your client's interests are represented, and there's some way of preparing discovery and to review these documents. Do I need to rule and pick a number, or can the two of you figure this out? MR. BOLDT: Well, I guess my question back for clarification, Mr. Chairman, is that Mr. Shulock's parties are bound by the same terms of this receipt MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And we will MR. BOLDT: so that if they get a copy and let's pick a number. Is it two? Is it three? You know MR. SANSOUCY: He has to disclose who it's going to MR. BOLDT: He has to disclose who it's going to before it goes, and they have to give it back MR. SANSOUCY: Right.

		1	
	Page 21		Page 23
1	nothing.	1	give copies to Mr. Shulock, he tells us which two of
2	MR. CHAIRMAN: That would be	2	his clients will get it, and they are bound by this
3	absolutely the intent and the understanding.	3	same information this same agreement. If he gives
4	MR. BOLDT: With that	4	it to me this afternoon after the break, I'll give
5	MR. SHULOCK: Although, I can't at	5	him the copies. In the meantime, I'll immediately
6	this point say who those people are, because I would	6	give copies to OCA and Staff, because they're
7	have to contact the clients to find out who in the	7	amenable to these terms. Is that a rational and
8	organizations are the proper people to review that	8	reasonable solution so that we can proceed?
9	material.	9	MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, certainly you
10	MR. SANSOUCY: His clients probably	10	ought to get it to Staff and the OCA. I'm surprised
11	have it.	11	that hasn't already happened. But I would just
12	MR. BOLDT: We understand that four	12	ask what I think we're going to need to do, as
13	of his clients may already have this material in the	13	folks have been here for some time, is have a lunch
14	most recent tax	14	recess and then pick up with the cross-examination.
15	MR. SANSOUCY: It's a red herring.	15	And hopefully, you and Mr. Shulock can work out the
	MR. BOLDT: It may well be a red		details during the lunch recess.
16	herring issue. I'm fine to give four copies over	16	MR. BOLDT: Fair enough.
17	when he but before he disseminates, he has to tell	17	MR. BOLDT. Fail enough. MR. CHAIRMAN: I still am a little
18		18	
19	me	19	concerned with how we're going to deal with the
20	MR. SANSOUCY: Time out, time out.	20	files. They've been implicated. And I guess let me
21	There's other MR. CHAIRMAN: Excuse me, Mr. Boldt.	21	state, you know, I guess a concern about whether they
22		22	should be open and reviewable and whether there'd be
23	Control your witness, please.	23	a I don't know how you're going to deal with this
24	MR. BOLDT: My apologize. This is,	24	issue. I don't know exactly what those things are.
-	Page 22		Page 24
	Page 22		Page 24
1	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes	1	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of
1 2	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his	1 2	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery,
	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it		But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the
2	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert?	2	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of
2 3	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as	2 3	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that
2 3 4	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert?	2 3 4	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of
2 3 4 5	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as	2 3 4 5	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that
2 3 4 5 6	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that	2 3 4 5 6	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's
2 3 4 5 6 7	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday.	2 3 4 5 6 7	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y,"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's weight and credibility. I think it's more important
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much like to do is move along those lines, because I think it's entirely unfortunate that we have the witnesses that are sitting here all day. I would like to try	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much like to do is move along those lines, because I think it's entirely unfortunate that we have the witnesses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's weight and credibility. I think it's more important
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much like to do is move along those lines, because I think it's entirely unfortunate that we have the witnesses that are sitting here all day. I would like to try	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's weight and credibility. I think it's more important that this body hear the consider his opinions, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much like to do is move along those lines, because I think it's entirely unfortunate that we have the witnesses that are sitting here all day. I would like to try and get back to the panel this afternoon. And I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's weight and credibility. I think it's more important that this body hear the consider his opinions, and then before attacking the weight, obviously. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much like to do is move along those lines, because I think it's entirely unfortunate that we have the witnesses that are sitting here all day. I would like to try and get back to the panel this afternoon. And I'm presuming it would be tomorrow that we'd try to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's weight and credibility. I think it's more important that this body hear the consider his opinions, and then before attacking the weight, obviously. But I again urge that this is a red herring and that no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much like to do is move along those lines, because I think it's entirely unfortunate that we have the witnesses that are sitting here all day. I would like to try and get back to the panel this afternoon. And I'm presuming it would be tomorrow that we'd try to complete that. But we're not going to go on to other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's weight and credibility. I think it's more important that this body hear the consider his opinions, and then before attacking the weight, obviously. But I again urge that this is a red herring and that no request, no motion to compel was ever filed by IPPs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much like to do is move along those lines, because I think it's entirely unfortunate that we have the witnesses that are sitting here all day. I would like to try and get back to the panel this afternoon. And I'm presuming it would be tomorrow that we'd try to complete that. But we're not going to go on to other witnesses. We need to get to the Berlin witness. If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's weight and credibility. I think it's more important that this body hear the consider his opinions, and then before attacking the weight, obviously. But I again urge that this is a red herring and that no request, no motion to compel was ever filed by IPPs. We ask that we be able to proceed on. And I agree;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much like to do is move along those lines, because I think it's entirely unfortunate that we have the witnesses that are sitting here all day. I would like to try and get back to the panel this afternoon. And I'm presuming it would be tomorrow that we'd try to complete that. But we're not going to go on to other witnesses. We need to get to the Berlin witness. If there's a way to conduct all of this and discovery	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's weight and credibility. I think it's more important that this body hear the consider his opinions, and then before attacking the weight, obviously. But I again urge that this is a red herring and that no request, no motion to compel was ever filed by IPPs. We ask that we be able to proceed on. And I agree; let's get to back to PSNH. At the appropriate time,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much like to do is move along those lines, because I think it's entirely unfortunate that we have the witnesses that are sitting here all day. I would like to try and get back to the panel this afternoon. And I'm presuming it would be tomorrow that we'd try to complete that. But we're not going to go on to other witnesses. We need to get to the Berlin witness. If there's a way to conduct all of this and discovery and so that we would have a hearing on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's weight and credibility. I think it's more important that this body hear the consider his opinions, and then before attacking the weight, obviously. But I again urge that this is a red herring and that no request, no motion to compel was ever filed by IPPs. We ask that we be able to proceed on. And I agree; let's get to back to PSNH. At the appropriate time, we would like five minutes for the body to consider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much like to do is move along those lines, because I think it's entirely unfortunate that we have the witnesses that are sitting here all day. I would like to try and get back to the panel this afternoon. And I'm presuming it would be tomorrow that we'd try to complete that. But we're not going to go on to other witnesses. We need to get to the Berlin witness. If there's a way to conduct all of this and discovery and so that we would have a hearing on February 1st to deal with the Berlin testimony, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's weight and credibility. I think it's more important that this body hear the consider his opinions, and then before attacking the weight, obviously. But I again urge that this is a red herring and that no request, no motion to compel was ever filed by IPPs. We ask that we be able to proceed on. And I agree; let's get to back to PSNH. At the appropriate time, we would like five minutes for the body to consider the motion to strike as a motion to reconsider. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	obviously, a very sensitive subject because it goes to the bedrock issue of his ability to do his business. May I take a brief moment to discuss it with my expert? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, perhaps as another accommodation, yesterday you mentioned that the Commission had a hearing date open next Tuesday. It's quite apparent we will be back here on Tuesday to utilize that date, because we're not making much in the way of progress today. MR. CHAIRMAN: What I would very much like to do is move along those lines, because I think it's entirely unfortunate that we have the witnesses that are sitting here all day. I would like to try and get back to the panel this afternoon. And I'm presuming it would be tomorrow that we'd try to complete that. But we're not going to go on to other witnesses. We need to get to the Berlin witness. If there's a way to conduct all of this and discovery and so that we would have a hearing on February 1st to deal with the Berlin testimony, that would be my preference.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But at a minimum, we may be looking at the issue of that. If they're not made available for discovery, then I think they can't be given any weight in the formation of MR. BOLDT: We agree that MR. CHAIRMAN: the witness's opinions. MR. BOLDT: My apologies. We agree that the weight issue is with this body, so that on cross-examination, if there is a "you didn't provide X," "you didn't provide Y," that comes in and that goes to attack Mr. Sansoucy's weight and credibility. I think it's more important that this body hear the consider his opinions, and then before attacking the weight, obviously. But I again urge that this is a red herring and that no request, no motion to compel was ever filed by IPPs. We ask that we be able to proceed on. And I agree; let's get to back to PSNH. At the appropriate time, we would like five minutes for the body to consider the motion to strike as a motion to reconsider. And we can do that at any point in the proceeding as a

	DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLA	AW B	ERLIN BIOPOWER	
	Page 25		Page 27	]
1	Mr. Bersak, did you have more that you	1	be sufficient time.	
2	were	2	MR. CHAIRMAN: So, presuming you have	
3	MR. BERSAK: No, I was just going to	3	all the technological options that you would like	
4	suggest that we continue on with proceeding with the	4	available to you	
5	witnesses; that Mr. Sansoucy testify for everything	5	MR. SHULOCK: That works.	
6	but for this one Ventyx item; that he be made	6	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay.	
7	available by Berlin next Tuesday, to the extent that	7	MR. SHULOCK: It's only when he says	
8	anybody has questions. That gives Mr. Shulock an	8	you can't copy it, you can't distribute it, you	
9	opportunity to deal with his disparate clients and we	9	can't you can show your clients a copy, but you	
10	can just move this process along. I don't think that	10	immediately have to pull it back from them, you have	
11	that particular Exhibit 1 or that one piece of	11	to give us the copy back that we gave to you, and	
12	information is going to be what this case revolves	12	there can be no other reproduction of that, that it	
13	around. And so, if we can just move beyond it and	13	becomes problematic. If I can distribute it and	
14	just come back to it later on, maybe that gives	14	speak with my people about it, it's not a problem to	
15	everybody what they need to accomplish their	15	do it on Tuesday.	
16	representation of their various clients.	16	MR. CHAIRMAN: Hold just one second.	
17	MR. CHAIRMAN: And let me confirm	17	(Chairman and Commissioners conferring.)	
18	where we are in terms of Mr. Shulock, and I think	18	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. There will be	
19	maybe, Ms. Hatfield, in terms of objection to this	19	well, actually, Ms. Hatfield, I didn't give you an	
20	process. Is there still a general objection to,	20	opportunity before I	
20	well, what is now a specific proposal for discovery,	21	MS. HATFIELD: Thank you.	
22	that it be conducted between now and next Tuesday,	22	MR. CHAIRMAN: make a decision.	
23	with a hearing scheduled on Mr. Sansoucy's testimony	23	MS. HATFIELD: We do object. And I	
23	for next Tuesday?	24	just want to be clear that it's possible that, with	
21	for heat fuestury.	41	Just wait to be clear that it's possible that, with	
	Page 26		Page 28	
1	MR. SHULOCK: So	1	everything else Mr. Traum needs to do in the next few	
2	MR. CHAIRMAN: So what's your	2	days related just to this case, he may not have	
3	position? Do you have an objection to that process?	3	enough time to review it, engage in discovery. And	
4	MR. SHULOCK: I'm trying to first,	4	so I just wanted to be upfront about that. But at	
5	I need to delineate what exactly it is we're getting.	5	the end of the day, that might be what we say. We	
6	I understand we're getting the Ventyx studies, the	6	appreciate the accommodations, but it just wasn't	
7	Energy Outlook subscriptions?	7	sufficient.	
8	MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes. And then	8	And also, if I can, Mr. Chairman, I did want to	
9	hopefully over lunch you figure out what the magic	9	comment on the breadth of the IPP Question 1-3. And	
10	number is that you need.	10	I don't know if it's asking for all of Mr. Sansoucy's	
11	MR. SHULOCK: Okay. And once I have	11	files, but it does seem to be quite broad. And I	
12	that magic number, I can give them copies. Now, the	12	think any witness is going to bring with them years	
13	question becomes do I have to give them the numbered	13	of experience. And he specifically talks in his	
14	piece of paper that they give me, or may I scan that	14	response about valuating plants and that sort of	
15	in a PDF and send it to them?	15	thing. And it does seem to be, you know, burdensome	
16	MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, can't we work	16	to say, you know, show me your firm's files. And I'm	
17	that out over lunch?	17	not sure if that's what Mr. Shulock was referring to.	
18	MR. BOLDT: You and I will be able to	18	But it certainly does seem like it's something that	
19	work out the particulars. From the standpoint of we	19	would be very difficult to address.	
20	want control over the copy	20	MR. CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.	
21	MR. SHULOCK: Whether the timing works	21	Ms. Amidon.	
<b>4</b> 1	-			
21	depends how that happens. Because if I have to do	22	MS. AMIDON: I just have one	
	depends how that happens. Because if I have to do this through the mail, all right, or call people into	22 23	procedural, just to let the Commission know how the	
22	depends how that happens. Because if I have to do		•	

	DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDL	AW BI	
	Page 29		Page 31
1	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let's hold that	1	that Mr. Sansoucy's office made telephone calls to
2	off for one second.	2	the people and that their process is they do not
3	MS. AMIDON: Thank you.	3	release. So, in light of the
4	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. This is what	4	CMSR. IGNATIUS: Well, that's where we
5	we're going to do: We'll go through, complete the	5	were yesterday. I was hoping for a little better
6	cross-examination of PSNH. And I think this may end	6	answer than your understanding of some phone calls.
7	up with what you're going to say about Exhibit 9, I'm	7	Can you describe when they were made?
8	presuming. But we will once we get the PSNH	8	MR. BOLDT: It's my understanding
9	testimony and cross-examination completed, the	9	last week. But they also have made those calls in
10	intention is to have the opportunity for discovery on	10	the past. From a standpoint of addressing the
11	these materials from the City of Berlin, and we'll	11	production in this way, I did not I did not ask
12	have the hearing on the cross-examination of Mr.	12	for more specific details. My apologies.
13	Sansoucy next Tuesday.	13	CMSR. IGNATIUS: Thank you.
14	MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I'm	14	MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Shulock.
15	sorry. I didn't speak to that specifically. But I	15	MR. SHULOCK: If I may, they made a
16	do want to be crystal clear that we do object to Mr.	16	claim that much of this information from their
17	Sansoucy going after our witness. But I understand	17	confidential files relates to the wood plants and
18	if that's how you	18	their valuations. And I'm not sure of all of the
19	MR. CHAIRMAN: No, that's not going to	19	wood plants. I know that some of the wood plants
20	be the case.	20	have entered into confidentiality agreements with Mr.
21	MS. HATFIELD: Okay.	21	Sansoucy's firm for items that they have provided to
22	MR. CHAIRMAN: And what necessarily	22	Mr. Sansoucy. For those clients that I do represent,
23	then flows from that is I'm not seeing that we're	23	I don't understand them having received a telephone
24	going to get through PSNH. You know, maybe tomorrow.	24	call asking if that permission would be granted.
	Page 30		Page 32
1		1	
1 2	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be	1 2	MR. BOLDT: I was not
	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy.		MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission
2	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr.	2	MR. BOLDT: I was not
2 3	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming	2 3	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials.
2 3 4	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr.	2 3 4	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct.
2 3 4 5	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step	2 3 4 5	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay.
2 3 4 5 6	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights	2 3 4 5 6	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would be the fair order in this process, because of issues	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for Exhibit 9, or did you have something else, Ms.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would be the fair order in this process, because of issues that have arisen with respect to the motions for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for Exhibit 9, or did you have something else, Ms. Hatfield?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would be the fair order in this process, because of issues that have arisen with respect to the motions for confidentiality, failures to object and lack of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for Exhibit 9, or did you have something else, Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Well, did you want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would be the fair order in this process, because of issues that have arisen with respect to the motions for confidentiality, failures to object and lack of motions to compel. So I think there's a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for Exhibit 9, or did you have something else, Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Well, did you want to do that first before we discuss a few things I need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would be the fair order in this process, because of issues that have arisen with respect to the motions for confidentiality, failures to object and lack of motions to compel. So I think there's a number of reasons for the situation that we find ourselves in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for Exhibit 9, or did you have something else, Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Well, did you want to do that first before we discuss a few things I need to raise in my motion from yesterday?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would be the fair order in this process, because of issues that have arisen with respect to the motions for confidentiality, failures to object and lack of motions to compel. So I think there's a number of reasons for the situation that we find ourselves in. Okay. Anything else on that issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for Exhibit 9, or did you have something else, Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Well, did you want to do that first before we discuss a few things I need to raise in my motion from yesterday? MR. CHAIRMAN: Which motion? To
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would be the fair order in this process, because of issues that have arisen with respect to the motions for confidentiality, failures to object and lack of motions to compel. So I think there's a number of reasons for the situation that we find ourselves in. Okay. Anything else on that issue before we turn to oh, Commissioner Ignatius.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for Exhibit 9, or did you have something else, Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Well, did you want to do that first before we discuss a few things I need to raise in my motion from yesterday? MR. CHAIRMAN: Which motion? To strike?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would be the fair order in this process, because of issues that have arisen with respect to the motions for confidentiality, failures to object and lack of motions to compel. So I think there's a number of reasons for the situation that we find ourselves in. Okay. Anything else on that issue before we turn to oh, Commissioner Ignatius. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Thank you. One	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for Exhibit 9, or did you have something else, Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Well, did you want to do that first before we discuss a few things I need to raise in my motion from yesterday? MR. CHAIRMAN: Which motion? To strike? MS. HATFIELD: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would be the fair order in this process, because of issues that have arisen with respect to the motions for confidentiality, failures to object and lack of motions to compel. So I think there's a number of reasons for the situation that we find ourselves in. Okay. Anything else on that issue before we turn to oh, Commissioner Ignatius. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Thank you. One follow-up that was discussed yesterday, Mr. Boldt.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for Exhibit 9, or did you have something else, Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Well, did you want to do that first before we discuss a few things I need to raise in my motion from yesterday? MR. CHAIRMAN: Which motion? To strike? MS. HATFIELD: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me see where we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would be the fair order in this process, because of issues that have arisen with respect to the motions for confidentiality, failures to object and lack of motions to compel. So I think there's a number of reasons for the situation that we find ourselves in. Okay. Anything else on that issue before we turn to oh, Commissioner Ignatius. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Thank you. One follow-up that was discussed yesterday, Mr. Boldt. You had agreed to put on the record the steps that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for Exhibit 9, or did you have something else, Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Well, did you want to do that first before we discuss a few things I need to raise in my motion from yesterday? MR. CHAIRMAN: Which motion? To strike? MS. HATFIELD: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me see where we are in Exhibit 9 first.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would be the fair order in this process, because of issues that have arisen with respect to the motions for confidentiality, failures to object and lack of motions to compel. So I think there's a number of reasons for the situation that we find ourselves in. Okay. Anything else on that issue before we turn to oh, Commissioner Ignatius. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Thank you. One follow-up that was discussed yesterday, Mr. Boldt. You had agreed to put on the record the steps that you or your client had taken to seek release of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for Exhibit 9, or did you have something else, Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Well, did you want to do that first before we discuss a few things I need to raise in my motion from yesterday? MR. CHAIRMAN: Which motion? To strike? MS. HATFIELD: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me see where we are in Exhibit 9 first. MS. AMIDON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm hopeful. Then the next day that would be available would be next Tuesday for Mr. Sansoucy. Then we get to Mr. Traum and Mr. McCluskey and Mr. Frantz. So, you know, this is a very time-consuming process, and we're just going to go through it step by step to make sure everyone's due process rights are accommodated. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you for clarifying that. MR. CHAIRMAN: I think it would be unfair to take Mr. Sansoucy out of order, what would be the fair order in this process, because of issues that have arisen with respect to the motions for confidentiality, failures to object and lack of motions to compel. So I think there's a number of reasons for the situation that we find ourselves in. Okay. Anything else on that issue before we turn to oh, Commissioner Ignatius. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Thank you. One follow-up that was discussed yesterday, Mr. Boldt. You had agreed to put on the record the steps that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. BOLDT: I was not MR. CHAIRMAN: I understood permission was with reference to these copyrighted materials. MR. BOLDT: Correct. MR. CHAIRMAN: I don't okay. MR. SHULOCK: Okay. Thank you. MR. CHAIRMAN: And I believe Mr. Boldt is confirming. MR. BOLDT: That's correct, your Honor. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Are we ready for Exhibit 9, or did you have something else, Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Well, did you want to do that first before we discuss a few things I need to raise in my motion from yesterday? MR. CHAIRMAN: Which motion? To strike? MS. HATFIELD: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me see where we are in Exhibit 9 first.

#### ¥7.0 DUDI IC HEADING 25 2011

	DAY 2 - PUBLIC HEAI DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLA	RING - AW BE	January 25, 2011 ERLIN BIOPOWER
	Page 33		Page 35
1	But given the fact that everybody has prepared their	1	that would mean is that Exhibits, I believe, 11, 12
2	cross-examination based on the filing with the	2	and 13 I mean excuse me Lines 11, 12, and 13
3	Commission that the Company made in July, we propose	3	for Exhibits 6, 7 and 8 would actually be allowed in,
4	that everybody conclude their cross-examination on	4	if it's the Commission's pleasure.
5	the filing, and then PSNH has agreed to provide its	5	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Well, let me
6	panel for the same type of cross-examination with	6	address it this way, then: You know, given the
7	respect to Exhibit 9. So we'll be going around the	7	action we took yesterday was to approve the motion,
8	room one more time, but it will allow the Commission	8	except for holding in abeyance Section E. And now,
9	to see what was filed and to hear the	9	with your corrections to Section 12, Subsection A and
10	cross-examination on the filing. And given the fact	10	Subsection C, our granting of your motion to strike
11	that Exhibit 9 is not an amendment to the filing, but	11	is consistent with your revisions to the underlying
12	is an exhibit, we can talk about that separately. I	12	motion. And then we'll have the opportunity for you
13	hope that is satisfactory.	13	to address this, but not now.
14	MR. CHAIRMAN: And there is agreement	14	MR. BOLDT: Understood.
15	on this process?	15	MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Chairman, would
16	MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman.	16	you like me to file a revised motion so that you have
17	And so you're aware, as a result of	17	the pagination correct?
18	this morning's tech session, which was very helpful,	18	MR. CHAIRMAN: I guess it wouldn't
19	we are going to come up with a revision to that	19	hurt. If you can just keep it to a letter, just
20	document to clarify some of the issues that we	20	pointing it out so that it's in the record.
21	discussed this morning. And I will provide that to	21	MS. HATFIELD: Thank you.
22	everybody as soon as we have lunch.	22	MR. CHAIRMAN: Is there anything else
23	MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Back to	23	we need to address, recognizing we'll give you an
24	you, Ms. Hatfield.	24	opportunity at some later date, Mr. Boldt, to move to
	Page 34		Page 36
1	MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. If	1	reconsider on the motion to strike.
2	you have a copy of our motion in front of you, a	2	MR. BOLDT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3	few or one typographical error has been raised by	3	The only comment I would ask is an opportunity to
4	Attorney Roman, and that is on Page 5, in	4	discuss with the expert and technically with PSNH
5	Paragraph 12, Subsection C. The reference states	5	I'm not intending to slow this process down. If the
6	Page 12, Line 7 through Page 17, Line 48. And the 48	6	intention of having my client's expert in on the
7	is a typographical error. It should read Line 2.	7	additional parts is not of material benefit to the
8	CMSR. BELOW: Two or 20? Just my own	8	Board's consideration of this, we may evaluate
9	note was 20, because I had looked at it. But	9	whether or not to just cede the field but for those
10	MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner	10	issues that are raised. I'm not saying that's my
11	Below. I believe my intention was to have it end at	11	course. But I want to alert that over the lunch
12	Line 2 so that the Q and A between Lines 4 and 13	12	break we're going to address that and see if there's
13	would actually be in.	13	a way we can speed this process up, so that we keep
14	CMSR. BELOW: Okay.	14	in order, we keep going and not delaying. If there's
15	MR. CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.	15	time tomorrow to reach Mr. Sansoucy on the
16	MS. HATFIELD: And then the other	16	unobjected-to issues, great, fine and wonderful.
17	thing that has been brought to my attention is that	17	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. All right.
18	some of the exhibits that were struck may be actually	18	Anything else before we take the lunch recess?
19	more properly left in, and that is because Exhibits	19	MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Just
20	6, 7 and 8 appear on in the section that I propose	20	one thing. I gave to all the parties this morning,
21	to leave in that appears between Page 17 and Page 27.	21	to everybody, and also the clerk, the reporter and
22	And those are exhibits, as I said, 6, 7 and 8. So	22	the Commissioners, a red-lined version of PSNH's
23	Paragraph 12 of the motion, Line A should read,	23	Exhibit 7 which was meant to deal with the withdrawal

23 Paragraph 12 of the motion, Line A should read, 24 "Page 3, Lines 4 through 9 and 14 and 15." And what

Min-U-Script®

SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, LCR 44

24

of Concord Steam from this docket. The parties had a

	DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLA	100	
	Page 37		Page 39
1	chance to look at it this morning. And on a net	1	(Discussion off the record.)
2	basis, I did a great job. I took out too little in	2	MR. BERSAK: I will tell you for the
	one place and too much in another. So it's perfect.	3	record that the change on Page 9 is, and we'll find
3			it here somewhere.
4	But what I am going to do over lunch is I will fix	4	
5	the two things that were pointed out to me by	5	On Page 9 of what I gave you this
6	parties, have replacement pages. And there will be a	6	morning, on Line 2, the words that were in the
7	new exhibit PSNH Rebuttal 4, since the one that's in	7	original version of the joint rebuttal testimony, the
8	there relied on data request information from Concord	8	words "Response to PSNH Data Request No. 38" will be
9	Steam, which is no longer available to us, and we'll	9	deleted and replaced by the words "Annual Commission
10	come up with a replacement exhibit based upon data	10	Cost of Energy Proceedings."
11	that is. So I just want to let you know if you had	11	And then finally, there will be a
12	some light reading to do over lunchtime, it may vary	12	substitute exhibit for what's labeled as "PSNH
13	just a tiny bit. Thank you.	13	Rebuttal Exhibit No. 4," which is what was referred
14	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Then if there's	14	to on that last change on Page 9. And we'll replace
15	nothing further, we'll take a lunch recess and resume	15	what's existing Rebuttal Exhibit No. 4 with this new
16	at 2:00. Thank you, everyone.	16	exhibit. And that takes care of housekeeping
17	(WHEREUPON the lunch recess was taken	17	matters.
18	at 12:55 p.m, and the hearing resumed at	18	MS. HATFIELD: Could Mr. Bersak just
19	2:10 p.m.)	19	read the new language on Page 9 again, please?
20		20	MR. BERSAK: Yeah, we'll find it. I
21		21	know I've printed it up somewhere.
22		22	(Pause in proceedings)
23		23	MR. BERSAK: So I'll read the whole
24		24	sentence that begins at what it should read now
	Page 38		Page 40
1	Page 38 AFTERNOON SESSION	1	Page 40 is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment
1 2	-	1 2	Ŭ
	AFTERNOON SESSION		is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of
2	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel?	2	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied
2 3	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we	2 3	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy
2 3 4	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with	2 3 4	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings."
2 3 4 5	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony.	2 3 4 5	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think
2 3 4 5 6	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with	2 3 4 5 6	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings."
2 3 4 5 6 7	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a	2 3 4 5 6 7	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two more corrections that needed to be made, based upon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised exhibit, Rebuttal Exhibit 4.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two more corrections that needed to be made, based upon the consensus of the parties this morning. So I have here replacement pages for Page 6 and Page 9, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised exhibit, Rebuttal Exhibit 4. With those housekeeping matters, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two more corrections that needed to be made, based upon the consensus of the parties this morning. So I have here replacement pages for Page 6 and Page 9, and I'll have those distributed to the reporter, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised exhibit, Rebuttal Exhibit 4. With those housekeeping matters, the panel is ready to go. MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Hatfield?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two more corrections that needed to be made, based upon the consensus of the parties this morning. So I have here replacement pages for Page 6 and Page 9, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised exhibit, Rebuttal Exhibit 4. With those housekeeping matters, the panel is ready to go.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two more corrections that needed to be made, based upon the consensus of the parties this morning. So I have here replacement pages for Page 6 and Page 9, and I'll have those distributed to the reporter, the clerk, and for the Commissioners. And the only difference between what I provided to everybody first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised exhibit, Rebuttal Exhibit 4. With those housekeeping matters, the panel is ready to go. MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two more corrections that needed to be made, based upon the consensus of the parties this morning. So I have here replacement pages for Page 6 and Page 9, and I'll have those distributed to the reporter, the clerk, and for the Commissioners. And the only difference between what I provided to everybody first thing this morning and this is on Page 6 the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised exhibit, Rebuttal Exhibit 4. With those housekeeping matters, the panel is ready to go. MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two more corrections that needed to be made, based upon the consensus of the parties this morning. So I have here replacement pages for Page 6 and Page 9, and I'll have those distributed to the reporter, the clerk, and for the Commissioners. And the only difference between what I provided to everybody first thing this morning and this is on Page 6 the matters in Lines 13, 14 and 15 which were originally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised exhibit, Rebuttal Exhibit 4. With those housekeeping matters, the panel is ready to go. MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HATFIELD:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two more corrections that needed to be made, based upon the consensus of the parties this morning. So I have here replacement pages for Page 6 and Page 9, and I'll have those distributed to the reporter, the clerk, and for the Commissioners. And the only difference between what I provided to everybody first thing this morning and this is on Page 6 the matters in Lines 13, 14 and 15 which were originally stricken are unstricken, if there is such a word.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised exhibit, Rebuttal Exhibit 4. With those housekeeping matters, the panel is ready to go. MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HATFIELD: Q. Good afternoon, panelists.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two more corrections that needed to be made, based upon the consensus of the parties this morning. So I have here replacement pages for Page 6 and Page 9, and I'll have those distributed to the reporter, the clerk, and for the Commissioners. And the only difference between what I provided to everybody first thing this morning and this is on Page 6 the matters in Lines 13, 14 and 15 which were originally stricken are unstricken, if there is such a word. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised exhibit, Rebuttal Exhibit 4. With those housekeeping matters, the panel is ready to go. MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HATFIELD: Q. Good afternoon, panelists. A. (Panel members) Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two more corrections that needed to be made, based upon the consensus of the parties this morning. So I have here replacement pages for Page 6 and Page 9, and I'll have those distributed to the reporter, the clerk, and for the Commissioners. And the only difference between what I provided to everybody first thing this morning and this is on Page 6 the matters in Lines 13, 14 and 15 which were originally stricken are unstricken, if there is such a word. And MR. HALL: I only have Page 6 here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised exhibit, Rebuttal Exhibit 4. With those housekeeping matters, the panel is ready to go. MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HATFIELD:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, panelists.</li> <li>A. (Panel members) Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Most of my questions, just for your reference, will</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two more corrections that needed to be made, based upon the consensus of the parties this morning. So I have here replacement pages for Page 6 and Page 9, and I'll have those distributed to the reporter, the clerk, and for the Commissioners. And the only difference between what I provided to everybody first thing this morning and this is on Page 6 the matters in Lines 13, 14 and 15 which were originally stricken are unstricken, if there is such a word. And MR. HALL: I only have Page 6 here. MR. BERSAK: What's that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised exhibit, Rebuttal Exhibit 4. With those housekeeping matters, the panel is ready to go. MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HATFIELD:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, panelists.</li> <li>A. (Panel members) Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Most of my questions, just for your reference, will be beginning with following your rebuttal testimony,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AFTERNOON SESSION MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon. We're back on the record. Ready for the panel? MR. BERSAK: Yes, Mr. Chairman. If we can do a little housekeeping here since dealing with matters in their rebuttal testimony. I provided, as I indicated earlier, a red-lined version of PSNH Exhibit 7, which is the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Long and Mr. Large and Mr. Labrecque. And inside that testimony there were two more corrections that needed to be made, based upon the consensus of the parties this morning. So I have here replacement pages for Page 6 and Page 9, and I'll have those distributed to the reporter, the clerk, and for the Commissioners. And the only difference between what I provided to everybody first thing this morning and this is on Page 6 the matters in Lines 13, 14 and 15 which were originally stricken are unstricken, if there is such a word. And MR. HALL: I only have Page 6 here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>is, if we start on the bottom of Page 8, "Attachment PSNH Rebuttal 4 displays the historic stability of wood prices from 2006 to present, using data supplied by CSC in annual commission cost of energy proceedings." MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Bersak, I think you just gave the Commissioners a new MR. BERSAK: They're coming your way. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: PSNH's new revised exhibit, Rebuttal Exhibit 4. With those housekeeping matters, the panel is ready to go. MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Hatfield? MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HATFIELD:</li> <li>Q. Good afternoon, panelists.</li> <li>A. (Panel members) Good afternoon.</li> <li>Q. Most of my questions, just for your reference, will</li> </ul>

		Page 41		Page 43
1		before you; is that right?	1	bankruptcy. Its intent is to protect it against all
2		(Panel members) Yes.	2	other investors or parties that have an interest in
3		MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Chairman, I	3	Laidlaw. We are first in line under the normal
4		will note that you can see there are some	4	course of operation in the use of the cumulative
5		confidential exhibits, and there are some areas of my	5	reduction fund, should we purchase it at the
6		cross that may go into confidential areas. But I	6	conclusion of the term of the contract.
7		will be certain to raise that before I ask the	7 Q.	
		questions. I will do my best to not go into	-	this term and that they haven't raised any issues
8		· · ·	8 9	with it?
9		confidential information. But I'll let you know when	-	
10	р	I might need to go into a confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD:	10 A.	
11			11	aware of this term, yes.
12	Q.	My first question, and it doesn't matter which	12 Q.	
13		panelist addresses it, but it's with respect to the	13	state, "PSNH also demanded an actual insurance
14		cumulative reduction funds. And I'm going to refer	14	policy." Do you see that?
15		to it as the cumulative reduction fund, or the CRF,	15 A.	
16		if that's okay. And I just wanted to make clear.	16 Q.	
17		You testified, Mr. Long, to this yesterday, that as		(Mr. Large) Can we have a moment?
18		the PPA is before the Commission currently, the CRF	18	(Witnesses review document.)
19		is for energy any over-market payments for energy	19 A.	(Mr. Long) The answer is yes. We're just trying to
20		only; is that correct?	20	find the page.
21	A.	Yes.	21	MR. BERSAK: If I could refer the
22	Q.	And does Laidlaw actually put monies into the CRF, or	22	panel to Page 36 of what's been marked as Exhibit 2.
23		is it really more a tracking mechanism?	23 A.	
24	A.	(Mr. Long) It's more a tracking mechanism.	24	which is a form of purchase option agreement.
		Page 42		Page 44
1	0	-	1	-
	Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to	1	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion
2	Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where	2	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance.
2 3	Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more	2 3 Q.	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least
2 3 4	Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the	2 3 Q. 4	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure?
2 3 4 5	Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that?	2 3 Q. 4 5 A.	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated.
2 3 4 5 6	A.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes.	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q.	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to
2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers?	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 A.	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers,	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 A. 10	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 A. 10 11	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is intended to give us a fairly good claim that could	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't say that it does or it doesn't. It's just another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is intended to give us a fairly good claim that could survive bankruptcy.	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't say that it does or it doesn't. It's just another degree of protection that we thought sought in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is intended to give us a fairly good claim that could survive bankruptcy. And on Page 13 of your rebuttal, at Lines 11 through	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 15	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't say that it does or it doesn't. It's just another degree of protection that we thought sought in the event of adverse conditions, like a bankruptcy.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is intended to give us a fairly good claim that could survive bankruptcy. And on Page 13 of your rebuttal, at Lines 11 through 25, you discussed that there is a superior property	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Q.	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't say that it does or it doesn't. It's just another degree of protection that we thought sought in the event of adverse conditions, like a bankruptcy. Turning to Page 16 of your rebuttal, starting at
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is intended to give us a fairly good claim that could survive bankruptcy. And on Page 13 of your rebuttal, at Lines 11 through 25, you discussed that there is a superior property right for the purchase option; is that correct?	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Q. 17	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't say that it does or it doesn't. It's just another degree of protection that we thought sought in the event of adverse conditions, like a bankruptcy. Turning to Page 16 of your rebuttal, starting at Line 23, you are describing what you called "myriad
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is intended to give us a fairly good claim that could survive bankruptcy. And on Page 13 of your rebuttal, at Lines 11 through 25, you discussed that there is a superior property right for the purchase option; is that correct? (Mr. Large) Yes.	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Q. 17 18	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't say that it does or it doesn't. It's just another degree of protection that we thought sought in the event of adverse conditions, like a bankruptcy. Turning to Page 16 of your rebuttal, starting at Line 23, you are describing what you called "myriad legal constraints that other testimonies assert." Do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is intended to give us a fairly good claim that could survive bankruptcy. And on Page 13 of your rebuttal, at Lines 11 through 25, you discussed that there is a superior property right for the purchase option; is that correct? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so it's PSNH's belief that the that you have	2 3 4 5 4 5 4 6 Q. 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Q. 17 18 19	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't say that it does or it doesn't. It's just another degree of protection that we thought sought in the event of adverse conditions, like a bankruptcy. Turning to Page 16 of your rebuttal, starting at Line 23, you are describing what you called "myriad legal constraints that other testimonies assert." Do you see that line?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is intended to give us a fairly good claim that could survive bankruptcy. And on Page 13 of your rebuttal, at Lines 11 through 25, you discussed that there is a superior property right for the purchase option; is that correct? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so it's PSNH's belief that the that you have constructed or protected the purchase option	2 3 Q. 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Q. 17 18 19 20 A.	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't say that it does or it doesn't. It's just another degree of protection that we thought sought in the event of adverse conditions, like a bankruptcy. Turning to Page 16 of your rebuttal, starting at Line 23, you are describing what you called "myriad legal constraints that other testimonies assert." Do you see that line? (Mr. Long) Line number, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is intended to give us a fairly good claim that could survive bankruptcy. And on Page 13 of your rebuttal, at Lines 11 through 25, you discussed that there is a superior property right for the purchase option; is that correct? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so it's PSNH's belief that the that you have constructed or protected the purchase option agreement sufficiently so that it would survive	2 3 4 5 4 5 4 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Q. 17 18 19 20 A. 21 Q.	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't say that it does or it doesn't. It's just another degree of protection that we thought sought in the event of adverse conditions, like a bankruptcy. Turning to Page 16 of your rebuttal, starting at Line 23, you are describing what you called "myriad legal constraints that other testimonies assert." Do you see that line? (Mr. Long) Line number, please? Line 2 on Page 16.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. Q. Q.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is intended to give us a fairly good claim that could survive bankruptcy. And on Page 13 of your rebuttal, at Lines 11 through 25, you discussed that there is a superior property right for the purchase option; is that correct? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so it's PSNH's belief that the that you have constructed or protected the purchase option agreement sufficiently so that it would survive bankruptcy.	2 3 4 5 4 5 4 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Q. 17 18 19 20 A. 21 Q. 22 A.	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't say that it does or it doesn't. It's just another degree of protection that we thought sought in the event of adverse conditions, like a bankruptcy. Turning to Page 16 of your rebuttal, starting at Line 23, you are describing what you called "myriad legal constraints that other testimonies assert." Do you see that line? (Mr. Long) Line number, please? Line 2 on Page 16. (Mr. Long) Yes, I see it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is intended to give us a fairly good claim that could survive bankruptcy. And on Page 13 of your rebuttal, at Lines 11 through 25, you discussed that there is a superior property right for the purchase option; is that correct? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so it's PSNH's belief that the that you have constructed or protected the purchase option agreement sufficiently so that it would survive bankruptcy. (Mr. Large) I'm not a lawyer, so I would not be able	2 3 4 5 4 5 4 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Q. 17 18 19 20 A. 21 Q. 22 A. 23 Q.	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't say that it does or it doesn't. It's just another degree of protection that we thought sought in the event of adverse conditions, like a bankruptcy. Turning to Page 16 of your rebuttal, starting at Line 23, you are describing what you called "myriad legal constraints that other testimonies assert." Do you see that line? (Mr. Long) Line number, please? Line 2 on Page 16. (Mr. Long) Yes, I see it. And among them you list Massachusetts' RPS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	And I believe yesterday you discussed, in response to questions from Mr. Shulock, different scenarios where he was questioning what might happen if there's more in the CRF than the plant is worth at the end of the PPA. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes. Have you explored what happens if Laidlaw or a successor had to seek bankruptcy protection, and what would happen to the interest of PSNH ratepayers? (Mr. Long) Yes. We did consult our lawyers, including bankruptcy lawyers, when the power purchase agreement was negotiated. And the agreement is intended to give us a fairly good claim that could survive bankruptcy. And on Page 13 of your rebuttal, at Lines 11 through 25, you discussed that there is a superior property right for the purchase option; is that correct? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so it's PSNH's belief that the that you have constructed or protected the purchase option agreement sufficiently so that it would survive bankruptcy.	2 3 4 5 4 5 4 7 8 9 A. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Q. 17 18 19 20 A. 21 Q. 22 A.	Appendix B to the PPA on Page 36, there's discussion of title and title insurance. Thank you. And how did the companies, or at least PSNH, arrive at the \$47 million figure? (Mr. Long) It was negotiated. But is it your belief that it is sufficient to protect ratepayers' interest in the cumulative reduction fund? Well, no one knows, in the cumulative reduction fund, what the number will be, because obviously we haven't gotten to that future point in time. It could be zero or it could be some positive number. So I can't say that it does or it doesn't. It's just another degree of protection that we thought sought in the event of adverse conditions, like a bankruptcy. Turning to Page 16 of your rebuttal, starting at Line 23, you are describing what you called "myriad legal constraints that other testimonies assert." Do you see that line? (Mr. Long) Line number, please? Line 2 on Page 16. (Mr. Long) Yes, I see it.

	Page 45		Page 47
1	prohibitions, restructuring law requirements and the	1 0.	Do you have the IPP exhibits with you from yesterday?
2	lease cost plan. Do you think that any of those	2 A.	
3	issues might cause problems for the PPA as it's	3	we have them.
4	currently structured?	4 Q.	Thank you. Yesterday, Mr. Shulock asked you
5 A.	•	± Q. 5	questions about whether the Company had reasonable
6	them are addressed in the PPA, and there's some form	6	projections of your RPS requirements for the term of
7	of protection built into the PPA.	7	the PPA. Do you recall that?
	One thing that you don't have listed there is some of		
8 Q.	the issues that parties have raised with respect to	-	
9		-	
10	the RPS law. Do you recall some of those issues?	10	your response to OCA 1-3 and IPP 5, which is your
11 A.		11	response to Staff 6 Set 6, No. 3. Do you recall
12	counsel yesterday on this.	12	that?
13 Q.	And is it PSNH's understanding that today the RPS law	13 A.	
14	ends in 2025?	14 Q.	
15 A.		15	shows, Mr. Labrecque, that you have estimated the
16 Q.		16	needs through 2015; is that correct?
17	be 362-F.	17 A.	
18 A.		18 Q.	And you've also estimated here that the Laidlaw RECs
19	in front of me.	19	produced just over 406,000 RECs; right?
20 Q.		20 A.	(Mr. Labrecque) correct.
21 A.		21 Q.	But yesterday
22 Q.		22 A.	(Mr. Large) On an annual basis, yes.
23	Renewable Portfolio Standards"; correct?	23 Q.	Thank you.
24 A.	(Mr. Long) Yes.	24	Yesterday, Mr. Labrecque, I think you corrected
	Page 46		Page 48
1 Q.		1	-
1 Q. 2	And then the first sentence says, "For each year,	1 2	your testimony which related to the number of
-	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to		your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would
2	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is	2 3	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct?
2 3 4	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right?	2 3 4 A.	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's
2 3 4 5 A.	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes.	2 3 4 A. 5	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that.
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q.	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q.	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends?	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually?
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A.	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A.	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct.
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table.	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q.	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464?
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank,	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A.	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025.	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q.	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q. 13	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that Class I increases 1 percent per year between 2015	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12 13	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the reason for the difference.
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q. 13 14	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that Class I increases 1 percent per year between 2015 through 2025; correct?	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12 13 14 Q.	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the reason for the difference. So, should we increase that number, then, that's
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q. 13 14 15 A.	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that Class I increases 1 percent per year between 2015 through 2025; correct? (Mr. Long) Right. That goes to the requirement, the	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12 13 14 Q. 15	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the reason for the difference. So, should we increase that number, then, that's provided in IPP Exhibit 5?
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q. 13 14 15 A. 16	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that Class I increases 1 percent per year between 2015 through 2025; correct? (Mr. Long) Right. That goes to the requirement, the growth and the requirements, and the requirement	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 A.	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the reason for the difference. So, should we increase that number, then, that's provided in IPP Exhibit 5? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the exhibit stands as it is.
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q. 13 14 15 A. 16 17	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that Class I increases 1 percent per year between 2015 through 2025; correct? (Mr. Long) Right. That goes to the requirement, the growth and the requirements, and the requirement growth up to 2025 for Class I. Excuse me.	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 A. 17	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the reason for the difference. So, should we increase that number, then, that's provided in IPP Exhibit 5? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the exhibit stands as it is. But the number that they generate each year is
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q. 13 14 15 A. 16 17 18 Q.	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that Class I increases 1 percent per year between 2015 through 2025; correct? (Mr. Long) Right. That goes to the requirement, the growth and the requirements, and the requirement growth up to 2025 for Class I. Excuse me. So if you don't agree that the RPS currently ends in	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 A. 17 18	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the reason for the difference. So, should we increase that number, then, that's provided in IPP Exhibit 5? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the exhibit stands as it is. But the number that they generate each year is obviously dependent upon the size and the capacity.
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q. 13 14 15 A. 16 17 18 Q. 19	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that Class I increases 1 percent per year between 2015 through 2025; correct? (Mr. Long) Right. That goes to the requirement, the growth and the requirements, and the requirement growth up to 2025 for Class I. Excuse me. So if you don't agree that the RPS currently ends in 2025, what happens after 2025?	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 A. 17 18 19 Q.	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the reason for the difference. So, should we increase that number, then, that's provided in IPP Exhibit 5? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the exhibit stands as it is. But the number that they generate each year is obviously dependent upon the size and the capacity. So it could change a little bit from year to year?
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q. 13 14 15 A. 16 17 18 Q. 19 20 A.	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that Class I increases 1 percent per year between 2015 through 2025; correct? (Mr. Long) Right. That goes to the requirement, the growth and the requirements, and the requirement growth up to 2025 for Class I. Excuse me. So if you don't agree that the RPS currently ends in 2025, what happens after 2025? (Mr. Long) The level of requirement continues	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 A. 17 18 19 Q. 20 A. 17 10 A. 10 A. 10 A. 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 14 Q. 15 14 Q. 15 14 Q. 15 14 Q. 15 14 14 Q. 15 14 15 15 14 15 15 16 16 17 16 16 16 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the reason for the difference. So, should we increase that number, then, that's provided in IPP Exhibit 5? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the exhibit stands as it is. But the number that they generate each year is obviously dependent upon the size and the capacity. So it could change a little bit from year to year? (Mr. Labrecque) With yes, the capacity factor
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q. 13 14 15 A. 16 17 18 Q. 19 20 A. 21	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that Class I increases 1 percent per year between 2015 through 2025; correct? (Mr. Long) Right. That goes to the requirement, the growth and the requirements, and the requirement growth up to 2025 for Class I. Excuse me. So if you don't agree that the RPS currently ends in 2025, what happens after 2025? (Mr. Long) The level of requirement continues indefinitely unless changed.	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 A. 17 18 19 Q. 20 A. 21	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the reason for the difference. So, should we increase that number, then, that's provided in IPP Exhibit 5? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the exhibit stands as it is. But the number that they generate each year is obviously dependent upon the size and the capacity. So it could change a little bit from year to year? (Mr. Labrecque) With yes, the capacity factor would change each year.
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q. 13 14 15 A. 16 17 18 Q. 19 20 A. 21 22 Q.	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that Class I increases 1 percent per year between 2015 through 2025; correct? (Mr. Long) Right. That goes to the requirement, the growth and the requirements, and the requirement growth up to 2025 for Class I. Excuse me. So if you don't agree that the RPS currently ends in 2025, what happens after 2025? (Mr. Long) The level of requirement continues indefinitely unless changed. So, say for Class I, in your view, it doesn't go from	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 A. 17 18 19 Q. 20 A. 21 22 Q.	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the reason for the difference. So, should we increase that number, then, that's provided in IPP Exhibit 5? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the exhibit stands as it is. But the number that they generate each year is obviously dependent upon the size and the capacity. So it could change a little bit from year to year? (Mr. Labrecque) With yes, the capacity factor would change each year.
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q. 13 14 15 A. 16 17 18 Q. 19 20 A. 21 22 Q. 23	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that Class I increases 1 percent per year between 2015 through 2025; correct? (Mr. Long) Right. That goes to the requirement, the growth and the requirements, and the requirement growth up to 2025 for Class I. Excuse me. So if you don't agree that the RPS currently ends in 2025, what happens after 2025? (Mr. Long) The level of requirement continues indefinitely unless changed. So, say for Class I, in your view, it doesn't go from 16 to zero. It just stays at 16?	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 A. 17 18 19 Q. 20 A. 21 22 Q. 23	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the reason for the difference. So, should we increase that number, then, that's provided in IPP Exhibit 5? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the exhibit stands as it is. But the number that they generate each year is obviously dependent upon the size and the capacity. So it could change a little bit from year to year? (Mr. Labrecque) With yes, the capacity factor would change each year. And do you recall as an attachment to Mr. Traum's testimony was information that the Company had
2 3 4 5 A. 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 10 11 12 Q. 13 14 15 A. 16 17 18 Q. 19 20 A. 21 22 Q.	And then the first sentence says, "For each year, specified in the table below," and it goes on to specify what providers of electricity shall do; is that right? (Mr. Long) Yes. And if we look at the table in that section, can you tell me what year the table ends? (Mr. Long) It's a table with a different font, and it's hard to even align the columns of the table. But it starts in 2008 and goes to 2015, then a blank, and then 2025. And then there's a footnote that explains that Class I increases 1 percent per year between 2015 through 2025; correct? (Mr. Long) Right. That goes to the requirement, the growth and the requirements, and the requirement growth up to 2025 for Class I. Excuse me. So if you don't agree that the RPS currently ends in 2025, what happens after 2025? (Mr. Long) The level of requirement continues indefinitely unless changed. So, say for Class I, in your view, it doesn't go from 16 to zero. It just stays at 16?	2 3 4 A. 5 6 Q. 7 8 A. 9 Q. 10 A. 11 12 13 14 Q. 15 16 A. 17 18 19 Q. 20 A. 21 22 Q.	your testimony which related to the number of megawatt hours that the Laidlaw facility would produce; is that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) I think that was Mr. Large's typographical error. Yeah, we recall that. And the correction was that the facility is expected to produce 484,000 megawatt hours annually? (Mr. Labrecque) That's correct. And why is the REC number 406,464? (Mr. Labrecque) When this table was prepared, there was a different size, megawatt size of the facility assumed and a lower capacity factor. That's the reason for the difference. So, should we increase that number, then, that's provided in IPP Exhibit 5? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the exhibit stands as it is. But the number that they generate each year is obviously dependent upon the size and the capacity. So it could change a little bit from year to year? (Mr. Labrecque) With yes, the capacity factor would change each year.

				SERLIN BIOPOWER
		Page 49		Page 51
1		has currently? And specifically, it was Attachment 7	1	the way we proceeded. I think, absent some alternate
2		to Mr. Traum's testimony, if you have that with you.	2	agreement of the parties and approval of the
	A.		3	Commission, that's the way we think we have to
4	A.	(Mr. Labrecque) Yeah, we have them.	4	proceed.
5	Q.	One moment, please.		Q. And what if due to changes in other states' RPS laws
6	Q.	(Pause in proceedings)	6	or programs the value of Schiller and other markets
	D	Y MS. HATFIELD:	7	dropped significantly, say to a dollar? Would the
7	-			
8	Q.	·	8	Company's view still be that they need to sell those
9		confidential; correct?	9	Schiller RECs at a very low price and purchase other
10	A.	(Mr. Labrecque) I guess I'm not exactly sure what	10	RECs to comply with New Hampshire Class I?
11		yes. I don't know exactly which numbers we sought to	<b>11</b> A	
12		protect. I can take a stab at it.	12	market to determine the value, which in turn would
13	Q.	No, I'd rather you not have you do that. So what I'd	13	be would feed into the risk-sharing mechanism of
14		like to do is just bring you the redacted version, so	14	the Schiller agreement. So we need to determine the
15		that way you can see what's	15	market value of those RECs.
16	A.	(Mr. Labrecque) That sounds like a better idea.	16 (	Q. And I would like you now to turn your attention,
17		(Witness reviews document.)	17	please, to OCA Exhibit 5.
18	Q.	So what I'd like to have you do is not say on the	18	MS. HATFIELD: And I will note for the
19	-	record, please, because it is confidential, but just	19	record there is a 5P for public and a 5C for
20		so the Commission can see it this is Bates Page 24	20	confidential. And I did want the Company witnesses
21		of Mr. Traum's testimony. In response Section B,	21	to review the confidential version, please.
22		you've listed the number of RECs this is for	22	BY MS. HATFIELD:
23		2011 that the Company has that are Class I, other		Q. And I'd very much like to avoid going into
24		than and obviously it doesn't include Laidlaw; is	24	confidential session. So could you just confirm that
		and obviously it doesn't mende Landaw, is		connachtar session. So courd you just commit that
		Page 50		Page 52
		Page 50		Page 52
1		that correct?	1	the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the
	A.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct.	1 2	the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that
	A. Q.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that		the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?
2 3 4	Q.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right?	2 3	<ul><li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li><li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	Q. A.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been.	2 3	<ul><li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li><li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us,</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	Q. A.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right?	2 3 4 A	<ul><li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li><li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	Q. A.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been.	2 3 4 / 5	<ul><li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li><li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us,</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	Q. A.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li><li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for	2 3 4 <i>A</i> 5 6 7 8 (	<ul><li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li><li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ( 9 <i>A</i>	<ul><li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li><li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li><li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ( 9 <i>A</i>	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ( 9 4 10	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the	2 3 4 6 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the Schiller RECs for its RPS compliance?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11 12 13	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit, but what I'll do is I'll just try to mark</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the Schiller RECs for its RPS compliance? (Mr. Long) Yeah, the circumstance would be when the	2 3 4 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit, but what I'll do is I'll just try to mark confidential questions I have so I can group them</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the Schiller RECs for its RPS compliance? (Mr. Long) Yeah, the circumstance would be when the term of the settlement agreement and the docket that	2 3 4 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit, but what I'll do is I'll just try to mark confidential questions I have so I can group them together at the end.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the Schiller RECs for its RPS compliance? (Mr. Long) Yeah, the circumstance would be when the term of the settlement agreement and the docket that gave rise to the Schiller plant reaches its term and	2 3 4 5 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit, but what I'll do is I'll just try to mark confidential questions I have so I can group them together at the end. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the Schiller RECs for its RPS compliance? (Mr. Long) Yeah, the circumstance would be when the term of the settlement agreement and the docket that gave rise to the Schiller plant reaches its term and then frees up those RECs to be used for RPS	2 3 4 5 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit, but what I'll do is I'll just try to mark confidential questions I have so I can group them together at the end. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the Schiller RECs for its RPS compliance? (Mr. Long) Yeah, the circumstance would be when the term of the settlement agreement and the docket that gave rise to the Schiller plant reaches its term and then frees up those RECs to be used for RPS compliance.	2 3 4 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit, but what I'll do is I'll just try to mark confidential questions I have so I can group them together at the end. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. BY MS. HATFIELD: MS. HATFIELD: Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the Schiller RECs for its RPS compliance? (Mr. Long) Yeah, the circumstance would be when the term of the settlement agreement and the docket that gave rise to the Schiller plant reaches its term and then frees up those RECs to be used for RPS compliance. So your view of the Schiller agreement and the	2 3 4 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 (	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit, but what I'll do is I'll just try to mark confidential questions I have so I can group them together at the end. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. BY MS. HATFIELD:</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Long, do you still have the RPS law in front</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the Schiller RECs for its RPS compliance? (Mr. Long) Yeah, the circumstance would be when the term of the settlement agreement and the docket that gave rise to the Schiller plant reaches its term and then frees up those RECs to be used for RPS compliance. So your view of the Schiller agreement and the Schiller order is that you must sell the Schiller	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ( 20	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. <ul> <li>MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit, but what I'll do is I'll just try to mark confidential questions I have so I can group them together at the end.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. HATFIELD:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the Schiller RECs for its RPS compliance? (Mr. Long) Yeah, the circumstance would be when the term of the settlement agreement and the docket that gave rise to the Schiller plant reaches its term and then frees up those RECs to be used for RPS compliance. So your view of the Schiller agreement and the Schiller order is that you must sell the Schiller RECs, and you can't use them for New Hampshire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ( 20 21 4	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit, but what I'll do is I'll just try to mark confidential questions I have so I can group them together at the end. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. MS. HATFIELD: Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. HATFIELD:</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Long, do you still have the RPS law in front of you?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Are you referring to RSA 362-F?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the Schiller RECs for its RPS compliance? (Mr. Long) Yeah, the circumstance would be when the term of the settlement agreement and the docket that gave rise to the Schiller plant reaches its term and then frees up those RECs to be used for RPS compliance. So your view of the Schiller agreement and the Schiller order is that you must sell the Schiller RECs, and you can't use them for New Hampshire compliance until the term of that agreement has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ( 20 21 4 22 (	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. <ul> <li>MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit, but what I'll do is I'll just try to mark confidential questions I have so I can group them together at the end.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman for the end.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. HATFIELD: Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the Schiller RECs for its RPS compliance? (Mr. Long) Yeah, the circumstance would be when the term of the settlement agreement and the docket that gave rise to the Schiller plant reaches its term and then frees up those RECs to be used for RPS compliance. So your view of the Schiller agreement and the Schiller order is that you must sell the Schiller RECs, and you can't use them for New Hampshire compliance until the term of that agreement has ended?	2 3 4 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ( 20 21 4 22 ( 23 4	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. <ul> <li>MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit, but what I'll do is I'll just try to mark confidential questions I have so I can group them together at the end.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>BY MS. HATFIELD:</li> </ul> </li> <li>And Mr. Long, do you still have the RPS law in front of you?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Are you referring to RSA 362-F?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes, I do.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A.	that correct? (Mr. Labrecque) Correct. There's also been some discussion about the RECs that Schiller produces; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, there has been. And it seems as though it's the Company's view that the Schiller RECs do not need to be included for purposes of the Company determining its need; is that correct? (Mr. Large) That is correct. Are there any circumstances under which the Company would believe that it needs to consider utilizing the Schiller RECs for its RPS compliance? (Mr. Long) Yeah, the circumstance would be when the term of the settlement agreement and the docket that gave rise to the Schiller plant reaches its term and then frees up those RECs to be used for RPS compliance. So your view of the Schiller agreement and the Schiller order is that you must sell the Schiller RECs, and you can't use them for New Hampshire compliance until the term of that agreement has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 ( 9 4 10 ( 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 ( 20 21 4 22 (	<ul> <li>the chart on the bottom accurately reflects the numbers in the Total column for the year that that covers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) And when you say "on the bottom," Ms. Hatfield, it has the document as provided to us, it's actually on the back side of Exhibit 5C. Is that true?</li> <li>Q. Yes, it is. Thank you.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Large) I can confirm that.</li> <li>Q. It's Page 2 of 2. <ul> <li>MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I might like to do some additional questions on this exhibit, but what I'll do is I'll just try to mark confidential questions I have so I can group them together at the end.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MS. HATFIELD: Thank you.</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MS. HATFIELD:</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Long, do you still have the RPS law in front of you?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Are you referring to RSA 362-F?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes, I do.</li> </ul>

			RLIN BIOFOWER
	Page 53		Page 55
1	importance of renewable projects being financeable.	1	state's renewable energy goals and statute which
2	Do you recall that?	2	provides for long-term PPAs with in-state renewable
3 A		3	resources when the developer needs some form of price
4 (		4	assurance, but when future market prices are not
5	Commission considering whether a project is	5	known?" Do you see that question?
6	financeable?	6 A.	
7 A	. (Mr. Long) Offhand, I don't see it in 362. I was	7 Q.	
8	looking for the section that has to do with the	8	Company could buy RECs either on the market or
9	long-term purchase power agreement. I don't have	9	through a bilateral purchase?
10	that one in front of me.	10 A.	
11 (	. I can bring that to you if you'd like. It's RSA	11	the criteria on Line 28 that says "long-term PPAs."
12	362-F:9.	12 Q.	
13 A		13	the RPS?
14	(Ms. Hatfield hands document to witness.)	14 A.	
15 A		15	payments. In fact, that's why the statute gave
16	earlier answer. On 362-F:1, Purpose, the last	16	utilities and suppliers, you know, a fall-back
17	sentence in that section says that it is therefore in	17	position. But yes, you could buy RECs on the market.
18	the public interest to stimulate investment in	18	You could pay alternative compliance payments. But
19	low-emission renewable energy generation technology	19	that doesn't advance the purpose that we read earlier
20	in New England and, in particular, to New Hampshire,	20	about encouraging in-state development of renewables
21	whether at new or existing facilities. So, certainly	21	and the part that allows for long-term purchase power
22	investments require financing.	22	agreements.
23 (	. But looking at 362-F:9, it doesn't appear that	23 Q.	But it would comply with the RPS law itself.
24	there's anything about financing in the power	24 A.	(Mr. Long) Yes, but that's not what this question's
	Page 54		Page 56
1		1	-
1	purchase agreement section; is that right?	1 2	about. This question is about long-term purchase
<b>2</b> A	purchase agreement section; is that right? I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.	1 2 3	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could
	<ul><li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li><li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li><li>Sure.</li></ul>	2	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the
2 A 3 ( 4	purchase agreement section; is that right? I'm reading it. Give me one second, please. Sure. (Witness reviews document.)	2 3	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment."</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase
2 A 3 ( 4	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment."</li> <li>But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment."</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state.
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment."</li> <li>But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9 10 11 (	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you have to enter agreements with in-state parties for long-term arrangements.
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9 10 11 ( 12 A	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you <ul> <li>(Mr. Large) If I could add I'm sorry. But</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you have to enter agreements with in-state parties for long-term arrangements. But could you meet the letter of the law, maybe not this larger purpose that you believe exists. But
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9 10 11 ( 12 A 13	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment."</li> <li>But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you</li> <li>(Mr. Large) If I could add I'm sorry. But Section E identifies economic development, as well as</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 2 13 4	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you have to enter agreements with in-state parties for long-term arrangements. But could you meet the letter of the law, maybe not this larger purpose that you believe exists. But could you meet the letter of the law by just
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9 10 11 ( 12 A 13 14	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you <ul> <li>(Mr. Large) If I could add I'm sorry. But Section E identifies economic development, as well as environmental benefits to New Hampshire, which seems consistent with the last sentence of the purpose that Mr. Long referred to.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 2 13 4	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you have to enter agreements with in-state parties for long-term arrangements. But could you meet the letter of the law, maybe not this larger purpose that you believe exists. But could you meet the letter of the law by just purchasing RECs by a facility either inside or
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9 10 11 ( 12 A 13 14 15	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment."</li> <li>But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you</li> <li>(Mr. Large) If I could add I'm sorry. But Section E identifies economic development, as well as environmental benefits to New Hampshire, which seems consistent with the last sentence of the purpose that Mr. Long referred to.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 2 3 4 15	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you have to enter agreements with in-state parties for long-term arrangements. But could you meet the letter of the law, maybe not this larger purpose that you believe exists. But could you meet the letter of the law by just purchasing RECs by a facility either inside or outside the state?
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9 10 11 ( 12 A 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you <ul> <li>(Mr. Large) If I could add I'm sorry. But Section E identifies economic development, as well as environmental benefits to New Hampshire, which seems consistent with the last sentence of the purpose that Mr. Long referred to.</li> </ul> </li> <li>And Mr. Long, I think you had previously testified during this hearing that the PPA is a voluntary</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 2 13 4 15 16	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you have to enter agreements with in-state parties for long-term arrangements. But could you meet the letter of the law, maybe not this larger purpose that you believe exists. But could you meet the letter of the law by just purchasing RECs by a facility either inside or outside the state? (Mr. Long) Well, if you're saying can we comply with
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9 10 11 ( 12 A 13 14 15 16 17 (	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you <ul> <li>(Mr. Large) If I could add I'm sorry. But Section E identifies economic development, as well as environmental benefits to New Hampshire, which seems consistent with the last sentence of the purpose that Mr. Long referred to.</li> </ul> </li> <li>And Mr. Long, I think you had previously testified during this hearing that the PPA is a voluntary contract for PSNH; is that right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 2 13 2 14 15 16 17	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you have to enter agreements with in-state parties for long-term arrangements. But could you meet the letter of the law, maybe not this larger purpose that you believe exists. But could you meet the letter of the law by just purchasing RECs by a facility either inside or outside the state? (Mr. Long) Well, if you're saying can we comply with the law by making alternative compliance payments or
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9 10 11 ( 12 A 13 14 15 16 17 ( 18 19 20 A	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you <ul> <li>(Mr. Large) If I could add I'm sorry. But Section E identifies economic development, as well as environmental benefits to New Hampshire, which seems consistent with the last sentence of the purpose that Mr. Long referred to.</li> </ul> </li> <li>And Mr. Long, I think you had previously testified during this hearing that the PPA is a voluntary contract for PSNH; is that right?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Q. 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 20	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you have to enter agreements with in-state parties for long-term arrangements. But could you meet the letter of the law, maybe not this larger purpose that you believe exists. But could you meet the letter of the law by just purchasing RECs by a facility either inside or outside the state? (Mr. Long) Well, if you're saying can we comply with the law by making alternative compliance payments or making short-term purchases, of course, the answer is
$\begin{array}{c} 2 & A \\ 3 & ( \\ 4 \\ 5 & A \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ ( \\ 12 & A \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ ( \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 & A \\ 21 \\ ( \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you <ul> <li>(Mr. Large) If I could add I'm sorry. But Section E identifies economic development, as well as environmental benefits to New Hampshire, which seems consistent with the last sentence of the purpose that Mr. Long referred to.</li> <li>And Mr. Long, I think you had previously testified during this hearing that the PPA is a voluntary contract for PSNH; is that right?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>If we look at Page 11 of your rebuttal, please?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Q. 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 20 21	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you have to enter agreements with in-state parties for long-term arrangements. But could you meet the letter of the law, maybe not this larger purpose that you believe exists. But could you meet the letter of the law by just purchasing RECs by a facility either inside or outside the state? (Mr. Long) Well, if you're saying can we comply with the law by making alternative compliance payments or making short-term purchases, of course, the answer is yes. And that's what many suppliers in New England
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9 10 11 ( 12 A 13 14 15 16 17 ( 18 19 20 A 21 ( 22 A	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you <ul> <li>(Mr. Large) If I could add I'm sorry. But Section E identifies economic development, as well as environmental benefits to New Hampshire, which seems consistent with the last sentence of the purpose that Mr. Long referred to.</li> <li>And Mr. Long, I think you had previously testified during this hearing that the PPA is a voluntary contract for PSNH; is that right?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Okay. We have it.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Q. 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 20 21 22	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you have to enter agreements with in-state parties for long-term arrangements. But could you meet the letter of the law, maybe not this larger purpose that you believe exists. But could you meet the letter of the law by just purchasing RECs by a facility either inside or outside the state? (Mr. Long) Well, if you're saying can we comply with the law by making alternative compliance payments or making short-term purchases, of course, the answer is yes. And that's what many suppliers in New England do does. And I think that's one of the problems
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9 10 11 ( 12 A 13 14 15 16 17 ( 18 19 20 A 21 ( 22 A 23 (	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you <ul> <li>(Mr. Large) If I could add I'm sorry. But Section E identifies economic development, as well as environmental benefits to New Hampshire, which seems consistent with the last sentence of the purpose that Mr. Long referred to.</li> <li>And Mr. Long, I think you had previously testified during this hearing that the PPA is a voluntary contract for PSNH; is that right?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Okay. We have it.</li> <li>Starting at Line 27, you were asked the following</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Q. 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state.</li> <li>I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you have to enter agreements with in-state parties for long-term arrangements.</li> <li>But could you meet the letter of the law, maybe not this larger purpose that you believe exists. But could you meet the letter of the law by just purchasing RECs by a facility either inside or outside the state?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Well, if you're saying can we comply with the law by making alternative compliance payments or making short-term purchases, of course, the answer is yes. And that's what many suppliers in New England do does. And I think that's one of the problems of today, why renewables are not being developed,</li> </ul>
2 A 3 ( 4 5 A 6 7 8 9 10 11 ( 12 A 13 14 15 16 17 ( 18 19 20 A 21 ( 22 A	<ul> <li>purchase agreement section; is that right?</li> <li>I'm reading it. Give me one second, please.</li> <li>Sure. <ul> <li>(Witness reviews document.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) No, I don't see the word "investment." But I think it's pretty obvious that if we're asked to enter into a purchase power agreement, that's really what the purpose of a purchase power agreement is, is to encourage investments, and investments require financing.</li> <li>And you <ul> <li>(Mr. Large) If I could add I'm sorry. But Section E identifies economic development, as well as environmental benefits to New Hampshire, which seems consistent with the last sentence of the purpose that Mr. Long referred to.</li> <li>And Mr. Long, I think you had previously testified during this hearing that the PPA is a voluntary contract for PSNH; is that right?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Okay. We have it.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Q. 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 20 21 22	about. This question is about long-term purchase power agreements with in-state renewables. We could certainly buy RECs, if they are available, from the marketplace. And it could very likely be outside of New Hampshire, and it would not meet the purchase the purpose of the statute, which is to encourage in-state development and economic development in-state. I think that's one of the huge differences, is if you want those in-state developments, then you have to enter agreements with in-state parties for long-term arrangements. But could you meet the letter of the law, maybe not this larger purpose that you believe exists. But could you meet the letter of the law by just purchasing RECs by a facility either inside or outside the state? (Mr. Long) Well, if you're saying can we comply with the law by making alternative compliance payments or making short-term purchases, of course, the answer is yes. And that's what many suppliers in New England do does. And I think that's one of the problems

			1 11 1	<b>J</b> 121	
		Page 57			Page 59
1		investments that the statute is trying to encourage.	1		Commission to take administrative notice of that
2	Q.	On Page 12 of your rebuttal, at Line 7, you are	2		docket. And as I said, my questions related to this
3	τ.	referring to Mr. McCluskey's testimony. Do you see	3		are confidential, so I will hold them at this time.
4		that?	4	B	Y MS. HATFIELD:
5	A.	Yes.			I'd now like to turn your attention to your rebuttal
6	Q.	And you state that he noted in his testimony that the	6	Q٠	at Page 7, please. And Mr. Labrecque, I think you
7	Q٠	perfect solution for customers is to have generating	7		discussed this earlier in the hearing, or perhaps
8		facilities owned by a utility and subject to	8		Mr. Large.
-		traditional cost-of-service regulation. Do you see	9		At Line 8, you state that Schiller's current
9		those lines?			÷
10	٨	Yes.	10	٨	wood price is \$27 per ton. Do you see that?
	A.		11		(Mr. Large) Yes.
12	Q.	And is that what the Company thinks is the perfect		Q.	1 I
13		solution?	13	A.	(Mr. Large) Just recently, in the few weeks
	А.	(Mr. Long) I will say the word "perfect" may not be	14		immediately prior to the submission of our rebuttal
15		the right choice of words. But I do believe that	15	~	testimony.
16		utility ownership of generation is the lowest cost		Q.	
17		option for customers. It's not an option right now.	17		docket about the recent history of wood prices; is
18		You know, PSNH is not owning this power plant.	18		that correct?
19		Somebody else is. But if it were available, I think	19		
20		ownership would be the lowest cost option for	20	Q.	Yes. If you look at OCA Exhibit 2, this is the
21		customers.	21		response to Staff 1-22
22	Q.	Turning to Page 25 of your rebuttal I'm sorry	22		(Mr. Large) I have it. Thank you.
23		Page 27, please starting at Line 25, you refer to	23	Q.	And if you look on the second page, Page 2 of 2 of
24		the PPA that the Commission approved for the Lempster	24		that data response, do you see there's a table at the
		Page 58			Page 60
1		-	1		-
1	A	Wind Farm. Do you see that?	1	A	top that's titled "Wood Prices"?
2	A. 0	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do.	2		top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that.
2 3	A. Q.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the	2 3		top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says
2 3 4	Q.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA?	2 3 4	Q.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5	Q. A.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are.	2 3 4 5	Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do.
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative	2 3 4 5 6	Q. A. Q.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>Wind Farm. Do you see that?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, I do.</li> <li>And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, we are.</li> <li>Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A.	<ul> <li>Wind Farm. Do you see that?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, I do.</li> <li>And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, we are.</li> <li>Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>Wind Farm. Do you see that?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, I do.</li> <li>And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, we are.</li> <li>Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>Wind Farm. Do you see that?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, I do.</li> <li>And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, we are.</li> <li>Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it.</li> <li>The answer is no, it does not. As I stated in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes. And does the \$27 price include all costs related to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>Wind Farm. Do you see that?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, I do.</li> <li>And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, we are.</li> <li>Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it.</li> <li>The answer is no, it does not. As I stated in our testimony here, this is the first application</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes. And does the \$27 price include all costs related to the delivery of wood fuel to your site? Is it an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question. (Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it. The answer is no, it does not. As I stated in our testimony here, this is the first application that's a unique feature of this particular purchase	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes. And does the \$27 price include all costs related to the delivery of wood fuel to your site? Is it an all-in cost?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question. (Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it. The answer is no, it does not. As I stated in our testimony here, this is the first application that's a unique feature of this particular purchase power agreement between PSNH and Laidlaw.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes. And does the \$27 price include all costs related to the delivery of wood fuel to your site? Is it an all-in cost? (Mr. Large) That is the delivered price of wood, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>Wind Farm. Do you see that?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, I do.</li> <li>And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, we are.</li> <li>Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it.</li> <li>The answer is no, it does not. As I stated in our testimony here, this is the first application that's a unique feature of this particular purchase power agreement between PSNH and Laidlaw.</li> <li>MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Chairman, I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes. And does the \$27 price include all costs related to the delivery of wood fuel to your site? Is it an all-in cost? (Mr. Large) That is the delivered price of wood, yes. So there isn't any type of fuel added for the drivers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question. (Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it. The answer is no, it does not. As I stated in our testimony here, this is the first application that's a unique feature of this particular purchase power agreement between PSNH and Laidlaw. MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Chairman, I have copies for the witnesses, their counsel and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes. And does the \$27 price include all costs related to the delivery of wood fuel to your site? Is it an all-in cost? (Mr. Large) That is the delivered price of wood, yes. So there isn't any type of fuel added for the drivers who deliver the wood or anything like that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question. (Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it. The answer is no, it does not. As I stated in our testimony here, this is the first application that's a unique feature of this particular purchase power agreement between PSNH and Laidlaw. MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Chairman, I have copies for the witnesses, their counsel and the Commission that are confidential documents from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes. And does the \$27 price include all costs related to the delivery of wood fuel to your site? Is it an all-in cost? (Mr. Large) That is the delivered price of wood, yes. So there isn't any type of fuel added for the drivers who deliver the wood or anything like that? (Mr. Large) The \$27 price incorporates all costs to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question. (Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it. The answer is no, it does not. As I stated in our testimony here, this is the first application that's a unique feature of this particular purchase power agreement between PSNH and Laidlaw. MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Chairman, I have copies for the witnesses, their counsel and the Commission that are confidential documents from the Lempster docket that I'd like to distribute now, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes. And does the \$27 price include all costs related to the delivery of wood fuel to your site? Is it an all-in cost? (Mr. Large) That is the delivered price of wood, yes. So there isn't any type of fuel added for the drivers who deliver the wood or anything like that? (Mr. Large) The \$27 price incorporates all costs to get the wood to Schiller Station and deliver it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question. (Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it. The answer is no, it does not. As I stated in our testimony here, this is the first application that's a unique feature of this particular purchase power agreement between PSNH and Laidlaw. MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Chairman, I have copies for the witnesses, their counsel and the Commission that are confidential documents from the Lempster docket that I'd like to distribute now, but hold my questions until the confidential portion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes. And does the \$27 price include all costs related to the delivery of wood fuel to your site? Is it an all-in cost? (Mr. Large) That is the delivered price of wood, yes. So there isn't any type of fuel added for the drivers who deliver the wood or anything like that? (Mr. Large) The \$27 price incorporates all costs to get the wood to Schiller Station and deliver it there, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question. (Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it. The answer is no, it does not. As I stated in our testimony here, this is the first application that's a unique feature of this particular purchase power agreement between PSNH and Laidlaw. MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Chairman, I have copies for the witnesses, their counsel and the Commission that are confidential documents from the Lempster docket that I'd like to distribute now, but hold my questions until the confidential portion. And I think, rather than seek to have these put into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes. And does the \$27 price include all costs related to the delivery of wood fuel to your site? Is it an all-in cost? (Mr. Large) That is the delivered price of wood, yes. So there isn't any type of fuel added for the drivers who deliver the wood or anything like that? (Mr. Large) The \$27 price incorporates all costs to get the wood to Schiller Station and deliver it there, yes. And do you have any sense of how long the price might
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question. (Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it. The answer is no, it does not. As I stated in our testimony here, this is the first application that's a unique feature of this particular purchase power agreement between PSNH and Laidlaw. MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Chairman, I have copies for the witnesses, their counsel and the Commission that are confidential documents from the Lempster docket that I'd like to distribute now, but hold my questions until the confidential portion. And I think, rather than seek to have these put into the record in this case, because these are exhibits	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes. And does the \$27 price include all costs related to the delivery of wood fuel to your site? Is it an all-in cost? (Mr. Large) That is the delivered price of wood, yes. So there isn't any type of fuel added for the drivers who deliver the wood or anything like that? (Mr. Large) The \$27 price incorporates all costs to get the wood to Schiller Station and deliver it there, yes. And do you have any sense of how long the price might remain at \$27 a ton?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	Wind Farm. Do you see that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I do. And are some of the panelists familiar with the Lempster PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, we are. Do you know if the Lempster PPA has a cumulative reduction fund and that may require a confidential response, I should say before you answer it. It might be more appropriate for me to wait on that question. (Mr. Long) I don't think it does. I'm willing to answer it. The answer is no, it does not. As I stated in our testimony here, this is the first application that's a unique feature of this particular purchase power agreement between PSNH and Laidlaw. MS. HATFIELD: And Mr. Chairman, I have copies for the witnesses, their counsel and the Commission that are confidential documents from the Lempster docket that I'd like to distribute now, but hold my questions until the confidential portion. And I think, rather than seek to have these put into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	top that's titled "Wood Prices"? (Mr. Large) I have that. And at the bottom of that part of the table it says Q3 2010. Do you see that? (Mr. Large) I do. And it says \$30.70? (Mr. Large) Yes. And so your testimony now is that price has dropped to \$27? (Mr. Large) Currently for dispatch of the unit, Schiller Unit 5, in the month of January 2011, that price is \$27, yes. And does the \$27 price include all costs related to the delivery of wood fuel to your site? Is it an all-in cost? (Mr. Large) That is the delivered price of wood, yes. So there isn't any type of fuel added for the drivers who deliver the wood or anything like that? (Mr. Large) The \$27 price incorporates all costs to get the wood to Schiller Station and deliver it there, yes. And do you have any sense of how long the price might remain at \$27 a ton?

		Page 61			Page 63
1	0	If you could turn now to OCA 3. It's another data	1		it.
2	×۰	response. And we have provided 3P for public and 3C	2	Q.	And do you know if there have been disallowances
3		for confidential. Do you have that before you?	3	×۰	related to the price of wood at Schiller?
	A.	(Mr. Large) I do.	-	A.	(Mr. Large) I do not know that.
5	Q.	And this is a data response in another docket. It's		A.	
6	×۰	DE 10-257, which is the Company's 2011 energy service	6	11.	have been no disallowances related to wood.
7		case; is that right?	7	Q.	
	A.		8	τ.	page, down at Line 31, you're discussing the
9		sorry. I was not a participant in that case.	9		conversion factor. Do you see that?
10	Q.	Thank you. And this is a response, the Company's	-	A.	-
11	×۰	response to Staff's Set 1, No. 6; correct?	11		And the current conversion factor is 1.8; is that
12	А	(Mr. Large) That's what's identified here, yes.	12	×۰	correct?
13		And it's dated October 21st, 2010?		А	(Mr. Long) Yes.
	₹. A.	(Mr. Large) It is, yes.		-	
15		And if you look at what's provided as the second page	15	×۰	a negotiated figure; right?
16	×۰	of the confidential version and it's actually Page		A.	(Mr. Long) Right.
17		3 of 4 in the upper right-hand column do you see			Does that mean that it could be different from 1.8?
18		that?			(Mr. Long) I think you mean by "be different," could
	A.		19		actual operations be different than that number? And
20	~	And again, I'm going to try not to go into	20		the answer would be yes.
21	τ.	confidential information right now. But would you		0.	I was actually asking could the parties have
22		look at the fifth column from the left.	22	τ.	negotiated a different number, say 1.6 or 1.9?
	A.	(Mr. Large) I see that.	23	A.	(Mr. Long) Sure. It was a negotiated number, so the
	Q.	And do you see also that the top of this chart has a	24		parties could have come up to a different conclusion.
	•	5 1			1 1
		Page 62			Page 64
1		Page 62 title to it?	1		-
1	A.	title to it?	1	Q.	Page 64 This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor?
	A.	-	2	Q. A.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor?
2	A.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do.	2		This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor?
2 3	A.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman,	2 3		This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood
2 3 4		title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few	2 3 4	A.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of
2 3 4 5 6	B	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session.	2 3 4 5	A.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs.
2 3 4 5 6	B	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD:	2 3 4 5 6 7	A.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for
2 3 4 5 6 7	B	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	B	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	B	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	А. Q. A.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	B Q.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	А. Q. A.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	B Q.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all of our documentation associated with operation of our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	А. Q. A.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH? (Mr. Long) I don't recall the question. But there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	B Q.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all of our documentation associated with operation of our facilities and cost of procurement for fuels and an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH? (Mr. Long) I don't recall the question. But there have been developers who have asked for long-term
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	B Q.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all of our documentation associated with operation of our facilities and cost of procurement for fuels and an energy service reconciliation docket that's reviewed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A. Q. A.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH? (Mr. Long) I don't recall the question. But there have been developers who have asked for long-term contracts with PSNH.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	B Q.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all of our documentation associated with operation of our facilities and cost of procurement for fuels and an energy service reconciliation docket that's reviewed by the Commission, and they judge whether the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q. A.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH? (Mr. Long) I don't recall the question. But there have been developers who have asked for long-term contracts with PSNH. I believe, if I'm recalling correctly, that Mr.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	B Q.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all of our documentation associated with operation of our facilities and cost of procurement for fuels and an energy service reconciliation docket that's reviewed by the Commission, and they judge whether the operation of our plants and the associated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q. A.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH? (Mr. Long) I don't recall the question. But there have been developers who have asked for long-term contracts with PSNH. I believe, if I'm recalling correctly, that Mr. Shulock actually referenced PURPA and asked if you
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	B Q.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all of our documentation associated with operation of our facilities and cost of procurement for fuels and an energy service reconciliation docket that's reviewed by the Commission, and they judge whether the operation of our plants and the associated operations, like the procurement of fuel, were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. A.	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH? (Mr. Long) I don't recall the question. But there have been developers who have asked for long-term contracts with PSNH. I believe, if I'm recalling correctly, that Mr. Shulock actually referenced PURPA and asked if you knew of any wood plants seeking long-term contracts
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	B Q.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all of our documentation associated with operation of our facilities and cost of procurement for fuels and an energy service reconciliation docket that's reviewed by the Commission, and they judge whether the operation of our plants and the associated operations, like the procurement of fuel, were prudent; and if so, we're allowed to recover those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH? (Mr. Long) I don't recall the question. But there have been developers who have asked for long-term contracts with PSNH. I believe, if I'm recalling correctly, that Mr. Shulock actually referenced PURPA and asked if you knew of any wood plants seeking long-term contracts under PURPA from PSNH. Do you recall that?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	B Q.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all of our documentation associated with operation of our facilities and cost of procurement for fuels and an energy service reconciliation docket that's reviewed by the Commission, and they judge whether the operation of our plants and the associated operations, like the procurement of fuel, were prudent; and if so, we're allowed to recover those costs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH? (Mr. Long) I don't recall the question. But there have been developers who have asked for long-term contracts with PSNH. I believe, if I'm recalling correctly, that Mr. Shulock actually referenced PURPA and asked if you knew of any wood plants seeking long-term contracts under PURPA from PSNH. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) I do, but I don't believe it was counsel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	B Q. A.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all of our documentation associated with operation of our facilities and cost of procurement for fuels and an energy service reconciliation docket that's reviewed by the Commission, and they judge whether the operation of our plants and the associated operations, like the procurement of fuel, were prudent; and if so, we're allowed to recover those costs. And do you know what type of review goes into looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li><li>A.</li><li>Q.</li></ul>	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH? (Mr. Long) I don't recall the question. But there have been developers who have asked for long-term contracts with PSNH. I believe, if I'm recalling correctly, that Mr. Shulock actually referenced PURPA and asked if you knew of any wood plants seeking long-term contracts under PURPA from PSNH. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) I do, but I don't believe it was counsel for IPPs. I believe it was counsel for the City of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	B Q. A.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all of our documentation associated with operation of our facilities and cost of procurement for fuels and an energy service reconciliation docket that's reviewed by the Commission, and they judge whether the operation of our plants and the associated operations, like the procurement of fuel, were prudent; and if so, we're allowed to recover those costs. And do you know what type of review goes into looking at whether or not the wood prices were prudent?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>A.</li> </ul>	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH? (Mr. Long) I don't recall the question. But there have been developers who have asked for long-term contracts with PSNH. I believe, if I'm recalling correctly, that Mr. Shulock actually referenced PURPA and asked if you knew of any wood plants seeking long-term contracts under PURPA from PSNH. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) I do, but I don't believe it was counsel for IPPs. I believe it was counsel for the City of Berlin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	B Q. A.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all of our documentation associated with operation of our facilities and cost of procurement for fuels and an energy service reconciliation docket that's reviewed by the Commission, and they judge whether the operation of our plants and the associated operations, like the procurement of fuel, were prudent; and if so, we're allowed to recover those costs. And do you know what type of review goes into looking at whether or not the wood prices were prudent? (Mr. Large) I have not been a participant in those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>A.</li> </ul>	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH? (Mr. Long) I don't recall the question. But there have been developers who have asked for long-term contracts with PSNH. I believe, if I'm recalling correctly, that Mr. Shulock actually referenced PURPA and asked if you knew of any wood plants seeking long-term contracts under PURPA from PSNH. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) I do, but I don't believe it was counsel for IPPs. I believe it was counsel for the City of Berlin. Thank you. And your answer was that, no, you weren't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	B Q. A.	title to it? (Mr. Large) I do. MS. HATFIELD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, again I'd like to just note that I'll ask a few follow-ups on this in confidential session. Y MS. HATFIELD: And could one of you describe how the price of wood is regulated by the Commission? I know you discussed this briefly with Mr. Shulock. But I'm wondering if you can sort of walk through the process of how the wood price at Schiller is regulated. (Mr. Large) It's my understanding that we submit all of our documentation associated with operation of our facilities and cost of procurement for fuels and an energy service reconciliation docket that's reviewed by the Commission, and they judge whether the operation of our plants and the associated operations, like the procurement of fuel, were prudent; and if so, we're allowed to recover those costs. And do you know what type of review goes into looking at whether or not the wood prices were prudent?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>A.</li> </ul>	This is the conclusion the parties came to. And what is the purpose of the conversion factor? (Mr. Long) Simply to convert dollars per ton of wood costs into essentially dollars per megawatt hour of energy costs. And then that is used to develop the energy price for the facility under the PPA? (Mr. Long) Yes, through the wood price-adjustment mechanism. Mr. Long, do you recall yesterday being asked by Mr. Shulock if any wood plants had asked for long-term contracts with PSNH? (Mr. Long) I don't recall the question. But there have been developers who have asked for long-term contracts with PSNH. I believe, if I'm recalling correctly, that Mr. Shulock actually referenced PURPA and asked if you knew of any wood plants seeking long-term contracts under PURPA from PSNH. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) I do, but I don't believe it was counsel for IPPs. I believe it was counsel for the City of Berlin.

		DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLA	<b>1</b> VV	DER	LIN DIOPOWEK
		Page 65			Page 67
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. B	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) We've had no request for long-term purchase agreements under PURPA.</li> <li>Are you aware of the Clean Power Development docket that the Commission has opened? It's numbered DE 09-067?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes, somewhat.</li> <li>And would you say that that's different than a plant looking for a long-term contract from the Company?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Our position in that docket, and I think the evidence says, that Clean Power Development has not asked for a long-term pricing under PURPA. They filed a complaint, but they did not request a long-term pricing arrangement under PURPA also, the subject of a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission proceeding which was recently decided.</li> <li>So you are making a distinction between the complaint versus them formally making a request?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes. And our response at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is that they have not asked for such a rate under PURPA.</li> <li>MS. HATFIELD: One moment, please. (Pause in proceedings)</li> <li>Y MR. HATFIELD:</li> <li>Mr. Long, I think yesterday you referred to the fact</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Can you explain what you mean by that? (Mr. Large) Certainly. The banking of allowances would occur in year one and year two for use in year three, and you would not know what your requirement for RECs in year three was until that year transpired. Yesterday there was also discussion about the various types of things that might be in place at the end of the PPA when PSNH could exercise its option to purchase. Do you recall that? (Mr. Large) Yes, we do. And Mr. Shulock was asking you questions about whether the fair market value of the plant would be an important number. Do you recall that? (Mr. Long) Yes, I recall that. And I thought I heard Mr. Long, I thought I heard you say that someone would purchase PSNH's option to purchase without regard to the fair market value of the plant. Is that what you said? (Mr. Long) Well, if I did, I think that's not what I meant. I think, as we've had exchange of Q and A, I think what it came down to is, does the plant have to have value in order for it in order for you to realize the value that has that exists in the
		Page 66			
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		<ul> <li>that RECs are resellable. Do you recall that?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No. But they are. We agree that they are.</li> <li>And were you referring or are you referring to the fact that they can be banked?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Defer to you, Terry.</li> <li>(Mr. Labrecque) I think we were probably referring to the fact that they can change hands a number of times, that they can be bought and sold.</li> <li>And Mr. Labrecque, are you familiar with how long a REC can be banked before it can't be used anymore?</li> <li>(Mr. Labrecque) Yes.</li> <li>How long is that?</li> <li>(Mr. Labrecque) Well, under the New Hampshire RPS regulations, you can satisfy up to 30 percent of your current year's requirement with a REC that was created in either of the two prior years. So I guess the simple answer would be two years.</li> <li>So if you had excess RECs in one year, you could bank some portion of them, but only for two years?</li> <li>(Mr. Labrecque) Correct.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) While it may be a nit, some portion is an unknown amount in a period of time that has not yet occurred. So</li> </ul>	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	And this looks like it is it shows wood pricing starting back from 2004; is that right? (Mr. Large) Yes, it does. And these are wood prices at Schiller?

		DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDL	<b>4 VV</b>	DER	
		Page 69			Page 83
1 2		response we propounded to them about wood fuel prices historically.	1		(PUBLIC portion of the hearing resumes.) *****
3	Q.	And these are so these are the prices that Concord	3		MR. CHAIRMAN: We're back on the
4	•	Steam paid at their plant here in Concord.	4		public record. Ms. Hatfield.
5	А.	(Mr. Large) That is correct.	5		MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I
6	Q.	Mr. Long, you had testified yesterday, I believe,	6		neglected to ask one other question that I had on the
7		that one of the important things about the Berlin	7		public record. If you would indulge me, I'd like to
8		project is that its in PSNH's service territory. Do	8	DI	do that.
9	٨	you recall that?	9		Y MS. HATFIELD:
	A. Q.	(Mr. Long) Yes, I do.	10		Could the panelists turn to OCA Exhibit 6. OCA Exhibit 6 is a page from a book titled, Fundamentals
12	Q.	Do you know whose service territory the Lempster Wind Farm is in?	11 12		of Energy Regulation. And it's written by Jonathan
	A.		13		A. Lesser, Ph.D. and Leonard R. Giacchino, Ph.D., and
14	11.	Co-operative territory. It also is not a job	14	1	it was published in 2007 by Public Utility Reports,
15		producer.	15		Inc.
16		MS. HATFIELD: Mr. Chairman, I think	16		Have the panelists had a chance to look at
17		that concludes my cross, with the exception of a few	17		Page 81 from this book?
18		confidential questions and then cross at a future	18		(Mr. Long) I browsed it.
19		point on the new Exhibit 9.	19	Q.	And Mr. Long, would you please read the last
20		MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. At	20		paragraph on that page that begins with "A regulated
21		this time, then, I'd ask that anyone who's in the	21	٨	firm."
22		room who is not subject to the obligations of confidentiality, to please exit.		A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. "A regulated firm does not earn any return on its operating costs because those costs are
23 24		(Whereupon non-confidential parties	23 24		treated as current expenses. In other words, a firm
44		(Whereupon non confidential parties	21		ficated as current expenses. In other words, a firm
		Page 70			Page 84
1					
-		leave the hearing room )	1		is entitled to a return of its prudently incurred
2		leave the hearing room.) MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a	1		is entitled to a return of its prudently incurred operating costs, not a return on them. This rate
2 3		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a	1 2 3		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate
2 3 4		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I	2		
3		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a	2 3		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that
3 4		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and	2 3 4		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric
3 4 5		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters.	2 3 4 5		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will
3 4 5 6 7 8		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory
3 4 5 7 8 9 10		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you have the chance, Sue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such contracts as debt instruments, much as if the utility
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you have the chance, Sue. (Pages 71 through 82 of the hearing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you have the chance, Sue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such contracts as debt instruments, much as if the utility issued bonds. This so-called debt equivalency issue
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you have the chance, Sue. (Pages 71 through 82 of the hearing transcript are contained under separate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such contracts as debt instruments, much as if the utility issued bonds. This so-called debt equivalency issue raises difficult questions with regard to the overall COS, because what may be a lower cost purchase source of generation may raise the utility's overall cost of
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you have the chance, Sue. (Pages 71 through 82 of the hearing transcript are contained under separate cover designated as "CONFIDENTIAL"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such contracts as debt instruments, much as if the utility issued bonds. This so-called debt equivalency issue raises difficult questions with regard to the overall COS, because what may be a lower cost purchase source of generation may raise the utility's overall cost of capital."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you have the chance, Sue. (Pages 71 through 82 of the hearing transcript are contained under separate cover designated as "CONFIDENTIAL"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such contracts as debt instruments, much as if the utility issued bonds. This so-called debt equivalency issue raises difficult questions with regard to the overall COS, because what may be a lower cost purchase source of generation may raise the utility's overall cost of capital."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you have the chance, Sue. (Pages 71 through 82 of the hearing transcript are contained under separate cover designated as "CONFIDENTIAL"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such contracts as debt instruments, much as if the utility issued bonds. This so-called debt equivalency issue raises difficult questions with regard to the overall COS, because what may be a lower cost purchase source of generation may raise the utility's overall cost of capital." Mr. Long, do you think PSNH entering into the Laidlaw PPA will raise these issues for the Company?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you have the chance, Sue. (Pages 71 through 82 of the hearing transcript are contained under separate cover designated as "CONFIDENTIAL"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such contracts as debt instruments, much as if the utility issued bonds. This so-called debt equivalency issue raises difficult questions with regard to the overall COS, because what may be a lower cost purchase source of generation may raise the utility's overall cost of capital." Mr. Long, do you think PSNH entering into the Laidlaw PPA will raise these issues for the Company? (Witnesses discussing)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you have the chance, Sue. (Pages 71 through 82 of the hearing transcript are contained under separate cover designated as "CONFIDENTIAL"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such contracts as debt instruments, much as if the utility issued bonds. This so-called debt equivalency issue raises difficult questions with regard to the overall COS, because what may be a lower cost purchase source of generation may raise the utility's overall cost of capital." Mr. Long, do you think PSNH entering into the Laidlaw PPA will raise these issues for the Company? (Witnesses discussing) (Mr. Long) Prior to signing the purchase power
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you have the chance, Sue. (Pages 71 through 82 of the hearing transcript are contained under separate cover designated as "CONFIDENTIAL"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such contracts as debt instruments, much as if the utility issued bonds. This so-called debt equivalency issue raises difficult questions with regard to the overall COS, because what may be a lower cost purchase source of generation may raise the utility's overall cost of capital." Mr. Long, do you think PSNH entering into the Laidlaw PPA will raise these issues for the Company? (Witnesses discussing) (Mr. Long) Prior to signing the purchase power agreement, we consulted with our financial and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you have the chance, Sue. (Pages 71 through 82 of the hearing transcript are contained under separate cover designated as "CONFIDENTIAL"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such contracts as debt instruments, much as if the utility issued bonds. This so-called debt equivalency issue raises difficult questions with regard to the overall COS, because what may be a lower cost purchase source of generation may raise the utility's overall cost of capital." Mr. Long, do you think PSNH entering into the Laidlaw PPA will raise these issues for the Company? (Witnesses discussing) (Mr. Long) Prior to signing the purchase power agreement, we consulted with our financial and accounting folks, and they advised us that there
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		MR. CHAIRMAN: Let me do this as a formality. This is still on the public record. I think this requires a vote of the Commission. So I would move that we move into a confidential, non-public session for hearing cross-examination and testimony on confidential matters. CMSR. IGNATIUS: Second. CMSR. BELOW: I concur. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Motion carries. Now we can move into a confidential record when you have the chance, Sue. (Pages 71 through 82 of the hearing transcript are contained under separate cover designated as "CONFIDENTIAL"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	operating costs, not a return on them. This rate treatment also applies to electric companies that purchase generation from other firms. In the United States, such purchase power agreements, in parens, PPAs, have become far more important as the electric industry has restructured. However, as we will discuss, PPAs also raise interesting and controversial issues as to the risk regulatory utilities bear when they enter into such contracts. This is because financial institutions treat such contracts as debt instruments, much as if the utility issued bonds. This so-called debt equivalency issue raises difficult questions with regard to the overall COS, because what may be a lower cost purchase source of generation may raise the utility's overall cost of capital." Mr. Long, do you think PSNH entering into the Laidlaw PPA will raise these issues for the Company? (Witnesses discussing) (Mr. Long) Prior to signing the purchase power agreement, we consulted with our financial and

			DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLA	<b>4 W</b>	BER	LIN DIOFOWER
			Page 85			Page 87
	1	0	Did they also say that it wouldn't negatively impact	1		notice provision in Article 21 lists their business
	2	Q٠	the Company's ability to get financing?	2		address in which to serve notices.
		٨	(Mr. Long) There were no concerns about our ability		0	
		A.		3	Q.	1
	4		to get financing as a result of this PPA.	4		Biopower, LLC and Laidlaw Energy Group, Inc.?
	5		MS. HATFIELD: Thank you. I have		А.	(Mr. Long) Laidlaw Energy Group, Inc. has a principal
	6		nothing further.	6		who worked on the negotiation of the purchase power
	7		MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	7	~	agreement.
	8		(Chairman and Commissioners conferring.)	8	Q.	Are there ownership interests between the Laidlaw
	9		MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Amidon.	9		Energy Group, Inc. and Laidlaw Biopower?
1	0		MS. AMIDON: Thank you. I'll be		A.	(Mr. Long) There was at one time. I'm not sure what
1	1		conducting some cross on the purchase power	11		the structure is right now, who owns what percent of
1	2		agreement, and Mr. McCluskey will have some	12		what.
1	3		additional questions as well. I'm not sure if Mr.	13	Q.	Okay. Page 33 of the PPA, which is PSNH Exhibit 2,
1	4		Frantz will have questions as well. But I just	14		is titled "Form of Purchase Option Agreement"; is
1	5		wanted to let you know we've split up the	15		that correct?
1	6		cross-examination in that fashion.	16	A.	(Mr. Long) Yes.
1	7		CROSS-EXAMINATION	17	Q.	Okay. In this purchase option agreement, it says
1	8	B	Y MS. AMIDON:	18		it's effective between PSNH; PJPD Holdings, LLC, a
1	9	Q.	So, good afternoon.	19		Delaware limited company; and Laidlaw Berlin
2	0	A.	(Panel Members) Good afternoon.	20		Biopower. Who is PJPD Holdings, LLC?
2	1	Q.	Yesterday, I think it was Mr. Long who said that one	21	А.	(Mr. Long) I don't know if I know, off the top of my
2	2		of the reasons that the Laidlaw Berlin facility was	22		head. But the lawyers who drafted this, their
2	3		attractive was due to its location in PSNH's service	23		intention was to get to the parties who actually held
2	4		area; is that correct?	24		the assets so that it could be recorded in the
			Page 86			Page 88
	1	A.	-	1		
			(Mr. Long) Yes.	1	Q.	registry of deeds.
	2	Q.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area?	2	•	registry of deeds. So you don't know who
	2	Q.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of	2	À.	registry of deeds.
	2 3 4	Q.	<ul><li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li><li>Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area?</li><li>(Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a</li></ul>	2 3 4	Â.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner.
	2 3 4 5	Q. A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did	2 3 4 5	À. Q.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own?
	2 3 4 5 6	Q. A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I	2 3 4 5 6	À. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site.
	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on,
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A. Q.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that (Court Reporter interjects.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head.
1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0	Q. A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that (Court Reporter interjects.) (Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the
1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	Q. A. A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that (Court Reporter interjects.) (Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do
1111	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2	Q. A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that (Court Reporter interjects.) (Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is. And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site?
1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 1 2 .3	Q. A. A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that (Court Reporter interjects.) (Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is. And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having filed a complaint against PSNH. Is the proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> </ul>	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site? (Mr. Long) Without reading the whole thing again,
1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 .	Q. A. A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that (Court Reporter interjects.) (Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is. And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having filed a complaint against PSNH. Is the proposed Clean Power Development facility in PSNH's service	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> </ul>	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site? (Mr. Long) Without reading the whole thing again, this was put together by lawyers. And the whole
1 1 1 1 1	23456789012345	Q. A. Q.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that (Court Reporter interjects.) (Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is. And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having filed a complaint against PSNH. Is the proposed Clean Power Development facility in PSNH's service area?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> </ul>	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site? (Mr. Long) Without reading the whole thing again, this was put together by lawyers. And the whole intent of this is to get at those who own the
1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. A. Q.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that (Court Reporter interjects.) (Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is. And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having filed a complaint against PSNH. Is the proposed Clean Power Development facility in PSNH's service area? (Mr. Long) Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>Q.</li> </ul>	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site? (Mr. Long) Without reading the whole thing again, this was put together by lawyers. And the whole intent of this is to get at those who own the facilities and the property so that it would be
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2345678901234567	Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that <ul> <li>(Court Reporter interjects.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is.</li> <li>And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having filed a complaint against PSNH. Is the proposed Clean Power Development facility in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Thank you. Most of my questions, as I said, have to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site? (Mr. Long) Without reading the whole thing again, this was put together by lawyers. And the whole intent of this is to get at those who own the facilities and the property so that it would be binding on them.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	23456789012345678	Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that <ul> <li>(Court Reporter interjects.)</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is.</li> </ul> </li> <li>And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having filed a complaint against PSNH. Is the proposed Clean Power Development facility in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Thank you. Most of my questions, as I said, have to do with the purchase power agreement.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site? (Mr. Long) Without reading the whole thing again, this was put together by lawyers. And the whole intent of this is to get at those who own the facilities and the property so that it would be binding on them. (Mr. Labrecque) Can I provide an additional response?
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	234567890123456789	Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that <ul> <li>(Court Reporter interjects.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is.</li> <li>And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having filed a complaint against PSNH. Is the proposed Clean Power Development facility in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Thank you. Most of my questions, as I said, have to do with the purchase power agreement. Article 21.1 says that Laidlaw BioPower's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site? (Mr. Long) Without reading the whole thing again, this was put together by lawyers. And the whole intent of this is to get at those who own the facilities and the property so that it would be binding on them. (Mr. Labrecque) Can I provide an additional response? Certainly.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	2345678901234567890	Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that <ul> <li>(Court Reporter interjects.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is.</li> <li>And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having filed a complaint against PSNH. Is the proposed Clean Power Development facility in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Thank you. Most of my questions, as I said, have to do with the purchase power agreement. <ul> <li>Article 21.1 says that Laidlaw BioPower's address is in care of Laidlaw Energy Group, Inc. Who</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site? (Mr. Long) Without reading the whole thing again, this was put together by lawyers. And the whole intent of this is to get at those who own the facilities and the property so that it would be binding on them. (Mr. Labrecque) Can I provide an additional response? Certainly. (Mr. Labrecque) Item B on that Page 33 describes the
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	23456789012345678901	Q. A. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that <ul> <li>(Court Reporter interjects.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is.</li> <li>And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having filed a complaint against PSNH. Is the proposed Clean Power Development facility in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Thank you. Most of my questions, as I said, have to do with the purchase power agreement. Article 21.1 says that Laidlaw BioPower's address is in care of Laidlaw Energy Group, Inc.?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site? (Mr. Long) Without reading the whole thing again, this was put together by lawyers. And the whole intent of this is to get at those who own the facilities and the property so that it would be binding on them. (Mr. Labrecque) Can I provide an additional response? Certainly. (Mr. Labrecque) Item B on that Page 33 describes the site owner as the sole owner in fee simple of both
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	234567890123456789012	Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that <ul> <li>(Court Reporter interjects.)</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is.</li> </ul> </li> <li>And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having filed a complaint against PSNH. Is the proposed Clean Power Development facility in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Thank you. Most of my questions, as I said, have to do with the purchase power agreement. Article 21.1 says that Laidlaw BioPower's address is in care of Laidlaw Energy Group, Inc.?</li> <li>(Mr. Labrecque) Well, at the time of execution of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site? (Mr. Long) Without reading the whole thing again, this was put together by lawyers. And the whole intent of this is to get at those who own the facilities and the property so that it would be binding on them. (Mr. Labrecque) Can I provide an additional response? Certainly. (Mr. Labrecque) Item B on that Page 33 describes the site owner as the sole owner in fee simple of both the facility site, which is also defined above, and
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	2345678901234567890123	Q. A. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that <ul> <li>(Court Reporter interjects.)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is.</li> <li>And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having filed a complaint against PSNH. Is the proposed Clean Power Development facility in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Thank you. Most of my questions, as I said, have to do with the purchase power agreement. <ul> <li>Article 21.1 says that Laidlaw BioPower's address is in care of Laidlaw Energy Group, Inc.?</li> <li>(Mr. Labrecque) Well, at the time of execution of the contract, that was the it is the counter-party to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site? (Mr. Long) Without reading the whole thing again, this was put together by lawyers. And the whole intent of this is to get at those who own the facilities and the property so that it would be binding on them. (Mr. Labrecque) Can I provide an additional response? Certainly. (Mr. Labrecque) Item B on that Page 33 describes the site owner as the sole owner in fee simple of both the facility site, which is also defined above, and the facility, which is defined above as approximately
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	234567890123456789012	Q. A. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Is Lempster located in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) No. It's located in the service area of New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, which was also a purchaser of a portion of that power, and it did require lines going through our territory. And as I said earlier, it was not really the economic jobs creator that <ul> <li>(Court Reporter interjects.)</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Not an economic driver in the way that a biomass plant is.</li> </ul> </li> <li>And Clean Power Development was mentioned as having filed a complaint against PSNH. Is the proposed Clean Power Development facility in PSNH's service area?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Thank you. Most of my questions, as I said, have to do with the purchase power agreement. Article 21.1 says that Laidlaw BioPower's address is in care of Laidlaw Energy Group, Inc.?</li> <li>(Mr. Labrecque) Well, at the time of execution of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	registry of deeds. So you don't know who (Mr. Long) Well, as it says here, it's the site owner. And what do they own? (Mr. Long) The site. So they own the real estate that the facility is on, or do they own the real estate and the facility? (Mr. Long) I don't know, off the top of my head. So you don't know if they you know they own the site, but you don't know if they have anything to do with the operation of the site? (Mr. Long) Without reading the whole thing again, this was put together by lawyers. And the whole intent of this is to get at those who own the facilities and the property so that it would be binding on them. (Mr. Labrecque) Can I provide an additional response? Certainly. (Mr. Labrecque) Item B on that Page 33 describes the site owner as the sole owner in fee simple of both the facility site, which is also defined above, and

	DE IV-195 F5NH/LAIDLA		
	Page 89		Page 91
1	MS. AMIDON: Well, the reason that I	1	established by NewCo.
2	thought that someone on the panel would know who PJPD	2 Q.	•
3	Holding is, is because in Staff response to the first	3	So, if I'm correct, the reason that PJPD
	question, the first set of data requests, we asked to	4	Holdings has to be a party to the purchase option
4			
5	identify all the parties who were involved in the	5	agreement is because they own the site; is that
6	negotiations, and it included Mr. Long, Mr. Large,	6	correct?
7	and Mr. Labrecque, among others. So I had expected	7 A.	
8	that they would know who PJPD Holdings is.	8 Q.	
9	A. (Mr. Long) Well, on that list are lawyers. On that	9 A.	(Mr. Long) Yes.
10	list of people who participated are lawyers. And	10 Q.	Since PJPD is not a party to the PPA, what assurances
11	those lawyers are quite familiar with who the owners	11	does the Commission have that they will agree to sign
12	are.	12	the purchase option agreement?
13	MS. AMIDON: Well, I'll just run	13 A.	
14	through my questions, in any event.	14	happening and it being registered with the registry
15	MR. BERSAK: If I may, Mr. Chairman.	15	of deeds. So if it weren't executed and registered,
	With respect to that data request, as Mr. Long	16	the PPA would become null and void.
16	· · · ·		
17	suggested, when asked when the Company was asked	17 Q.	
18	who was involved in the negotiations, it did include		(Mr. Long) Yes.
19	Mr. Large, Mr. Long, Mr. Labrecque, Ms. Erika Menard,	19 Q.	1 5 5
20	Mr. James Vancho, Ms. Michelle Gwyne, Mr. Christopher	20 A.	(Mr. Long) Well, it's what we insisted on when we
21	Alwarton, and myself, the last three of which were	21	were putting the PPA together, that insistence by
22	lawyers for the Company. And so not all the people	22	me and the lawyers that this was not binding until
23	who were included in this response are members of the	23	all conditions were met.
24	panel testifying today.	24 Q.	Do you have any idea when that will take place,
	Page 90		Page 92
1		-	-
1	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it	1	Mr. Long?
2	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But	2 A.	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect.
2 3	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead.	2 A. 3	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So
2 3 4	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on.	2 A. 3 4 Q.	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand.
2 3 4 5	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on. BY MS. AMIDON:	2 A. 3 4 Q.	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head,
2 3 4 5 6	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on. BY MS. AMIDON: Q. Who do you think owns the facility?	2 A. 3 4 Q.	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be
2 3 4 5 6	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on. BY MS. AMIDON: Q. Who do you think owns the facility? A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out,	2 A. 3 4 Q.	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head,
2 3 4 5 6	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on. BY MS. AMIDON: Q. Who do you think owns the facility?	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on. BY MS. AMIDON: Q. Who do you think owns the facility? A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility.	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7	<ul> <li>Mr. Long?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect.</li> <li>So</li> <li>It's contingent. I understand.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on. BY MS. AMIDON: Q. Who do you think owns the facility? A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Mr. Long?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect.</li> <li>So</li> <li>It's contingent. I understand.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on. BY MS. AMIDON: Q. Who do you think owns the facility? A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility.	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner.
2 3 6 7 8 9	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on. BY MS. AMIDON: Q. Who do you think owns the facility? A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility. Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct?	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q.	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on. BY MS. AMIDON: Q. Who do you think owns the facility? A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility. Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct? A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes.	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11	<ul> <li>Mr. Long?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect.</li> <li>So</li> <li>It's contingent. I understand.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner.</li> <li>I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on. BY MS. AMIDON: Q. Who do you think owns the facility? A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility. Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct? A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes. Q. Has it been assigned?	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site owner well, I'll just read it.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on. BY MS. AMIDON: Q. Who do you think owns the facility? A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility. Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct? A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes. Q. Has it been assigned? A. (Mr. Long) Not to my knowledge. And they are	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site owner well, I'll just read it. "LLB [sic] and site owner anticipate that,
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Q. Who do you think owns the facility?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been assigned?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not to my knowledge. And they are supposed to give us notice.</li> </ul>	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site owner well, I'll just read it. "LLB [sic] and site owner anticipate that, subsequent to the execution and recording of this
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Q. Who do you think owns the facility?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been assigned?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not to my knowledge. And they are supposed to give us notice.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, it's my understanding that both PJPD</li> </ul>	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site owner well, I'll just read it. "LLB [sic] and site owner anticipate that, subsequent to the execution and recording of this option agreement, site owner will continue to be the
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Q. Who do you think owns the facility?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been assigned?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not to my knowledge. And they are supposed to give us notice.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, it's my understanding that both PJPD Holdings, LLC and Laidlaw Berlin Biopower are</li> </ul>	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site owner well, I'll just read it. "LLB [sic] and site owner anticipate that, subsequent to the execution and recording of this option agreement, site owner will continue to be the sole owner in fee simple of and will lease the
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Q. Who do you think owns the facility?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been assigned?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not to my knowledge. And they are supposed to give us notice.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, it's my understanding that both PJPD Holdings, LLC and Laidlaw Berlin Biopower are subsidiaries of a new entity called NewCo. Is that</li> </ul>	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site owner well, I'll just read it. "LLB [sic] and site owner anticipate that, subsequent to the execution and recording of this option agreement, site owner will continue to be the sole owner in fee simple of and will lease the facility and facility site to LBB under a sale,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Q. Who do you think owns the facility?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been assigned?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not to my knowledge. And they are supposed to give us notice.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, it's my understanding that both PJPD Holdings, LLC and Laidlaw Berlin Biopower are subsidiaries of a new entity called NewCo. Is that your understanding as well?</li> </ul>	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site owner well, I'll just read it. "LLB [sic] and site owner anticipate that, subsequent to the execution and recording of this option agreement, site owner will continue to be the sole owner in fee simple of and will lease the facility and facility site to LBB under a sale, slash, lease-back financing arrangement, with all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Q. Who do you think owns the facility?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been assigned?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not to my knowledge. And they are supposed to give us notice.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, it's my understanding that both PJPD Holdings, LLC and Laidlaw Berlin Biopower are subsidiaries of a new entity called NewCo. Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes.</li> </ul>	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site owner well, I'll just read it. "LLB [sic] and site owner anticipate that, subsequent to the execution and recording of this option agreement, site owner will continue to be the sole owner in fee simple of and will lease the facility and facility site to LBB under a sale, slash, lease-back financing arrangement, with all such arrangements being expressly made subject and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Q. Who do you think owns the facility?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been assigned?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not to my knowledge. And they are supposed to give us notice.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, it's my understanding that both PJPD Holdings, LLC and Laidlaw Berlin Biopower are subsidiaries of a new entity called NewCo. Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Q. Could you explain if there's any relationship between</li> </ul>	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site owner well, I'll just read it. "LLB [sic] and site owner anticipate that, subsequent to the execution and recording of this option agreement, site owner will continue to be the sole owner in fee simple of and will lease the facility and facility site to LBB under a sale, slash, lease-back financing arrangement, with all such arrangements being expressly made subject and subordinate to PSNH's right hereunder." Could you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Q. Who do you think owns the facility?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been assigned?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not to my knowledge. And they are supposed to give us notice.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, it's my understanding that both PJPD Holdings, LLC and Laidlaw Berlin Biopower are subsidiaries of a new entity called NewCo. Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Q. Could you explain if there's any relationship between NewCo and Laidlaw Energy Group, Inc.?</li> </ul>	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site owner well, I'll just read it. "LLB [sic] and site owner anticipate that, subsequent to the execution and recording of this option agreement, site owner will continue to be the sole owner in fee simple of and will lease the facility and facility site to LBB under a sale, slash, lease-back financing arrangement, with all such arrangements being expressly made subject and subordinate to PSNH's right hereunder." Could you describe "lease-back arrangement"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Q. Who do you think owns the facility?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been assigned?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not to my knowledge. And they are supposed to give us notice.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, it's my understanding that both PJPD Holdings, LLC and Laidlaw Berlin Biopower are subsidiaries of a new entity called NewCo. Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Q. Could you explain if there's any relationship between NewCo and Laidlaw Energy Group, Inc.?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) I can't describe the exact corporate</li> </ul>	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site owner well, I'll just read it. "LLB [sic] and site owner anticipate that, subsequent to the execution and recording of this option agreement, site owner will continue to be the sole owner in fee simple of and will lease the facility and facility site to LBB under a sale, slash, lease-back financing arrangement, with all such arrangements being expressly made subject and subordinate to PSNH's right hereunder." Could you describe "lease-back arrangement"? (Mr. Long) No, I can't, because PSNH is not a party
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>MS. AMIDON: I think I did say it included those members. But MR. BERSAK: Sure. Go ahead. MS. AMIDON: moving on.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Q. Who do you think owns the facility?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Right here, as Mr. Labrecque pointed out, the site owner will own the facility site and the facility.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So, PJPD Holdings, LLC owns the facility; is that correct?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Unless assigned, yes.</li> <li>Q. Has it been assigned?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not to my knowledge. And they are supposed to give us notice.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, it's my understanding that both PJPD Holdings, LLC and Laidlaw Berlin Biopower are subsidiaries of a new entity called NewCo. Is that your understanding as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Q. Could you explain if there's any relationship between NewCo and Laidlaw Energy Group, Inc.?</li> </ul>	2 A. 3 4 Q. 5 A. 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Long? (Mr. Long) Certainly before the PPA goes into effect. So It's contingent. I understand. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, off the top of my head, without seeing if we had any dates that would be required. But certainly before the plant produces any power it would have to be done. Probably like to be done sooner. I'm still looking at Page 33 of PSNH Exhibit 2, which is the Appendix B, Form of Purchase Option Agreement. At Paragraph C, it talks about LLB [sic] and site owner well, I'll just read it. "LLB [sic] and site owner anticipate that, subsequent to the execution and recording of this option agreement, site owner will continue to be the sole owner in fee simple of and will lease the facility and facility site to LBB under a sale, slash, lease-back financing arrangement, with all such arrangements being expressly made subject and subordinate to PSNH's right hereunder." Could you describe "lease-back arrangement"?

		Page 93		Page 95
1		provision is doing, it says, regardless of that	1	amendment, approved by the NHPUC."
2		sale/lease-back arrangement, that PSNH's rights will	2	If you want to relate that to our discussion,
3		be sub will be that sale/lease-back will be	3	you know, my comment is we don't anticipate any
4		made subordinate to PSNH's rights, which means PSNH	4	material change to this form of agreement. As I
5		has a claim before the holders of the sale/lease-back	5	mentioned, I call it technical corrections. Dates
6		agreement. In other words, we wanted to be first in	6	being filled in
7		line to make any claim against the facility and the	7 Q.	-
8		site, notwithstanding the sale/lease-back	8	coming to this Commission for approval; is that
9		arrangement.	9	correct?
10	Q.	So you don't know who the buyer and the seller is in	10 A.	
11	Q٠	that, or who the lessor and lessee is in that	11 Q.	
12		sale/lease-back?	11 Q.	purchase power agreement. So, just to be clear, is
	A.	(Mr. Long) Well, it's shown on you know, Exhibit	13	PSNH asking that the Commission preapprove PSNH's
14	л.	GRM2 shows the lesser/operator. But the owners may	14	authority to exercise the POA in this proceeding?
		not be necessarily the operators and the lessee on	14 15 A.	
15		· ^		
16		the plant. And so we just wanted in this particular	16	we expect if the Commission approves this power
17		document to make sure that it was binding on those who actually award the facility and the site not	17	purchase agreement, they are approving us moving
18		who actually owned the facility and the site, not	18	forward with the POA.
19	0	those who necessarily operated the facility.	19 Q.	•
20	Q.	I notice that the title of this document is "Form of	20	seek additional review of that authority?
21		Purchase Option Agreement." Is it possible that will	21 A.	
22		change before it's signed?	22	approving this contract, they have approved the form
	А.	(Mr. Long) I would say the intent is only for	23	of the purchase option agreement. And as long as
24		technical reasons. It has to be in a form that's	24	there was no material change, we would move forward.
		Page 94		Page 06
		Page 94		Page 96
1		acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to	1 Q.	One moment, please.
1 2		acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us.	1 Q. 2	-
	Q.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to	-	One moment, please.
2	Q.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us.	2	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness
2 3	Q.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's	2 3	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have
2 3 4		acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option	2 3 4	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in
2 3 4 5		acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution?	2 3 4 5	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning.
2 3 4 5 6		acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves	2 3 4 5 6	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long,
2 3 4 5 6 7		acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really	2 3 4 5 6 7	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please. (Mr. Long) For instance, it doesn't have dates on it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I misunderstood the question. I thought the question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please. (Mr. Long) For instance, it doesn't have dates on it, doesn't have it's not executed yet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I misunderstood the question. I thought the question was approving this form of the purchase option
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A. Q.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please. (Mr. Long) For instance, it doesn't have dates on it, doesn't have it's not executed yet. One moment, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 E	One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I misunderstood the question. I thought the question was approving this form of the purchase option agreement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please. (Mr. Long) For instance, it doesn't have dates on it, doesn't have it's not executed yet. One moment, please. (Pause in proceedings)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 E	<ul> <li>One moment, please.</li> <li>MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I misunderstood the question. I thought the question was approving this form of the purchase option agreement.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Well, what is your answer then?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A. Q. B	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please. (Mr. Long) For instance, it doesn't have dates on it, doesn't have it's not executed yet. One moment, please. (Pause in proceedings) Y MS. AMIDON: Would you refer to Page 30 of Exhibit 2. And I call	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 E 16 Q.	<ul> <li>One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I misunderstood the question. I thought the question was approving this form of the purchase option agreement.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON: (Mr. Long) You're talking about a transaction, if it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A. Q. B	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please. (Mr. Long) For instance, it doesn't have dates on it, doesn't have it's not executed yet. One moment, please. (Pause in proceedings) Y MS. AMIDON: Would you refer to Page 30 of Exhibit 2. And I call your attention to 26.7.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 E 16 Q. 17 A.	<ul> <li>One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I misunderstood the question. I thought the question was approving this form of the purchase option agreement.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Well, what is your answer then?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) You're talking about a transaction, if it happened 20 years after in-service date? Is that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q. B Q. A.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please. (Mr. Long) For instance, it doesn't have dates on it, doesn't have it's not executed yet. One moment, please. (Pause in proceedings) Y MS. AMIDON: Would you refer to Page 30 of Exhibit 2. And I call your attention to 26.7. (Mr. Long) Yes, I have it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 14 15 16 0, 17 A. 18 19	<ul> <li>One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I misunderstood the question. I thought the question was approving this form of the purchase option agreement.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Well, what is your answer then?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) You're talking about a transaction, if it happened 20 years after in-service date? Is that what we're referring to now?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. B Q. A. Q.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please. (Mr. Long) For instance, it doesn't have dates on it, doesn't have it's not executed yet. One moment, please. (Pause in proceedings) Y MS. AMIDON: Would you refer to Page 30 of Exhibit 2. And I call your attention to 26.7. (Mr. Long) Yes, I have it. Would you read that, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Q. 17 A. 18 19 20 Q.	<ul> <li>One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I misunderstood the question. I thought the question was approving this form of the purchase option agreement.</li> <li>SY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Well, what is your answer then?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) You're talking about a transaction, if it happened 20 years after in-service date? Is that what we're referring to now?</li> <li>Are you asking for the Commission, in this</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. B Q. A.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please. (Mr. Long) For instance, it doesn't have dates on it, doesn't have it's not executed yet. One moment, please. (Pause in proceedings) Y MS. AMIDON: Would you refer to Page 30 of Exhibit 2. And I call your attention to 26.7. (Mr. Long) Yes, I have it. Would you read that, please. (Mr. Long) Amendment. It's titled "Amendment." "No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Q. 17 A. 18 19 20 Q. 21	<ul> <li>One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I misunderstood the question. I thought the question was approving this form of the purchase option agreement.</li> <li>SY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Well, what is your answer then?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) You're talking about a transaction, if it happened 20 years after in-service date? Is that what we're referring to now?</li> <li>Are you asking for the Commission, in this proceeding, to approve or to authorize the Company to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. B Q. A. Q.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please. (Mr. Long) For instance, it doesn't have dates on it, doesn't have it's not executed yet. One moment, please. (Pause in proceedings) Y MS. AMIDON: Would you refer to Page 30 of Exhibit 2. And I call your attention to 26.7. (Mr. Long) Yes, I have it. Would you read that, please. (Mr. Long) Amendment. It's titled "Amendment." "No amendment of all or any part of this agreement shall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 H 16 Q. 17 A. 18 19 20 Q. 21 22	<ul> <li>One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I misunderstood the question. I though the question was approving this form of the purchase option agreement.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Well, what is your answer then?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) You're talking about a transaction, if it happened 20 years after in-service date? Is that what we're referring to now?</li> <li>Are you asking for the Commission, in this proceeding, to approve or to authorize the Company to enter into a purchase option agreement for yeah,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. B Q. A. Q.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please. (Mr. Long) For instance, it doesn't have dates on it, doesn't have it's not executed yet. One moment, please. (Pause in proceedings) Y MS. AMIDON: Would you refer to Page 30 of Exhibit 2. And I call your attention to 26.7. (Mr. Long) Yes, I have it. Would you read that, please. (Mr. Long) Amendment. It's titled "Amendment." "No amendment of all or any part of this agreement shall be valid unless it is reduced to writing and signed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 E 16 Q. 17 A. 18 19 20 Q. 21 22 23	<ul> <li>One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I misunderstood the question. I thought the question was approving this form of the purchase option agreement.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Well, what is your answer then?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) You're talking about a transaction, if it happened 20 years after in-service date? Is that what we're referring to now?</li> <li>Are you asking for the Commission, in this proceeding, to approve or to authorize the Company to enter into a purchase option agreement for yeah, to agree that the are you asking the Commission</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. B Q. A. Q.	acceptable to PSNH, a sole option. So it has to be have enough rigidity to be acceptable to us. Is it true that PSNH will seek the Commission's approval of any revision to the purchase option agreement prior to its execution? (Mr. Long) Well, I think if the Commission approves this power purchase agreement, they're really approving this form in the agreement. And I don't see where we have to come back for approvals for minor technical fill-ins here. Just one moment, please. (Mr. Long) For instance, it doesn't have dates on it, doesn't have it's not executed yet. One moment, please. (Pause in proceedings) Y MS. AMIDON: Would you refer to Page 30 of Exhibit 2. And I call your attention to 26.7. (Mr. Long) Yes, I have it. Would you read that, please. (Mr. Long) Amendment. It's titled "Amendment." "No amendment of all or any part of this agreement shall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 H 16 Q. 17 A. 18 19 20 Q. 21 22	<ul> <li>One moment, please. MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure the witness understood the question. I don't want to have confusion on the record, but I don't want to jump in the middle of Attorney Amidon's questioning. But I believe the question, Mr. Long, was: Do you expect that this Commission, as part of this docket, is approving PSNH's exercise of the option, not entering into it, but actually exercising it in purchasing the plant 20 years from now? WITNESS LONG: You're right. I misunderstood the question. I thought the question was approving this form of the purchase option agreement.</li> <li>BY MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Well, what is your answer then?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) You're talking about a transaction, if it happened 20 years after in-service date? Is that what we're referring to now?</li> <li>Are you asking for the Commission, in this proceeding, to approve or to authorize the Company to enter into a purchase option agreement for yeah,</li> </ul>

	Page 97			Page 99
1	this proceeding?	1	Q.	Okay. I apologize for interrupting you.
2 A	. (Mr. Long) What I anticipate 20 years from now,	2		Then, PJPD Holdings, Inc. or its successor would
3	sometime in the future when information is known as	3		be responsible for paying off any mortgages or liens?
4	to whether the cumulative reduction factor is greater		A.	(Mr. Long) Yes, that's my recollection, without going
5	than zero and PSNH determines what or how they might	5		through the contract and pointing to the exact
6	exercise that option, that there would be some review	6		provisions. Oh, excuse me. My colleague says look
7	and some proceeding before the Commission.	7		at Page 34.
		8	0	-
8 Q		-	Q.	It's always good to have a colleague.
9	your to authorize or to recognize or otherwise			(Mr. Long) It is.
10	delegate authority to the Company to exercise a	10	Q.	
11	purchase of the plant in this proceeding.	11		the
12 A		12	А.	(Mr. Long) If you look at the very last paragraph on
13	yes, we would actually exercise the purchase option	13		Page 34, it's Section 4, Purchase Price, Section A,
14	agreement, but not the actual purchase, if that was	14		and assuming the facility assets are sold free of all
15	to be what is exercised 20 years after the in-service	15		financing liens and encumbrances. So the owner is
16	date.	16		responsible for all financing liens and encumbrances.
17 Q	. So, based on your answer then, I would understand	17	Q.	Okay. Thank you. That was helpful.
18	that the Company and it may not be you,	18		Do you know if Gestamp Corporation has an
19	Mr. Long but 20 years from now, would be making a	19		interest in the Laidlaw facility?
20	filing with the Commission requesting authority to	20	A.	(Mr. Long) I don't know. Not to my knowledge. But I
21	purchase the plant with the including the market	21		don't know.
22	price of the plant and the various assessments that	22	Q.	Do you know if Clean Power Development has any
23	are contemplated in the exercise of the purchase	23	-	interest in the Laidlaw facility?
24	option arrangement, and asking the Commission for		A.	(Mr. Long) Again, I don't know if Clean Power does or
	Page 98			Page 100
1	authority to go forward with the purchase; is that	1	0	doesn't.
2	authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?	2	Q.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp
2 3 A	<ul><li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li><li>. (Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think</li></ul>	2 3		doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project?
2 3 A 4	<ul><li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li><li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with</li></ul>	2 3 4	A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project.
2 3 A	<ul><li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li><li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	A. Q.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct.
2 3 A 4	<ul><li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li><li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	A. Q.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any
2 3 A 4 5	<ul><li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li><li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	A. Q.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The
2 3 A 4 5 6	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know.
2 3 A 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough.
2 3 A 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the
2 3 A 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because
2 3 A 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me
2 3 A 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 Q	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens on the facility and the facility site?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me understand this all a little more clearly.
2 3 A 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 Q 12	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens on the facility and the facility site?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me
2 3 A 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 Q 12 13	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens on the facility and the facility site?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Again, not taking the time to read the contract, it's the owner's responsibility to clear</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me understand this all a little more clearly.
2 3 A 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 Q 12 13 14 A	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens on the facility and the facility site?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Again, not taking the time to read the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me understand this all a little more clearly. One of the things mentioned at Page 6 of PSNH
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 12 13 14 4 5	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens on the facility and the facility site?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Again, not taking the time to read the contract, it's the owner's responsibility to clear all liens. We want a clear and clean property.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me understand this all a little more clearly. One of the things mentioned at Page 6 of PSNH Exhibit 2 at Article 1.60 is seller-required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 4 5 16	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens on the facility and the facility site?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Again, not taking the time to read the contract, it's the owner's responsibility to clear all liens. We want a clear and clean property.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me understand this all a little more clearly. One of the things mentioned at Page 6 of PSNH Exhibit 2 at Article 1.60 is seller-required approvals. And it states that seller-required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 4 15 16 17 0	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens on the facility and the facility site?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Again, not taking the time to read the contract, it's the owner's responsibility to clear all liens. We want a clear and clean property.</li> <li>But you're purchasing it from the lessee; is that correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me understand this all a little more clearly. One of the things mentioned at Page 6 of PSNH Exhibit 2 at Article 1.60 is seller-required approvals. And it states that seller-required approvals, which in this case is Laidlaw and not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 4 15 16 17 2 18	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens on the facility and the facility site?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Again, not taking the time to read the contract, it's the owner's responsibility to clear all liens. We want a clear and clean property.</li> <li>But you're purchasing it from the lessee; is that correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me understand this all a little more clearly. One of the things mentioned at Page 6 of PSNH Exhibit 2 at Article 1.60 is seller-required approvals. And it states that seller-required approvals, which in this case is Laidlaw and not PJPD, includes approval from the PUC, quote, to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 4 15 16 17 2 18 19 A	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens on the facility and the facility site?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Again, not taking the time to read the contract, it's the owner's responsibility to clear all liens. We want a clear and clean property.</li> <li>But you're purchasing it from the lessee; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) At that point in time, the lessee may not</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me understand this all a little more clearly. One of the things mentioned at Page 6 of PSNH Exhibit 2 at Article 1.60 is seller-required approvals. And it states that seller-required approvals, which in this case is Laidlaw and not PJPD, includes approval from the PUC, quote, to the extent applicable to seller's ability to operate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 4 15 16 17 2 18 19 A 20 21	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens on the facility and the facility site?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Again, not taking the time to read the contract, it's the owner's responsibility to clear all liens. We want a clear and clean property.</li> <li>But you're purchasing it from the lessee; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) At that point in time, the lessee may not be in the picture anymore. That's why we are this agreement is with the actual owner</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me understand this all a little more clearly. One of the things mentioned at Page 6 of PSNH Exhibit 2 at Article 1.60 is seller-required approvals. And it states that seller-required approvals, which in this case is Laidlaw and not PJPD, includes approval from the PUC, quote, to the extent applicable to seller's ability to operate within New Hampshire, unquote. Could you explain what that phrase means, "to the extent applicable to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 2 18 19 A 20	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens on the facility and the facility site?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Again, not taking the time to read the contract, it's the owner's responsibility to clear all liens. We want a clear and clean property.</li> <li>But you're purchasing it from the lessee; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) At that point in time, the lessee may not be in the picture anymore. That's why we are this agreement is with the actual owner</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me understand this all a little more clearly. One of the things mentioned at Page 6 of PSNH Exhibit 2 at Article 1.60 is seller-required approvals. And it states that seller-required approvals, which in this case is Laidlaw and not PJPD, includes approval from the PUC, quote, to the extent applicable to seller's ability to operate within New Hampshire, unquote. Could you explain what that phrase means, "to the extent applicable to seller's ability to operate within New Hampshire"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 4 15 16 17 2 18 19 A 20 21 22 22 2	<ul> <li>authority to go forward with the purchase; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yeah, That's correct. And when you think about it, it's we would have to make a filing with the Commission for something that affects rates, some form of return of that value. And there would be a duration associated with that, an amount associated with that, and a means associated with that. Not knowing what any of those would be, it's something that would have to be addressed in the future.</li> <li>Thank you. If and when PSNH exercises its purchase option, what happens to any mortgages or other liens on the facility and the facility site?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Again, not taking the time to read the contract, it's the owner's responsibility to clear all liens. We want a clear and clean property.</li> <li>But you're purchasing it from the lessee; is that correct?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) At that point in time, the lessee may not be in the picture anymore. That's why we are this agreement is with the actual owner</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	doesn't. Do you know if Clean Power Development or Gestamp Corporation have any interest in the project? (Mr. Long) Talking about the Laidlaw project. Correct. (Mr. Long) I am not aware of Gestamp having any ownership interest in the Laidlaw project. The answer is I don't know. Okay. Well, that's fair enough. I have some questions about some of the definitions section, which I know is ironic because they're definitions. But perhaps you can help me understand this all a little more clearly. One of the things mentioned at Page 6 of PSNH Exhibit 2 at Article 1.60 is seller-required approvals. And it states that seller-required approvals, which in this case is Laidlaw and not PJPD, includes approval from the PUC, quote, to the extent applicable to seller's ability to operate within New Hampshire, unquote. Could you explain what that phrase means, "to the extent applicable to

		Page 101			Page 103
1		I'm not a lawyer. But I think they just wanted to	1		qualifies as a QF. What are PSNH's obligations in
2		protect themselves; that if they do need approval	2		that regard?
3		from New Hampshire PUC, they want to make sure that	3	A.	
4		they had the option of not going forward if they	4		think you said if the PPA is not approved by the New
5		didn't get it. But I don't know what that approval	5		Hampshire Commission?
6		would be.	6	Q.	And let's say the Laidlaw facility goes ahead. I
7	Q.	Okay. Moving to a different section outside of the	7	-	know that you don't believe that could happen. But
8	τ.	definitions for now. It's Article 3.3 on Page 7.	8		I'm just trying to understand if there are any
		•			
9		And Article 3.3 says, "Facility shall acquire its	9		additional obligations that PSNH incurs if Laidlaw
10		status as a, quote, qualifying facility, unquote,	10		qualifies as a QF.
11		pursuant to 18 CFR Part 292, prior to the in-service	11	A.	(Mr. Long) I'll say it this way: If the Commission
12		date and maintain such status throughout the term."	12		does not approve this power purchase agreement, then
13		Are PSNH's obligations under the PPA contingent	13		that's the end of PSNH's involvement.
14		on Laidlaw Berlin facility obtaining this status?	14		(Discussion among panel members.)
	A.	(Mr. Long) Yes.	15	Α	(Mr. Long) All right. My colleagues wanted to add,
		Why?	16	11.	it would be the end of our involvement as it relates
16	-				
	A.	(Mr. Long) Again, I'm not a lawyer, so bear with me	17		to this power purchase agreement. And then there's a
18		if I'm not a hundred-percent correct. But it's that	18		question of whether Laidlaw could ask for, you know,
19		setting that gives this Commission authority over the	19		long-term PURPA rates. But that would be a legal
20		contracts, as opposed to us being before the Federal	20		question.
21		Energy Regulatory Commission.	21	Q.	Okay. Well, there's one final way of trying to ask
22	Q.	I'm not sure I understand. And I appreciate, you	22		this question. If the facility gets QF status, did
23		know, that you say you're not a lawyer. But I don't	23		you say that that would give this Commission
24		understand this part very well at all. Are you	24		jurisdiction over the facility I'm sorry the
		Page 102			Page 104
		Page 102			Page 104
1		saying that the only way that this PPA could come	1		PPA?
1		-	1 2		
		saying that the only way that this PPA could come			PPA?
2 3	А.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility?	2		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of
2 3	А.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if	2 3 4		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure
2 3 4 5		saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here.	2 3		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question.
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting.	2 3 4 5 6		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the	2 3 4 5 6 7		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	D	PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	<ul> <li>saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here.</li> <li>He's waiting.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you. Y MS. AMIDON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	B Q.	PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you. Y MS. AMIDON: Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA. So I think the way all those things work together is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you. Y MS. AMIDON: Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every section. But this one says, "Seller shall ensure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA. So I think the way all those things work together is why we're here today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you. Y MS. AMIDON: Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every section. But this one says, "Seller shall ensure that the facility shall use biomass fuel as its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA. So I think the way all those things work together is why we're here today. Well, how does does that have any impact if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you. Y MS. AMIDON: Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every section. But this one says, "Seller shall ensure that the facility shall use biomass fuel as its primary energy source."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA. So I think the way all those things work together is why we're here today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you. Y MS. AMIDON: Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every section. But this one says, "Seller shall ensure that the facility shall use biomass fuel as its
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA. So I think the way all those things work together is why we're here today. Well, how does does that have any impact if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you. Y MS. AMIDON: Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every section. But this one says, "Seller shall ensure that the facility shall use biomass fuel as its primary energy source."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA. So I think the way all those things work together is why we're here today. Well, how does does that have any impact if Laidlaw qualifies as a QF, which is short for qualifying facility? How is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	<ul> <li>PPA?</li> <li>MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you.</li> <li>Y MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every section. But this one says, "Seller shall ensure that the facility shall use biomass fuel as its primary energy source."</li> <li>So I guess my question is, how much non-biomass fuel can be used pursuant to this section?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A.	<ul> <li>saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA. So I think the way all those things work together is why we're here today.</li> <li>Well, how does does that have any impact if Laidlaw qualifies as a QF, which is short for qualifying facility? How is (Mr. Long) Well, if this Commission doesn't have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	PPA? MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you. Y MS. AMIDON: Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every section. But this one says, "Seller shall ensure that the facility shall use biomass fuel as its primary energy source." So I guess my question is, how much non-biomass fuel can be used pursuant to this section? (Mr. Labrecque) That would not be controlled by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here.</li> <li>He's waiting.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA. So I think the way all those things work together is why we're here today.</li> <li>Well, how does does that have any impact if Laidlaw qualifies as a QF, which is short for qualifying facility? How is (Mr. Long) Well, if this Commission doesn't have jurisdiction, then, sure, it does.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	<ul> <li>PPA?</li> <li>MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you.</li> <li>Y MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every section. But this one says, "Seller shall ensure that the facility shall use biomass fuel as its primary energy source."</li> <li>So I guess my question is, how much non-biomass fuel can be used pursuant to this section?</li> <li>(Mr. Labrecque) That would not be controlled by the PPA. But what is relevant is that, in order for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA. So I think the way all those things work together is why we're here today. Well, how does does that have any impact if Laidlaw qualifies as a QF, which is short for qualifying facility? How is (Mr. Long) Well, if this Commission doesn't have jurisdiction, then, sure, it does. No. I was going to say how does that affect or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	<ul> <li>PPA?</li> <li>MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you.</li> <li>Y MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every section. But this one says, "Seller shall ensure that the facility shall use biomass fuel as its primary energy source."</li> <li>So I guess my question is, how much non-biomass fuel can be used pursuant to this section? (Mr. Labrecque) That would not be controlled by the PPA. But what is relevant is that, in order for there to be a renewable energy certificate created by</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA. So I think the way all those things work together is why we're here today. Well, how does does that have any impact if Laidlaw qualifies as a QF, which is short for qualifying facility? How is (Mr. Long) Well, if this Commission doesn't have jurisdiction, then, sure, it does. No. I was going to say how does that affect or does that let's say that the PPA is not approved.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	<ul> <li>PPA?</li> <li>MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you.</li> <li>Y MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every section. But this one says, "Seller shall ensure that the facility shall use biomass fuel as its primary energy source."</li> <li>So I guess my question is, how much non-biomass fuel can be used pursuant to this section?</li> <li>(Mr. Labrecque) That would not be controlled by the PPA. But what is relevant is that, in order for there to be a renewable energy certificate created by a megawatt-hour production, the facility is going to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility? (Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here. He's waiting. (Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA. So I think the way all those things work together is why we're here today. Well, how does does that have any impact if Laidlaw qualifies as a QF, which is short for qualifying facility? How is (Mr. Long) Well, if this Commission doesn't have jurisdiction, then, sure, it does. No. I was going to say how does that affect or does that let's say that the PPA is not approved. And I'm just using this to try to ask another	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	<ul> <li>PPA?</li> <li>MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you.</li> <li>Y MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every section. But this one says, "Seller shall ensure that the facility shall use biomass fuel as its primary energy source."</li> <li>So I guess my question is, how much non-biomass fuel can be used pursuant to this section? (Mr. Labrecque) That would not be controlled by the PPA. But what is relevant is that, in order for there to be a renewable energy certificate created by a megawatt-hour production, the facility is going to have to qualify for Class I, as a Class I resource,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>saying that the only way that this PPA could come before the Commission is if Laidlaw was a qualifying facility?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) You know, my lawyer's going to stand up if I get too far out of line here.</li> <li>He's waiting.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) But wholesale power transactions are the jurisdiction of the federal government and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And my understanding is that's delegated to states for certain qualifying facilities. Beyond that, the State of New Hampshire has set forth the basis for long-term purchase power agreements in its own RSA. So I think the way all those things work together is why we're here today.</li> <li>Well, how does does that have any impact if Laidlaw qualifies as a QF, which is short for qualifying facility? How is (Mr. Long) Well, if this Commission doesn't have jurisdiction, then, sure, it does.</li> <li>No. I was going to say how does that affect or does that let's say that the PPA is not approved.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	<ul> <li>PPA?</li> <li>MR. BERSAK: Mr. Chairman, we're really getting into an area of jurisdiction of federal authority over state authority. I'm not sure that the panel is qualified to answer that question. MS. AMIDON: That's fair enough. I'm just trying to understand why you know, why that section was in the contract. And I thought it was important for the Commission to know that that was one of the conditions in the contract. I'm ready to move on. I don't need to thank you.</li> <li>Y MS. AMIDON:</li> <li>Section 3.2 and I'm not going to go through every section. But this one says, "Seller shall ensure that the facility shall use biomass fuel as its primary energy source."</li> <li>So I guess my question is, how much non-biomass fuel can be used pursuant to this section?</li> <li>(Mr. Labrecque) That would not be controlled by the PPA. But what is relevant is that, in order for there to be a renewable energy certificate created by a megawatt-hour production, the facility is going to</li> </ul>

		1 22	
	Page 105		Page 107
1	within the RPS laws. So that is there's no hook	1	purchase of products is contingent upon the
2	in the PPA that does that, other than if they don't	2	satisfaction of the following conditions and if
	create a REC, they don't get paid for a REC.		you go to Article 4.1.2, this is one condition. And
3	• • •	3	
4 A.	(Mr. Long) And I would add, for those who may not	4	it says, "PSNH has received evidence to its
5	know, the reason the word "primary" is there is	5	reasonable satisfaction that seller has obtained all
6	sometimes when you start up a boiler, you have to use	6	permits, licenses, approvals and other governmental
7	another fuel to start up that boiler until your	7	authorizations needed to commence commercial
8	primary fuel ignites and can be fed continuously.	8	generation of products, including certification to
9	For example: At our Schiller plant, when we start	9	produce New Hampshire Class I RECs."
10	from coal, we use natural gas to start up until the	10	So what is the status of the efforts to certify
11	boiler reaches the right characteristics that wood	11	the facility to produce Class I RECs?
12	will burn on a sustained basis. So that's why we use	12 A	
	the word "primary." Sometimes you need other fuels	13	filing to the Commission seeking qualification.
13			• • • •
14	to start up the boiler.	14 Q	
15 Q.	So, even if you used other fuel to start it up, you	15	Evaluation Committee and approval by this Commission,
16	would still be pricing the energy produced with the	16	do you know what is meant by "permits, licenses,
17	wood price adjustment?	17	approvals and other governmental authorizations"? It
18 A.		18	could be nothing specific, Mr. Long. But I just
19	percentage. And this is what Rick is referring to.	19	didn't know if there was something specific that was
20	It's a very small percentage. It's recognized it's	20	in here that was intended to be included.
21	really incidental and not significant to the overall	21 A	. (Mr. Long) Not specific. I mean, the word "all" is
22	burn in the boiler. And the environmental regulators	22	used there. Of course, from our point of view, they
23	typically recognize it in the permit for incidental	23	have to get "all" of the permits, et cetera, et
24	burning of fuel.	24	cetera before this agreement can take effect.
27	burning of fuel.	24	cetera berore tins agreement can take erreet.
	Page 106		Page 108
	Page 106		Page 108
1 Q.		1 A	
1 Q. 2	-	1 A 2	
-	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment?		. (Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's
2	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant	2	. (Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any
2 3 A. 4	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins	2 3 4	. (Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide
2 3 A. 4 5	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're	2 3 4 5	. (Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be
2 3 A. 4 5 6	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification,	2 3 4 5 6	. (Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 Q	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures,	2 3 4 5 6 7 Q 8	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you. One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3,</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 Q 8 9	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you. One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final,</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q.	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to	2 3 4 5 6 7 Q 8 9 10	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you. One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you. One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this agreement."</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 A.	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 0 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you. One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this agreement."</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 A. 14 A.	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No. (Mr. Labrecque) No. That's well, let me say this:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this agreement."</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 A. 14 A. 15	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No. (Mr. Labrecque) No. That's well, let me say this: If we, PSNH, as the buyer, ever felt they weren't complying with 3.2, or some of the preambles, where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this agreement."</li> <li>Is PSNH asking that all costs incurred in connection with its the proposed PPA to be fully recovered through rates by using the term "full cost</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 A. 14 A. 15 16 17	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No. (Mr. Labrecque) No. That's well, let me say this: If we, PSNH, as the buyer, ever felt they weren't complying with 3.2, or some of the preambles, where the parties are agreeing to buy the output of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this agreement."</li> <li>Is PSNH asking that all costs incurred in connection with its the proposed PPA to be fully recovered through rates by using the term "full cost recovery" in this section?</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 A. 14 A. 15 16 17 18	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No. (Mr. Labrecque) No. That's well, let me say this: If we, PSNH, as the buyer, ever felt they weren't complying with 3.2, or some of the preambles, where the parties are agreeing to buy the output of a wood-fired facility, we could pursue actions under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 A	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this agreement."</li> <li>Is PSNH asking that all costs incurred in connection with its the proposed PPA to be fully recovered through rates by using the term "full cost recovery" in this section?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 A. 14 A. 15 16 17 18 19	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No. (Mr. Labrecque) No. That's well, let me say this: If we, PSNH, as the buyer, ever felt they weren't complying with 3.2, or some of the preambles, where the parties are agreeing to buy the output of a wood-fired facility, we could pursue actions under the PPA, whatever remedies are available. You know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this agreement."</li> <li>Is PSNH asking that all costs incurred in connection with its the proposed PPA to be fully recovered through rates by using the term "full cost recovery" in this section?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>If the answer is yes, is the Company then asking the</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 A. 14 A. 15 16 17 18 19 20	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No. (Mr. Labrecque) No. That's well, let me say this: If we, PSNH, as the buyer, ever felt they weren't complying with 3.2, or some of the preambles, where the parties are agreeing to buy the output of a wood-fired facility, we could pursue actions under the PPA, whatever remedies are available. You know, we're buying a biomass facility. We're not buying a	2 3 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q 20	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this agreement."</li> <li>Is PSNH asking that all costs incurred in connection with its the proposed PPA to be fully recovered through rates by using the term "full cost recovery" in this section?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>If the answer is yes, is the Company then asking the Commission to approve full cost recovery of rates in</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 A. 14 A. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No. (Mr. Labrecque) No. That's well, let me say this: If we, PSNH, as the buyer, ever felt they weren't complying with 3.2, or some of the preambles, where the parties are agreeing to buy the output of a wood-fired facility, we could pursue actions under the PPA, whatever remedies are available. You know, we're buying a biomass facility. We're not buying a natural gas-fired facility.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q 20 21	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this agreement."</li> <li>Is PSNH asking that all costs incurred in connection with its the proposed PPA to be fully recovered through rates by using the term "full cost recovery" in this section?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>If the answer is yes, is the Company then asking the Commission to approve full cost recovery of rates in this proceeding?</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 A. 14 A. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Q.	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No. (Mr. Labrecque) No. That's well, let me say this: If we, PSNH, as the buyer, ever felt they weren't complying with 3.2, or some of the preambles, where the parties are agreeing to buy the output of a wood-fired facility, we could pursue actions under the PPA, whatever remedies are available. You know, we're buying a biomass facility. We're not buying a natural gas-fired facility. Thank you. That's fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q 20 21 22 A	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this agreement."</li> <li>Is PSNH asking that all costs incurred in connection with its the proposed PPA to be fully recovered through rates by using the term "full cost recovery" in this section?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 A. 14 A. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Q. 23	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No. (Mr. Labrecque) No. That's well, let me say this: If we, PSNH, as the buyer, ever felt they weren't complying with 3.2, or some of the preambles, where the parties are agreeing to buy the output of a wood-fired facility, we could pursue actions under the PPA, whatever remedies are available. You know, we're buying a biomass facility. We're not buying a natural gas-fired facility. Thank you. That's fine. I'm moving along to Article 4. Article 4 begins	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q 20 21 22 A 23 Q	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this agreement."</li> <li>Is PSNH asking that all costs incurred in connection with its the proposed PPA to be fully recovered through rates by using the term "full cost recovery" in this section?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>Okay. Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 A. 14 A. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Q.	So it would still be priced using the pricing terms in the contract, including the wood price adjustment? (Mr. Long) Again, you know, I'm not a power plant operator. But this is before the power plant begins operating and producing megawatt hours. You're warming up the boiler to get it up to specification, pressures and temperatures. And by that time, by the time you get it up to pressures and temperatures, you're burning wood. Without belaboring the point, though, it just has to be primarily biomass. But there could be some energy used. Construction debris? (Mr. Long) No. (Mr. Labrecque) No. That's well, let me say this: If we, PSNH, as the buyer, ever felt they weren't complying with 3.2, or some of the preambles, where the parties are agreeing to buy the output of a wood-fired facility, we could pursue actions under the PPA, whatever remedies are available. You know, we're buying a biomass facility. We're not buying a natural gas-fired facility. Thank you. That's fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 A 19 Q 20 21 22 A	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) It certainly will include all environmental permits for air emissions. If there's water use on the property, licenses will be if any crossings or easements are necessary. It's the wide array of things that will be necessary for them to be able to operate within the law.</li> <li>Understood. Thank you.</li> <li>One of the other conditions is Article 4.1.3, which is, "PSNH has received from the NHPUC a final, non-appealable decision acceptable to PSNH in its sole discretion approving and allowing for full cost recovery of the rates, terms and conditions of this agreement."</li> <li>Is PSNH asking that all costs incurred in connection with its the proposed PPA to be fully recovered through rates by using the term "full cost recovery" in this section?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> </ul>

	DE 10-195 PSNH/LAI	ULAW	DLA	
	Page	109		Page 111
1	the Commission approves the PPA and it goes in	to 1	L	occurs each year.
2	effect, are there any circumstances in which the		2 A.	(Mr. Labrecque) Correct.
3	Commission would have additional review and appro		~	
4	authority in a separate proceeding before full c		-	Section Article 8.1 of the contract. When I
5	recovery would be allowed?	5		referred to possible improvements that Laidlaw would
6	(Discussion among panel members.)	e		pay for which PSNH would have to compensate them, I
7	A. (Mr. Long) It would take me a while to review ev	ery 7	7	was referring to Article 8.1. And this is a section
8	term in the agreement. But there is a provision t	-		that includes the concept that PSNH may pay the
9	disputes can come before this Commission. There			seller for any expenditures needed to increase the
10	provisions of this contract that says we have t			value of the products. Do you agree?
11	operate in good utility practices. I mean, ther		LA.	(Mr. Labrecque) Yes. This provides
12	some form of review. But I don't know. If you w			I don't want to go into long detail on this because I
13	to go me to go through the pages remedies may		-	know Mr. Shulock asked questions. So I'm not putting
14	not the Commission, but the State of New Hampsh			a lot of foundation for my question here. But this
	Q. For example: Since you're asking for full cos			is the one, you'd agree with me that this is where
16	recovery, would there be an opportunity, for exam			PSNH could pay the seller for expenditures needed to
17	for the Commission to determine go back and revi			enhance the value of the products, including
18	expenditures to determine if they were pruden			renewable energy products?
19	incurred?	-	э А.	(Mr. Labrecque) Correct.
20		20		Now, does the Commission have any kind of role in
	Q. By PSNH. Any costs. I mean, for example, we		-	pre-approving or reviewing after the fact the costs
22	talked about some provisions in the contract wh		2	associated that might be associated with this
23	would allow you to reimburse Laidlaw for cap		3	section, paid by the Company to Laidlaw?
24	expense that might have to be incurred to keep		A.	(Mr. Long) Probably need legal help on that one. But
	Page	110		Page 112
1				-
1	facility in compliance with environmental	1		as a matter of practice, we would come before the
1 2 3	facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss	on 2	2	-
2	facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu	on 2 es 3	2 3	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so
2 3	facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa	on 2 es 3	2 3 1	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a
2 3 4 5	facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa prudently incurred those expenditures?	on 2 es 3 ny 4	2 3 1 5	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa prudently incurred those expenditures?</li><li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, to come and the comparent of the comp</li></ul>	on 2 es 3 ny 4 nat 6	2 3 1 5 5	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa prudently incurred those expenditures?</li><li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our production of the company of the company of the company of the company of the company.</li></ul>	on 2 es 3 ny 4 nat 6 r 7	2 3 4 5 5 7	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa prudently incurred those expenditures?</li><li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, to come and the comparent of the comp</li></ul>	ny 4 nat 6 r 7 of 8	2 3 4 5 7 8 Q.	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa prudently incurred those expenditures?</li><li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of ou purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part</li></ul>	ny 4 nat 6 r 7 of 8	2 3 4 5 7 3 Q.	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa prudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of ou purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of the rate filings of the rate filings.</li> </ul>	ny 4 nat 6 r 7 of 8 on 9 u 10	2 3 5 7 3 Q.	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa prudently incurred those expenditures?</li><li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of ou purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administratio of it would certainly be subject to review. You</li></ul>	1 on 2 es 3 ny 4 nat 6 r 7 of 8 on 9 u 10 he 11	2 3 5 7 3 Q.	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa prudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, the this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of it would certainly be subject to review. You could review whether or not we complied with all</li> </ul>	1 on 2 es 3 ny 4 fr 7 of 8 on 9 u 10 he 11 did 12	2 3 4 5 5 7 3 9 0 2 2 A.	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa prudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, the this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of it would certainly be subject to review. You could review whether or not we complied with all terms and conditions and did proper invoicing and</li> </ul>	1 on 2 es 3 ny 4 nat 6 r 7 of 8 on 9 u 10 he 11 did 12 et 13	2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 0 2 2 4.	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now. (Mr. Long) I understand that. As you pointed out, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa prudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, the this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of it would certainly be subject to review. You could review whether or not we complied with all terms and conditions and did proper invoicing and proper accounting for the wood price adjustment.</li> </ul>	1 on 2 es 3 ny 4 tat 6 r 7 of 8 on 9 u 10 he 11 did 12 et 13	2 3 4 5 5 7 8 9 0 2 2 4.	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now. (Mr. Long) I understand that. As you pointed out, I probably won't be here 20 years from now, but I probably won't either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa prudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, the this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of it would certainly be subject to review. You could review whether or not we complied with all terms and conditions and did proper invoicing and proper accounting for the wood price adjustment, cetera. If there was the capital expense you</li> </ul>	1 on 2 es 3 ny 4 fat 6 r 7 of 8 on 9 u 10 he 11 did 12 et 13 14 nat 15	$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ A \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ Q \\ 5 \\ A \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now. (Mr. Long) I understand that. As you pointed out, I probably won't be here 20 years from now, but I probably won't either.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commisse then have an opportunity before any such expenditue to come back and determine whether the Compare prudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, to this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of it would certainly be subject to review. You could review whether or not we complied with all terms and conditions and did proper invoicing and proper accounting for the wood price adjustment, cetera. If there was the capital expense you referred to, you know, I guess I can't say under we complete the subject to review.</li> </ul>	1       on     2       es     3       ny     4       pat     6       r     7       of     8       on     9       u     10       he     11       did     12       et     13       nat     15       on.     16	2 3 4 5 5 5 7 9 0 0 1 1 2 A. 3 9 0 1 2 A. 5 5 A. 5 5	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now. (Mr. Long) I understand that. As you pointed out, I probably won't be here 20 years from now, but I probably won't either. (Mr. Long) But, you know, our practice is anything of
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commisse then have an opportunity before any such expenditue to come back and determine whether the Compaprudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, the this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of it would certainly be subject to review. You could review whether or not we complied with all terms and conditions and did proper invoicing and proper accounting for the wood price adjustment, cetera. If there was the capital expense you referred to, you know, I guess I can't say under w conditions that would come before the Commission.</li> </ul>	1         on       2         es       3         ny       4         pat       6         r       7         of       8         on       5         on       5         on       5         on       5         on       5         did       12         et       13         nat       15         on.       16         to       17	2 2 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 9 Q. 1 2 A. 2 3 4 Q. 5 5 A. 5 7 7	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now. (Mr. Long) I understand that. As you pointed out, I probably won't be here 20 years from now, but I probably won't either. (Mr. Long) But, you know, our practice is anything of such a material thing we would bring to the
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commiss then have an opportunity before any such expenditu to come back and determine whether the Compa prudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, the this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of it would certainly be subject to review. You could review whether or not we complied with all terms and conditions and did proper invoicing and proper accounting for the wood price adjustment, cetera. If there was the capital expense you referred to, you know, I guess I can't say under we conditions that would come before the Commissi Q. Well, you know, I know that Mr. Long is looking</li> </ul>	1         on       2         es       3         ny       4         pat       6         r       7         of       8         on       5         on       5         on       5         on       5         on       5         did       12         et       13         nat       15         on.       16         to       17	2 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 3 Q. 4 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<ul> <li>as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk.</li> <li>You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event.</li> <li>Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) I understand that. As you pointed out, I probably won't be here 20 years from now, but I probably won't either.</li> <li>(Mr. Long) But, you know, our practice is anything of such a material thing we would bring to the Commission, just to get some sort of review. I guess</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commission then have an opportunity before any such expenditue to come back and determine whether the Compare prudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, to this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of it would certainly be subject to review. You could review whether or not we complied with all terms and conditions and did proper invoicing and proper accounting for the wood price adjustment, cetera. If there was the capital expense you referred to, you know, I guess I can't say under w conditions that would come before the Commissi Q. Well, you know, I know that Mr. Long is looking see what provisions in the contract might be subject to the Commission.</li> </ul>	1         on       2         es       3         ny       4         pat       6         r       7         of       8         on       9         u       10         he       11         did       12         on       14         nat       15         on.       16         to       17         ct       18         on       20         on       20	2 2 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 3 3 Q. 2 A. 2 4 4 2 A. 2 5 5 A. 5 5 7 7 3 3 9	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now. (Mr. Long) I understand that. As you pointed out, I probably won't be here 20 years from now, but I probably won't either. (Mr. Long) But, you know, our practice is anything of such a material thing we would bring to the Commission, just to get some sort of review. I guess I would need a lawyer to tell me exactly if there was a legal requirement. It certainly would be good business practice to seek review.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commission then have an opportunity before any such expenditue to come back and determine whether the Comparprudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, to this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of it would certainly be subject to review. You could review whether or not we complied with all terms and conditions and did proper invoicing and proper accounting for the wood price adjustment, cetera. If there was the capital expense you referred to, you know, I guess I can't say under we conditions that would come before the Commissi Q. Well, you know, I know that Mr. Long is looking see what provisions in the contract might be subject to the Commission. But what I'm hearing in response to my questiins that the Company does not envision coming to the provision coming to the company does not envision company does not envision company and the company does not envision company company and the company does not envision company does not envi</li></ul>	1         on       2         es       3         ny       4         pat       6         r       7         of       8         of       8         on       9         oht       10         het       11         did       12         oht       12         oht       15         oht       16         oht       17         oht       17         oht       17         oht       17         oht       12         oht       14         oht       15         oht       15         oht       15 <th>2 3 4 5 5 5 7 3 Q. 4 7 3 Q. 4 9 0 1 2 A. 4 7 7 3 9 0 1 2 A. 5 5 7 7 3 9 0 1 2 C.</th> <th>as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now. (Mr. Long) I understand that. As you pointed out, I probably won't be here 20 years from now, but I probably won't either. (Mr. Long) But, you know, our practice is anything of such a material thing we would bring to the Commission, just to get some sort of review. I guess I would need a lawyer to tell me exactly if there was a legal requirement. It certainly would be good business practice to seek review. Well, it's certainly something that the Commission</th>	2 3 4 5 5 5 7 3 Q. 4 7 3 Q. 4 9 0 1 2 A. 4 7 7 3 9 0 1 2 A. 5 5 7 7 3 9 0 1 2 C.	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now. (Mr. Long) I understand that. As you pointed out, I probably won't be here 20 years from now, but I probably won't either. (Mr. Long) But, you know, our practice is anything of such a material thing we would bring to the Commission, just to get some sort of review. I guess I would need a lawyer to tell me exactly if there was a legal requirement. It certainly would be good business practice to seek review. Well, it's certainly something that the Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commission then have an opportunity before any such expenditue to come back and determine whether the Comparprudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, to this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of it would certainly be subject to review. You could review whether or not we complied with all terms and conditions and did proper invoicing and proper accounting for the wood price adjustment, cetera. If there was the capital expense you referred to, you know, I guess I can't say under w conditions that would come before the Commission.</li> <li>Q. Well, you know, I know that Mr. Long is looking see what provisions in the contract might be subject to the Commission.</li> <li>But what I'm hearing in response to my question is that the Company does not envision coming to the Commission for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the provision of the prudence of it is provised at the provision for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the provision for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the provision for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the provision for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the provision for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the provision for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the p</li></ul>	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 3 4 5 5 5 7 3 Q. 4 7 3 Q. 4 9 0 1 2 A. 4 7 7 3 9 0 1 2 A. 5 5 7 7 3 9 0 1 2 C.	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now. (Mr. Long) I understand that. As you pointed out, I probably won't be here 20 years from now, but I probably won't either. (Mr. Long) But, you know, our practice is anything of such a material thing we would bring to the Commission, just to get some sort of review. I guess I would need a lawyer to tell me exactly if there was a legal requirement. It certainly would be good business practice to seek review. Well, it's certainly something that the Commission could consider if it's not clear in the contract in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commission then have an opportunity before any such expenditue to come back and determine whether the Comparprudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, to this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of it would certainly be subject to review. You could review whether or not we complied with all terms and conditions and did proper invoicing and proper accounting for the wood price adjustment, cetera. If there was the capital expense you referred to, you know, I guess I can't say under we conditions that would come before the Commissis</li> <li>Q. Well, you know, I know that Mr. Long is looking see what provisions in the contract might be subject to the Commission. But what I'm hearing in response to my questiis that the Company does not envision coming to a commission for a review of the prudence of i actions or expenditures of this contract, exception.</li> </ul>	1         on       2         es       3         ny       4         fat       6         r       7         of       8         on       9         u       10         he       13         did       12         on       14         nat       15         on.       16         to       17         ct       18         on       20         on       20         he       21         s       22         in       23	2 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 3 Q. 4 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now. (Mr. Long) I understand that. As you pointed out, I probably won't be here 20 years from now, but I probably won't either. (Mr. Long) But, you know, our practice is anything of such a material thing we would bring to the Commission, just to get some sort of review. I guess I would need a lawyer to tell me exactly if there was a legal requirement. It certainly would be good business practice to seek review. Well, it's certainly something that the Commission could consider if it's not clear in the contract in connection with its authority under RSA 362:9(I). It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>facility in compliance with environmental regulations. Would you then would the Commission then have an opportunity before any such expenditue to come back and determine whether the Comparprudently incurred those expenditures?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Well, I would say, in general, to this PPA, like the Lempster PPA, or any of our purchases, would be part of the rate filings, part the annual reconciliations. And the administration of it would certainly be subject to review. You could review whether or not we complied with all terms and conditions and did proper invoicing and proper accounting for the wood price adjustment, cetera. If there was the capital expense you referred to, you know, I guess I can't say under w conditions that would come before the Commission.</li> <li>Q. Well, you know, I know that Mr. Long is looking see what provisions in the contract might be subject to the Commission.</li> <li>But what I'm hearing in response to my question is that the Company does not envision coming to the Commission for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the provision of the prudence of it is provised at the provision for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the provision for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the provision for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the provision for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the provision for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the provision for a review of the prudence of it is provised at the p</li></ul>	1         on       2         es       3         ny       4         fat       6         r       7         of       8         on       9         u       10         he       13         did       12         on       14         nat       15         on.       16         to       17         ct       18         on       20         on       20         he       21         s       22         in       23	2 3 4 5 5 5 7 7 3 9 0 L 2 A. 5 5 7 7 3 9 0 L 2 A. 5 7 7 3 9 0 L 2 3 4 Q. 2 3	as a matter of practice, we would come before the Commission to see if they were accepting that, so that we didn't have a risk. You mentioned Section 26.7 that talks about a material amendment. I'm not sure if I would say it's a material amendment or not, but certainly a material event. Well, it is a material event. But that only pertains to material amendments to the PPA. I mean, these are already these are provisions in the proposal before the Commission right now. (Mr. Long) I understand that. As you pointed out, I probably won't be here 20 years from now, but I probably won't either. (Mr. Long) But, you know, our practice is anything of such a material thing we would bring to the Commission, just to get some sort of review. I guess I would need a lawyer to tell me exactly if there was a legal requirement. It certainly would be good business practice to seek review. Well, it's certainly something that the Commission could consider if it's not clear in the contract in

		AW BERLIN BIOPOWER
	Page 113	Page 115
1 A.	(Mr. Long) Right. And there's other parts of the	<b>1</b> A. (Mr. Long) Yeah. Again, you're asking me for a legal
2	agreement. I just lost the page. But there's	2 interpretation. I think the Commission has general
3	another one. Okay. It's on 25.3 that talks about	3 authority over the setting of rates. So if you
4	arbitration. It says, "Except in cases where	4 attempt to change the rate, I would think the
5	dispute"	5 Commission has a role.
6	(Court Reporter interjects.)	6 MS. AMIDON: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to
7 A.		7 make a record request to have the Company answer the
8	arbitration. It says, "Except in cases where the	8 question of whether the Commission has authority to
9	dispute is subject to the NHPUC" So, clearly, you	<ul><li>9 review Article 8.1, Expenditures, in a subsequent</li></ul>
10	know, it's contemplated that some disputes may be	10 proceeding.
11	subject to the jurisdiction of the PUC.	11 MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bersak, is that
12 Q.	• •	12 something you can provide?
13	not hearing that this section contemplates a role for	13 MR. BERSAK: We shall take care of it
14	the Commission in either approving or reviewing any	14 expeditiously.
15	costs that or payments that PSNH may make to	15 MR. CHAIRMAN: Then we will reserve
16	Laidlaw under Article 1.1 I mean 8.1.	16 what would be, I guess, Staff's next
17 A.		17 MR. BERSAK: I would propose that we
18	I would have to have advice from counsel.	18 just do it on the record. I think we'll be able to
19 Q.		<ul><li>19 do it off the stand, Mr. Chairman.</li></ul>
20	associated with implementing 8.1 would be calculated?	20 MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Then let's go in
21 A.		21 that direction.
22	there's a capital addition required that would add	22 MS. AMIDON: Thank you.
23	some value to the plant? Is that	23 BY MS. AMIDON:
24 Q.		<b>24</b> Q. Also in Article 8.1, it goes on to say that, under
C	,,	
	Page 114	Page 116
1	-	
1	explain which I don't believe it is could you	1 certain conditions where seller can't transfer to
2	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined?	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> </ol>
2 3 A.	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> </ol>
2	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And,	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward.	<ul> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> </ul>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q.	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward.	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10 11	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown costs or any of these unknown costs as part of the condition described in Article 4.1.3?	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> <li>Q. And how would that be done?</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10 11 12	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown costs or any of these unknown costs as part of the condition described in Article 4.1.3?	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> <li>Q. And how would that be done?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Through the payments we make to Laidlaw.</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10 11 12 13 A.	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown costs or any of these unknown costs as part of the condition described in Article 4.1.3? (Mr. Long) I think you raise a good point, in that	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> <li>Q. And how would that be done?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Through the payments we make to Laidlaw.</li> <li>Q. Are you then saying that any such benefit that the</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10 11 12 13 A. 14	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown costs or any of these unknown costs as part of the condition described in Article 4.1.3? (Mr. Long) I think you raise a good point, in that you're saying, if there is a substantial change to	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> <li>Q. And how would that be done?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Through the payments we make to Laidlaw.</li> <li>Q. Are you then saying that any such benefit that the</li> <li>Company received would go into the I want to call</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10 11 12 13 A. 14 15	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown costs or any of these unknown costs as part of the condition described in Article 4.1.3? (Mr. Long) I think you raise a good point, in that you're saying, if there is a substantial change to the facility itself and the parties are willing to go	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> <li>Q. And how would that be done?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Through the payments we make to Laidlaw.</li> <li>Q. Are you then saying that any such benefit that the</li> <li>Company received would go into the I want to call</li> <li>it the CFR, but it's not that. It's CRF.</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10 11 12 13 A. 14 15 16	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown costs or any of these unknown costs as part of the condition described in Article 4.1.3? (Mr. Long) I think you raise a good point, in that you're saying, if there is a substantial change to the facility itself and the parties are willing to go forward because we feel it's a net positive. I'm not	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> <li>Q. And how would that be done?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Through the payments we make to Laidlaw.</li> <li>Q. Are you then saying that any such benefit that the</li> <li>Company received would go into the I want to call</li> <li>it the CFR, but it's not that. It's CRF.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Yeah. No, it would it would flow</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10 11 12 13 A. 14 15 16 17	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown costs or any of these unknown costs as part of the condition described in Article 4.1.3? (Mr. Long) I think you raise a good point, in that you're saying, if there is a substantial change to the facility itself and the parties are willing to go forward because we feel it's a net positive. I'm not sure if I see where that's required by the	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> <li>Q. And how would that be done?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Through the payments we make to Laidlaw.</li> <li>Q. Are you then saying that any such benefit that the</li> <li>Company received would go into the I want to call</li> <li>it the CFR, but it's not that. It's CRF.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Yeah. No, it would it would flow</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10 11 12 13 A. 14 15 16 17 18	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown costs or any of these unknown costs as part of the condition described in Article 4.1.3? (Mr. Long) I think you raise a good point, in that you're saying, if there is a substantial change to the facility itself and the parties are willing to go forward because we feel it's a net positive. I'm not sure if I see where that's required by the Commission. But as I said, that's something I would	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> <li>Q. And how would that be done?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Through the payments we make to Laidlaw.</li> <li>Q. Are you then saying that any such benefit that the</li> <li>Company received would go into the I want to call</li> <li>it the CFR, but it's not that. It's CRF.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Yeah. No, it would it would flow</li> <li>directly into the cost and revenues of the PPA. It</li> <li>would be a direct credit to customers in the month in</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10 11 12 13 A. 14 15 16 17 18 19	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown costs or any of these unknown costs as part of the condition described in Article 4.1.3? (Mr. Long) I think you raise a good point, in that you're saying, if there is a substantial change to the facility itself and the parties are willing to go forward because we feel it's a net positive. I'm not sure if I see where that's required by the Commission. But as I said, that's something I would certainly would be tempted to bring forward to the Commission, regardless.	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> <li>Q. And how would that be done?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Through the payments we make to Laidlaw.</li> <li>Q. Are you then saying that any such benefit that the</li> <li>Company received would go into the I want to call</li> <li>it the CFR, but it's not that. It's CRF.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Yeah. No, it would it would flow</li> <li>directly into the cost and revenues of the PPA. It</li> <li>would be a direct credit to customers in the month in</li> <li>which the funds were received, or credited to our</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10 11 12 13 A. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown costs or any of these unknown costs as part of the condition described in Article 4.1.3? (Mr. Long) I think you raise a good point, in that you're saying, if there is a substantial change to the facility itself and the parties are willing to go forward because we feel it's a net positive. I'm not sure if I see where that's required by the Commission. But as I said, that's something I would certainly would be tempted to bring forward to the Commission, regardless.	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> <li>Q. And how would that be done?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Through the payments we make to Laidlaw.</li> <li>Q. Are you then saying that any such benefit that the</li> <li>Company received would go into the I want to call</li> <li>it the CFR, but it's not that. It's CRF.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Yeah. No, it would it would flow</li> <li>directly into the cost and revenues of the PPA. It</li> <li>would be a direct credit to customers in the month in</li> <li>which the funds were received, or credited to our</li> <li>payment to them in that month.</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10 11 12 13 A. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Q.	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown costs or any of these unknown costs as part of the condition described in Article 4.1.3? (Mr. Long) I think you raise a good point, in that you're saying, if there is a substantial change to the facility itself and the parties are willing to go forward because we feel it's a net positive. I'm not sure if I see where that's required by the Commission. But as I said, that's something I would certainly would be tempted to bring forward to the Commission, regardless. But if the Commission approved this PPA, is it the	<ol> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> <li>Q. And how would that be done?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Through the payments we make to Laidlaw.</li> <li>Q. Are you then saying that any such benefit that the</li> <li>Company received would go into the I want to call</li> <li>it the CFR, but it's not that. It's CRF.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Yeah. No, it would it would flow</li> <li>directly into the cost and revenues of the PPA. It</li> <li>would be a direct credit to customers in the month in</li> <li>which the funds were received, or credited to our</li> <li>payment to them in that month.</li> <li>Q. Great. Thank you.</li> </ol>
2 3 A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 Q. 10 11 12 13 A. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 Q. 22	explain which I don't believe it is could you explain to me how those costs would be determined? (Mr. Long) Well, I think it's something that would have to be worked out between the parties. And, obviously, it would probably start with what are the costs of this addition and what are the benefits and when do they occur, and then the parties would have to agree to whether or not it should go forward. Is the Company asking in this proceeding for pre-approval of full recovery of all these unknown costs or any of these unknown costs as part of the condition described in Article 4.1.3? (Mr. Long) I think you raise a good point, in that you're saying, if there is a substantial change to the facility itself and the parties are willing to go forward because we feel it's a net positive. I'm not sure if I see where that's required by the Commission. But as I said, that's something I would certainly would be tempted to bring forward to the Commission, regardless. But if the Commission approved this PPA, is it the Company's position that they would be supporting or	<ul> <li>certain conditions where seller can't transfer to</li> <li>PSNH the value of any renewable products resulting</li> <li>from a change in law, the seller would pay PSNH the</li> <li>amount the seller receives, net of any costs, tax or</li> <li>expense seller incurs to receive such amounts.</li> <li>So, in the event that that happens, does PSNH</li> <li>plan to credit the amount of payment made by the</li> <li>seller to PSNH back to customers?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Yes. All costs as defined in this</li> <li>contract would go to the customers.</li> <li>Q. And how would that be done?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Through the payments we make to Laidlaw.</li> <li>Q. Are you then saying that any such benefit that the</li> <li>Company received would go into the I want to call</li> <li>it the CFR, but it's not that. It's CRF.</li> <li>A. (Mr. Labrecque) Yeah. No, it would it would flow</li> <li>directly into the cost and revenues of the PPA. It</li> <li>would be a direct credit to customers in the month in</li> <li>which the funds were received, or credited to our</li> <li>payment to them in that month.</li> <li>Q. Great. Thank you.</li> <li>Now I want to turn to Article 5.1, which is on</li> </ul>

	Page 117		Page 119
1	sell and deliver, and PSNH shall purchase and accept	1 A.	(Mr. Long) No. This PPA is the governing document
2	delivery of 100 percent of the products produced by	2	between the business relationship of the two
3	the facility."	3	entities.
4	Appendix A is referenced. And Appendix A	4 Q.	Okay. In connection with this section does the
5	states, "The facility will be designed to have a net	5	PPA allow Laidlaw to expand at any time before or
6	electric output at standard conditions of	6	during the term the output of the facility above the
7	approximately 64-megawatts winter and 61-megawatts	7	level specified in Appendix A? And I'm specifically
8	summer. What is meant by "standard conditions"?	8	thinking of Article 8.1, which allows investments
9 A		9	under certain circumstances in increasing the value
10	better. But I recall	10	of the products, which include, I think, energy.
11	(Court Reporter interjects.)	11 A.	(Mr. Long) Section 8.1, I think the part you're
12 (		12	referring to is referring to increasing the value of
13 A			the products as opposed to increasing the product.
	unit.	13	
14		14 Q.	So, does any part of this PPA allow Laidlaw to expand
15 A		15	the size of the facility?
16	of the atmospheric conditions that affect efficiency	16 A.	
17	of the unit. And efficiency of the unit can cause	17	that. But, no, I don't see it in there.
18	higher or lower output to occur. So, typically, a		Well, if Laidlaw argued that, would you then come
19	standard design basis will assume a normal, flat line	19	before this Commission to determine whether you would
20	of atmospheric conditions, air temperature	20	be obligated to purchase the additional output?
21	conditions, water temperature conditions, which	21 A.	(Mr. Long) Well, we would be bound by the dispute
22	certainly vary as the seasons of the year vary.	22	resolution process in the power purchase agreement.
23 (		23 Q.	Having said that, if Laidlaw expands the output of
24	hours winter months?	24	the facility above the level set out in Appendix A,
	Page 118		Page 120
1 /		1	
1 /	. (Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.	1	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to
2 (	<ul><li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li><li>Okay.</li></ul>	2	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as
2 ( 3 A	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and</li> </ul>	2 3	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion?
2 ( 3 A 4	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A.	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and
2 ( 3 / 4 5	<ul> <li>Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 (	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately 64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A.
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately 64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q.	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately 64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately 64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12 13	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately 64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the last two days would be certainly more favorable to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission for cost recovery of such additional purchases of
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately 64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the last two days would be certainly more favorable to higher output. So while you might say 64-megawatts</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission for cost recovery of such additional purchases of energy from the ratepayers?
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12 13	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately 64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the last two days would be certainly more favorable to higher output. So while you might say 64-megawatts on a day like the last few, it might be capable of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 A.	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission for cost recovery of such additional purchases of energy from the ratepayers? (Mr. Long) If we like I said, we'd be bound by
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 14	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately 64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the last two days would be certainly more favorable to higher output. So while you might say 64-megawatts on a day like the last few, it might be capable of producing more than 64; whereas, in the while</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission for cost recovery of such additional purchases of energy from the ratepayers? (Mr. Long) If we like I said, we'd be bound by Exhibit A. And if we felt that something was greater
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately 64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the last two days would be certainly more favorable to higher output. So while you might say 64-megawatts on a day like the last few, it might be capable of producing more than 64; whereas, in the while summer, for capacity purposes, might be defined as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 A.	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission for cost recovery of such additional purchases of energy from the ratepayers? (Mr. Long) If we like I said, we'd be bound by Exhibit A. And if we felt that something was greater than Exhibit A, and we thought it had value, you
2 ( 3 A 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately 64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the last two days would be certainly more favorable to higher output. So while you might say 64-megawatts on a day like the last few, it might be capable of producing more than 64; whereas, in the while summer, for capacity purposes, might be defined as January, February or pardon me June, July,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 A. 16	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission for cost recovery of such additional purchases of energy from the ratepayers? (Mr. Long) If we like I said, we'd be bound by Exhibit A. And if we felt that something was greater than Exhibit A, and we thought it had value, you know, we might argue that would be a material change
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately 64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the last two days would be certainly more favorable to higher output. So while you might say 64-megawatts on a day like the last few, it might be capable of producing more than 64; whereas, in the while summer, for capacity purposes, might be defined as January, February or pardon me June, July, August and September, there are warm days in May</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 A. 16 17	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission for cost recovery of such additional purchases of energy from the ratepayers? (Mr. Long) If we like I said, we'd be bound by Exhibit A. And if we felt that something was greater than Exhibit A, and we thought it had value, you know, we might argue that would be a material change and that had to come before the Commission. I mean,
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. <ul> <li>And what is meant by the use of the word</li> <li>"approximately"? It says, "approximately</li> <li>64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> </ul> </li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the last two days would be certainly more favorable to higher output. So while you might say 64-megawatts on a day like the last few, it might be capable of producing more than 64; whereas, in the while summer, for capacity purposes, might be defined as January, February or pardon me June, July, August and September, there are warm days in May where the output in the unit might be reduced below</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 A. 16 17 18	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission for cost recovery of such additional purchases of energy from the ratepayers? (Mr. Long) If we like I said, we'd be bound by Exhibit A. And if we felt that something was greater than Exhibit A, and we thought it had value, you know, we might argue that would be a material change and that had to come before the Commission. I mean, that's one argument someone could make.
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. And what is meant by the use of the word "approximately"? It says, "approximately 64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the last two days would be certainly more favorable to higher output. So while you might say 64-megawatts on a day like the last few, it might be capable of producing more than 64; whereas, in the while summer, for capacity purposes, might be defined as January, February or pardon me June, July, August and September, there are warm days in May</li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 A. 16 17 18 19	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission for cost recovery of such additional purchases of energy from the ratepayers? (Mr. Long) If we like I said, we'd be bound by Exhibit A. And if we felt that something was greater than Exhibit A, and we thought it had value, you know, we might argue that would be a material change and that had to come before the Commission. I mean, that's one argument someone could make.
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. <ul> <li>And what is meant by the use of the word</li> <li>"approximately"? It says, "approximately</li> <li>64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the last two days would be certainly more favorable to higher output. So while you might say 64-megawatts on a day like the last few, it might be capable of producing more than 64; whereas, in the while summer, for capacity purposes, might be defined as January, February or pardon me June, July, August and September, there are warm days in May where the output in the unit might be reduced below that 64-megawatt.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 A. 16 17 18 19 20 21 Q. 22	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission for cost recovery of such additional purchases of energy from the ratepayers? (Mr. Long) If we like I said, we'd be bound by Exhibit A. And if we felt that something was greater than Exhibit A, and we thought it had value, you know, we might argue that would be a material change and that had to come before the Commission. I mean, that's one argument someone could make. Okay. One moment, please. (Pause in proceedings)
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. <ul> <li>And what is meant by the use of the word</li> <li>"approximately"? It says, "approximately</li> <li>64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the last two days would be certainly more favorable to higher output. So while you might say 64-megawatts on a day like the last few, it might be capable of producing more than 64; whereas, in the while summer, for capacity purposes, might be defined as January, February or pardon me June, July, August and September, there are warm days in May where the output in the unit might be reduced below that 64-megawatt.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 A. 16 17 18 19 20 21 Q. 22	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission for cost recovery of such additional purchases of energy from the ratepayers? (Mr. Long) If we like I said, we'd be bound by Exhibit A. And if we felt that something was greater than Exhibit A, and we thought it had value, you know, we might argue that would be a material change and that had to come before the Commission. I mean, that's one argument someone could make. Okay. One moment, please.
2 ( 3 A 4 5 6 ( 7 8 9 10 11 A 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 (	<ul> <li>(Mr. Large) Easier to describe summer months.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>(Mr. Large) So, typically, June, July, August and September. Winter are the other eight months of the year.</li> <li>Great. Thank you. <ul> <li>And what is meant by the use of the word</li> <li>"approximately"? It says, "approximately</li> <li>64-megawatts winter and approximately 61-megawatts summer."</li> <li>(Mr. Large) In my discussion about the seasonality, weather conditions that we've experienced over the last two days would be certainly more favorable to higher output. So while you might say 64-megawatts on a day like the last few, it might be capable of producing more than 64; whereas, in the while summer, for capacity purposes, might be defined as January, February or pardon me June, July, August and September, there are warm days in May where the output in the unit might be reduced below that 64-megawatt.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 A. 5 6 7 8 9 10 Q. 11 12 13 14 15 A. 16 17 18 19 20 21 Q. 22	is it PSNH's position that you're obligated to purchase all of the incremental products produced as a result of that expansion? (Mr. Long) This is the one that we hesitate on, and obviously we have in our data response, because if this project is very valuable, we may want it to be larger. But it's but absent as in anything else, we would be guided by the contract and what's in Appendix A. Well, if the answer was yes, the Commission approves the PPA and Laidlaw subsequently expanded the facility, would PSNH seek approval by the Commission for cost recovery of such additional purchases of energy from the ratepayers? (Mr. Long) If we like I said, we'd be bound by Exhibit A. And if we felt that something was greater than Exhibit A, and we thought it had value, you know, we might argue that would be a material change and that had to come before the Commission. I mean, that's one argument someone could make. Okay. One moment, please. (Pause in proceedings) Y MS. AMIDON:

		DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLA	<b>4 VV</b>	BEF	
		Page 121			Page 123
1		describes the right of first refusal and the purchase	1		sell the facility?
2		option. And as I read that section, it talks about		A.	-
3		if the seller desires to sell the facility. And	3	Q.	
4		there's some additional words there that I'm	4	χ.	is defined as Laidlaw. But we'll move on.
5		omitting. It says the seller shall submit a written	5		Do you know if Laidlaw has attempted to sell the
6		offer to sell all or such portion of the facility,	6		property?
7		including any associated interests or rights in the		A.	(Mr. Long) No. I mean, there's been a change of
8		site described in the offer to PSNH.	8	11.	ownership over time. But if you're referring to are
9		What is meant by "associated interests or rights	9		there any current attempts, I don't know.
_		in the site"?	_	Q.	Okay. Now, Article 23 let me find the page is
10	٨			Q.	
11 /	А.	(Mr. Long) Anything that's required to operate the	11		titled "Change of Law." One moment.
12		plant. You know, if you buy something, you want to	12		Pardon me. I'd like to go back to the right of
13		be able to have all rights associated with that so	13		first refusal issue and just ask an additional
14		that you could operate	14		question.
15		(Court Reporter interjects.)	15		What authority does the seller have to cause
16 /	А.	(Mr. Long) you could continue to operate the	16		other parties to comply with the right of first
17	~	plant.	17		refusal? And the seller has a relationship with the
	Q.	So this could be rights of way, it could be wood	18		owner? I just am very confused how the right of
19		stock, things of that nature?	19		first refusal works, since it referenced Laidlaw and
20 /	A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Agreements they might have with	20		they don't actually own the facility. They
21		other parties that are necessary for the operation of	21		apparently lease it. So what authority does the
22		the plant. Any and all of the above.	22		seller have to cause other parties to comply with the
23 (	Q.	So, under this section, it says the seller it	23		right of first refusal for PSNH?
24		references the seller. And the seller is defined as	24	A.	(Mr. Long) Maybe that's best to be taken up in a
		Page 122			Page 124
1		Laidlaw. So, does that mean that the article doesn't	1		record request so I don't have to read through whole
2		apply to PJPD? Because I think that you testified	2		contract while I'm on the witness stand.
3		that they actually own the facility.	3		MS. AMIDON: All right. I'll accept
	A.	(Mr. Long) As I recall, the reference to the purchase	4		that, Mr. Chairman.
5		option agreement refers to those who actually hold	5		MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bersak, is that
6		the asset. The obligation of the seller is to cause	6		something that's
7		those parties to be bound by this agreement. So	7		MR. BERSAK: That one we will do in
	Q.	Where does it say that?	8		writing. So
	Q. A.	(By Mr. Long) Well, I'll have to read through it,	9		MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. We'll reserve an
10	. 1.	but well, one of the places that shows it is on	10		exhibit number
11		Page 7, 2.4. If ownership or operating control of	11		CLERK: Eleven.
12		facility is transferred to the third party, then	12		(PSNH Exhibit 11 reserved.)
13		seller shall include or cause to be included as part	13	Q.	Going back to Article 8.1, I realize there was a
		of the transfer and sale agreement with the third		v	question I wanted to ask, which I didn't.
14		6	14		•
15		party the obligation that the new owner and/or the	15		One of the additional products or value
16		new operator shall assume all the rights and	16		enhancing the value of the products would include
17	$\sim$	obligations of seller set forth in this agreement.	17		renewable energy products. Would PSNH have to pay
	Q.	Right.	18		any additional money to Laidlaw in the event there is
19 /	А.	(Mr. Long) So that's one of the places. I'm sure	19		a federal law created that gave a renewable energy
20	~	there's others if I read through the whole thing.	20		value to Laidlaw that you would receive under this
21 (	Q.	But the right of first refusal is different from the	21		contract? Would you have to pay any additional money
22		POA, where PJPD Holding is directly mentioned. But	22		to Laidlaw?
23		it's your position that Laidlaw would have the duty		A.	(Mr. Long) No.
				()	
24		to compel PJPD to submit any offers if it wanted to	24	Q.	Okay. Thanks.

		DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLA	<b>1</b> 11 <b>1</b>	JEAN	
		Page 125			Page 127
1		Now, under the Change of Law provision it	1	A.	(Mr. Long) Well, you're referring to Section 24.1.
2		states there's a phrase in there that said, "In	2		It really binds the seller and PSNH to not take
3		the event that there is a change in law resulting in	3		action in unilateral filings that would change this
4		elimination of or material adverse effect upon a	4		agreement. And that's
5		material right or obligation of the party, the	5	Q.	Well, I was actually looking at 24.2.
6		parties will negotiate in good faith in an attempt to	6	A.	(Mr. Long) And that kind of follows from 24.1. The
7		amend this agreement to incorporate such changes as	7		authority they have, it says limit to that authority
8		they mutually deem necessary to reflect the change of	8		applies when the parties have irrevocably waived
9		law." And it also says that they will do that with	9		their rights. I mean, can't say any more than what
10		the intent of preserving the economic bargain before	10		it says right there.
11		the change of law, to the extent possible.	11	Q.	Understanding that this may require a record request,
12	Q.	So, Mr. Long, would this type of an amendment to the	12		I'd like to ask for an explanation of what this
13		contract be something that you believe would require	13		means: Specifically answering the question whether
14		Commission review under, I think it was Article 26	14		the Commission approval of the PPA, and this section
15		that we previously discussed?	15		in particular, would preclude the Commission from
16		MR. BERSAK: I believe we'll give an	16		exercising any statutory authority to modify any
17		answer to that as part of what was the first record	17		orders related to this PPA.
18		request that we will respond to by testimony.	18		MS. AMIDON: Is that okay, Mr.
19		MS. AMIDON: Fair enough.	19		Chairman?
20	B	Y MS. AMIDON:	20		MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, Mr. Bersak, is
21	Q.	If the change in law covers let's say there's a	21		that something that's going to require
22		change in law with respect to the federal investment	22		MR. BERSAK: It would probably be best
23		tax credit, that that lapses, or the value declines,	23		done by a
24		resulting in the loss of federal tax credits. Does	24		MR. CHAIRMAN: In writing?
			-		
		Page 126			Page 128
1		Page 126 this provision require the parties to negotiate in an	1		Page 128 MR. BERSAK: written response.
1 2		-	1 2		-
		this provision require the parties to negotiate in an			MR. BERSAK: written response.
2 3	А.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the	2		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5	Q.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why?	2 3		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12.
2 3 4 5	Q.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No.	2 3 4		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that,
2 3 4 5	Q.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why?	2 3 4 5		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12.
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the	2 3 4 5 6		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA.	2 3 4 5 6 7		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states, "It is the intention of the parties that any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be 24.3.2. It appears on Page 27 it talks about
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states, "It is the intention of the parties that any authority of FERC" that's FERC "or the NHPUC to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be 24.3.2. It appears on Page 27 it talks about public interest standard of review to apply to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states, "It is the intention of the parties that any authority of FERC" that's FERC "or the NHPUC to change this agreement shall be strictly limited to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be 24.3.2. It appears on Page 27 it talks about public interest standard of review to apply to proposed changes. And it indicates that the parties
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states, "It is the intention of the parties that any authority of FERC" that's FERC "or the NHPUC to change this agreement shall be strictly limited to that authority which applies when the parties have	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be 24.3.2. It appears on Page 27 it talks about public interest standard of review to apply to proposed changes. And it indicates that the parties have waived any rights to an application of any other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states, "It is the intention of the parties that any authority of FERC" that's FERC "or the NHPUC to change this agreement shall be strictly limited to that authority which applies when the parties have irrevocably waived their right to seek to have FERC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be 24.3.2. It appears on Page 27 it talks about public interest standard of review to apply to proposed changes. And it indicates that the parties have waived any rights to an application of any other standard of review, including the just and reasonable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states, "It is the intention of the parties that any authority of FERC" that's FERC "or the NHPUC to change this agreement shall be strictly limited to that authority which applies when the parties have irrevocably waived their right to seek to have FERC or the New Hampshire PUC change any term of this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be 24.3.2. It appears on Page 27 it talks about public interest standard of review to apply to proposed changes. And it indicates that the parties have waived any rights to an application of any other standard of review, including the just and reasonable standard.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states, "It is the intention of the parties that any authority of FERC" that's FERC "or the NHPUC to change this agreement shall be strictly limited to that authority which applies when the parties have irrevocably waived their right to seek to have FERC or the New Hampshire PUC change any term of this agreement."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be 24.3.2. It appears on Page 27 it talks about public interest standard of review to apply to proposed changes. And it indicates that the parties have waived any rights to an application of any other standard of review, including the just and reasonable standard. I believe it's important for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states, "It is the intention of the parties that any authority of FERC" that's FERC "or the NHPUC to change this agreement shall be strictly limited to that authority which applies when the parties have irrevocably waived their right to seek to have FERC or the New Hampshire PUC change any term of this agreement." Does the approval of the Commission of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be 24.3.2. It appears on Page 27 it talks about public interest standard of review to apply to proposed changes. And it indicates that the parties have waived any rights to an application of any other standard of review, including the just and reasonable standard. I believe it's important for the Commission and for the Staff to understand what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states, "It is the intention of the parties that any authority of FERC" that's FERC "or the NHPUC to change this agreement shall be strictly limited to that authority which applies when the parties have irrevocably waived their right to seek to have FERC or the New Hampshire PUC change any term of this agreement." Does the approval of the Commission of the PPA mean that the Commission is henceforth	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be 24.3.2. It appears on Page 27 it talks about public interest standard of review to apply to proposed changes. And it indicates that the parties have waived any rights to an application of any other standard of review, including the just and reasonable standard. I believe it's important for the Commission and for the Staff to understand what that why that section is in there and what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states, "It is the intention of the parties that any authority of FERC" that's FERC "or the NHPUC to change this agreement shall be strictly limited to that authority which applies when the parties have irrevocably waived their right to seek to have FERC or the New Hampshire PUC change any term of this agreement." Does the approval of the Commission of the PPA mean that the Commission is henceforth prevented from exercising its statutory authority or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be 24.3.2. It appears on Page 27 it talks about public interest standard of review to apply to proposed changes. And it indicates that the parties have waived any rights to an application of any other standard of review, including the just and reasonable standard. I believe it's important for the Commission and for the Staff to understand what that why that section is in there and what the implication is for future authority of the Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states, "It is the intention of the parties that any authority of FERC" that's FERC "or the NHPUC to change this agreement shall be strictly limited to that authority which applies when the parties have irrevocably waived their right to seek to have FERC or the New Hampshire PUC change any term of this agreement." Does the approval of the Commission of the PPA mean that the Commission is henceforth prevented from exercising its statutory authority or any other provision to modify its orders? And what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be 24.3.2. It appears on Page 27 it talks about public interest standard of review to apply to proposed changes. And it indicates that the parties have waived any rights to an application of any other standard of review, including the just and reasonable standard. I believe it's important for the Commission and for the Staff to understand what that why that section is in there and what the implication is for future authority of the Commission to amend any order pertaining to its review and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	this provision require the parties to negotiate in an attempt to amend the agreement to maintain the current economic bargain? (Mr. Labrecque) No. Why? (Mr. Labrecque) Well, the bargain, the upfront bargain does not involve tax credits. So the specific example you related to would not impact the PPA. Thank you. I have a feeling that my next question will also require a record request. And that I'm referring to Article 24. And Article 24.2 states, "It is the intention of the parties that any authority of FERC" that's FERC "or the NHPUC to change this agreement shall be strictly limited to that authority which applies when the parties have irrevocably waived their right to seek to have FERC or the New Hampshire PUC change any term of this agreement." Does the approval of the Commission of the PPA mean that the Commission is henceforth prevented from exercising its statutory authority or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		MR. BERSAK: written response. MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. MR. BERSAK: We shall do so. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let's make that, then, I guess, PSNH Exhibit 12. (PSNH Exhibit 12 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: There's another related type of issue, and that is contained in 24.1.2. And Mr. Chairman, I'm just going to say that's probably something that cannot be answered by the witness either. But I think it's an important question. If you look at Article 24.1.2 I think that should be 24.3.2. It appears on Page 27 it talks about public interest standard of review to apply to proposed changes. And it indicates that the parties have waived any rights to an application of any other standard of review, including the just and reasonable standard. I believe it's important for the Commission and for the Staff to understand what that why that section is in there and what the implication is for future authority of the Commission

		DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDL	AVV	DL	
		Page 129			Page 131
1		MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bersak, is that	1		generation rate base, we would have to ask the
2		something you can include in Exhibit 12?	2		Commission.
3		MR. BERSAK: Sure. We can do that.	3	Q.	Thank you. Please clarify whether your request for
4		MS. AMIDON: Thank you.	4	-	relief in this proceeding includes approval for PSNH
5		Just one moment. I'm trying to avoid	5		to exercise the right of first refusal and to
6		asking duplicate questions from the other parties.	6		purchase the assets without prior review by the
7		So I'm trying to I want to make sure that I have a	7		Commission of the reasonableness of the purchase
8		chance to just sit back for a second. Thank you.	8		decision and the related purchase price.
9		(Pause in proceedings)	9	A.	(Mr. Long) Our assumption in this contract is that
10	В	Y MS. AMIDON:	10		we're a regulated utility. So, you know, to the
11	Q.	Okay. Going back to the right of first refusal.	11		extent we purchase a plant and want to put it in rate
12		Assuming that the seller agrees to sell the facility	12		base, you know, I believe, unless my lawyers tell me
13		to a third party, and PSNH properly exercises its	13		otherwise, that we would need Commission approval for
14		right of first refusal to purchase the facility under	14		the rate base.
15		the terms and conditions, including the price agreed	15	Q.	So if it's not clear on the face of it, that's a
16		upon by that party, on completion of the purchase,	16		condition that the Commission could consider?
17		what happens to the PPA? In other words, does it	17	A.	(Mr. Long) I don't think it's a condition that's
18		terminate?	18		necessary. I think it is part of the normal
19	А.	(Mr. Long) Yes. Essentially, yes.	19		regulation of a regulated utility.
20	Q.	Thank you. And what will PSNH do with the investment	20	Q.	Thank you. One moment.
21		in the facility? Would it be added to generation	21		(Pause in proceedings)
22		rate base?	22	В	BY MS. AMIDON:
23	A.		23	Q.	Regarding the right of first refusal, I believe PSNH
24		time. I mean, this section that you're referring to	24		has a right to assign those rights to an affiliate?
		Page 130			Page 132
1		Page 130 is simply an option that we put in the contract	1	A.	Page 132 (Mr. Long) Yes.
1			1		-
		is simply an option that we put in the contract			(Mr. Long) Yes.
2		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and	2		(Mr. Long) Yes. And assuming the Commission has authority to review
2 3		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along	2 3		(Mr. Long) Yes. And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding,
2 3 4		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an	2 3 4		(Mr. Long) Yes. And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH
2 3 4 5		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's	2 3 4 5		(Mr. Long) Yes. And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the
2 3 4 5 6		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do,	2 3 4 5 6		(Mr. Long) Yes. And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other
2 3 4 5 6 7		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		(Mr. Long) Yes. And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA? (Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company	2 3 6 7 8 9	Q.	(Mr. Long) Yes. And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA? (Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A.	(Mr. Long) Yes. And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA? (Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	(Mr. Long) Yes. And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA? (Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term? Well, we're talking about assigning the right of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that point?	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	(Mr. Long) Yes. And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA? (Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term? Well, we're talking about assigning the right of first refusal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A.	is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that point? (Mr. Long) I think it's unlikely we would buy a plant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term?</li> <li>Well, we're talking about assigning the right of first refusal.</li> <li>Oh, before end of term?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that point? (Mr. Long) I think it's unlikely we would buy a plant mid-term, unless there was some advantage like that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term?</li> <li>Well, we're talking about assigning the right of first refusal.</li> <li>Oh, before end of term? Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that point? (Mr. Long) I think it's unlikely we would buy a plant mid-term, unless there was some advantage like that. Otherwise, it would the options are fairly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term?</li> <li>Well, we're talking about assigning the right of first refusal.</li> <li>Oh, before end of term?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>That might need a record request also. But the only</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that point? (Mr. Long) I think it's unlikely we would buy a plant mid-term, unless there was some advantage like that. Otherwise, it would the options are fairly limited. I think it would probably be better to,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term?</li> <li>Well, we're talking about assigning the right of first refusal.</li> <li>Oh, before end of term?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>That might need a record request also. But the only time we would exercise that is if we thought there</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that point? (Mr. Long) I think it's unlikely we would buy a plant mid-term, unless there was some advantage like that. Otherwise, it would the options are fairly limited. I think it would probably be better to, depending on the circumstances, what the cumulative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term?</li> <li>Well, we're talking about assigning the right of first refusal.</li> <li>Oh, before end of term?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>That might need a record request also. But the only time we would exercise that is if we thought there was value to the consumers, which means some sort of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that point? (Mr. Long) I think it's unlikely we would buy a plant mid-term, unless there was some advantage like that. Otherwise, it would the options are fairly limited. I think it would probably be better to, depending on the circumstances, what the cumulative reduction factor is, just continue to abide by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term?</li> <li>Well, we're talking about assigning the right of first refusal.</li> <li>Oh, before end of term?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>That might need a record request also. But the only time we would exercise that is if we thought there was value to the consumers, which means some sort of change in rates, which I assume would involve some</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that point? (Mr. Long) I think it's unlikely we would buy a plant mid-term, unless there was some advantage like that. Otherwise, it would the options are fairly limited. I think it would probably be better to, depending on the circumstances, what the cumulative reduction factor is, just continue to abide by the contract and those terms.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term?</li> <li>Well, we're talking about assigning the right of first refusal.</li> <li>Oh, before end of term?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>That might need a record request also. But the only time we would exercise that is if we thought there was value to the consumers, which means some sort of change in rates, which I assume would involve some sort of a request by PSNH to the Commission.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that point? (Mr. Long) I think it's unlikely we would buy a plant mid-term, unless there was some advantage like that. Otherwise, it would the options are fairly limited. I think it would probably be better to, depending on the circumstances, what the cumulative reduction factor is, just continue to abide by the contract and those terms. If you exercised the right of first refusal, would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term?</li> <li>Well, we're talking about assigning the right of first refusal.</li> <li>Oh, before end of term?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>That might need a record request also. But the only time we would exercise that is if we thought there was value to the consumers, which means some sort of change in rates, which I assume would involve some sort of a request by PSNH to the Commission. Thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A.	is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that point? (Mr. Long) I think it's unlikely we would buy a plant mid-term, unless there was some advantage like that. Otherwise, it would the options are fairly limited. I think it would probably be better to, depending on the circumstances, what the cumulative reduction factor is, just continue to abide by the contract and those terms. If you exercised the right of first refusal, would the Company seek the Commission's approval to add the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term?</li> <li>Well, we're talking about assigning the right of first refusal.</li> <li>Oh, before end of term?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>That might need a record request also. But the only time we would exercise that is if we thought there was value to the consumers, which means some sort of change in rates, which I assume would involve some sort of a request by PSNH to the Commission. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Amidon, is that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that point? (Mr. Long) I think it's unlikely we would buy a plant mid-term, unless there was some advantage like that. Otherwise, it would the options are fairly limited. I think it would probably be better to, depending on the circumstances, what the cumulative reduction factor is, just continue to abide by the contract and those terms. If you exercised the right of first refusal, would the Company seek the Commission's approval to add the investment to generation rate base?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term?</li> <li>Well, we're talking about assigning the right of first refusal.</li> <li>Oh, before end of term?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>That might need a record request also. But the only time we would exercise that is if we thought there was value to the consumers, which means some sort of change in rates, which I assume would involve some sort of a request by PSNH to the Commission.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Amidon, is that something you need more on or are looking for more</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A.	is simply an option that we put in the contract because we didn't know what the future would be and whether it would be an opportunity somewhere along the line to get more value for customers. It's an option. It doesn't have to be exercised. So it's just something that we could or couldn't do, depending on the circumstances at the time. We can choose to do it or not to do it, depending on the circumstances at the time. I just mentioned the possibility that the Company could add the investment to generation rate base. What alternatives would the Company have at that point? (Mr. Long) I think it's unlikely we would buy a plant mid-term, unless there was some advantage like that. Otherwise, it would the options are fairly limited. I think it would probably be better to, depending on the circumstances, what the cumulative reduction factor is, just continue to abide by the contract and those terms. If you exercised the right of first refusal, would the Company seek the Commission's approval to add the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>(Mr. Long) Yes.</li> <li>And assuming the Commission has authority to review and approve such assignment in a future proceeding, how does that comport with Article 16.2.4, where PSNH represents and warrants that, except for the Commission's final decision, there are no other approvals necessary to complete all the transactions contemplated by the PPA?</li> <li>(Mr. Long) Let me make sure we're talking about the same scenario. We're talking about end of contract term?</li> <li>Well, we're talking about assigning the right of first refusal.</li> <li>Oh, before end of term?</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>That might need a record request also. But the only time we would exercise that is if we thought there was value to the consumers, which means some sort of change in rates, which I assume would involve some sort of a request by PSNH to the Commission. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Ms. Amidon, is that</li> </ul>

	DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLA	AW B	ERLIN BIOPOWER
	Page 133		Page 135
1	MS. AMIDON: If you could give me a	1 (	Q. And another question, which again I'm not sure,
2	moment?	2	Mr. Long, if you can answer it. But is PSNH's right
3	(Staff counsel conferring.)	3	of first refusal triggered as a result of a sale of
4	MS. AMIDON: Yes, I think that that	4	NewCo stock, as opposed to the sale of the facility
5	would having a response to that would make this	5	itself in other words, the change in ownership?
6	more complete.	-	A. (Mr. Long) It would be I think it might be a
7	MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bersak, do you	7	change of ownership.
8	understand what's being asked of you?		Q. Meaning that the change of ownership would trigger a
9	MR. BERSAK: I'm not sure I have that	9	right of first refusal, a proposed change in
10	one fully. So what are you looking for?	10	ownership?
11	MS. AMIDON: Well, the question is if	11 A	*
12	PSNH should elect to assign its right of first	12	talks about a sale. Pursuant to a bona fide offer to
13	refusal, and assuming that it has gotten the	13	purchase to or from a third party. So it's a change
14	Commission's authority to do that, how does that	14	of ownership caused by a purchase to or from a third
15	assignment reflect with the Article 4.3.1? Oh, I'm	15	party.
16	sorry. It can't be 4.3.1. It has to be 4.1		Q. Thank you.
17	MR. BERSAK: As I understand the	17	MS. AMIDON: And I'm getting close to
18	question, 'cause maybe our is the question	18	the end, Mr. Chairman, just so you know.
19	could the question be restated: Is it PSNH's		BY MS. AMIDON:
20	position that it needs or does not need approval from		Q. On Page 26 of the rebuttal testimony, you referred to
21	this Commission	21	Mr. McCluskey's claim that the Laidlaw project is
22	MS. AMIDON: I think that's fair to	22	less risky than other merchant plants. And you list,
23	say, yeah.	23	beginning at Line 17, a number of risks that are
24	MR. BERSAK: to transfer its rights	24	identified with bullet items. But don't you agree
	-		
	Page 134		Page 136
1	-	1	-
1	under the right of first refusal?	1	Page 136 that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?
	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes,		that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?
2	under the right of first refusal?	2	that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?
2 3	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you.	2 3 A	<ul><li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li><li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to,</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it.	2 3 A 4	<ul><li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li><li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay.	2 3 A 4 5	<ul><li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li><li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying	2 3 A 4 5 6	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract?	2 3 A 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response.	2 3 A 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.)	2 3 A 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with that, I need to ask, is it in its request for	2 3 A 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't think I was going to get on today, so I'm not quite</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with that, I need to ask, is it in its request for relief, is the Company asking for the Commission to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself. MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't think I was going to get on today, so I'm not quite ready. I'd ask for some additional time to do that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with that, I need to ask, is it in its request for relief, is the Company asking for the Commission to approve its authority to exercise the right of first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't think I was going to get on today, so I'm not quite ready. I'd ask for some additional time to do that. I have a few clearer points questions from Ms.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with that, I need to ask, is it in its request for relief, is the Company asking for the Commission to approve its authority to exercise the right of first refusal without any further action by the Commission	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't think I was going to get on today, so I'm not quite ready. I'd ask for some additional time to do that. I have a few clearer points questions from Ms. Amidon's cross that I could do.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with that, I need to ask, is it in its request for relief, is the Company asking for the Commission to approve its authority to exercise the right of first refusal without any further action by the Commission at a later point in time as to the reasonableness of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't think I was going to get on today, so I'm not quite ready. I'd ask for some additional time to do that. I have a few clearer points questions from Ms. Amidon's cross that I could do. (Chairman and Commissioners conferring.)</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with that, I need to ask, is it in its request for relief, is the Company asking for the Commission to approve its authority to exercise the right of first refusal without any further action by the Commission at a later point in time as to the reasonableness of the price or any other related transfer of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't think I was going to get on today, so I'm not quite ready. I'd ask for some additional time to do that. I have a few clearer points questions from Ms. Amidon's cross that I could do. (Chairman and Commissioners conferring.) MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, I think, given</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with that, I need to ask, is it in its request for relief, is the Company asking for the Commission to approve its authority to exercise the right of first refusal without any further action by the Commission at a later point in time as to the reasonableness of the price or any other related transfer of conditions? And that's probably the same question,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't think I was going to get on today, so I'm not quite ready. I'd ask for some additional time to do that. I have a few clearer points questions from Ms. Amidon's cross that I could do. (Chairman and Commissioners conferring.) MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, I think, given where we are in the day, and given how long our court</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with that, I need to ask, is it in its request for relief, is the Company asking for the Commission to approve its authority to exercise the right of first refusal without any further action by the Commission at a later point in time as to the reasonableness of the price or any other related transfer of conditions? And that's probably the same question, Mr. Bersak.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't think I was going to get on today, so I'm not quite ready. I'd ask for some additional time to do that. I have a few clearer points questions from Ms. Amidon's cross that I could do. (Chairman and Commissioners conferring.) MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, I think, given where we are in the day, and given how long our court reporter has been going without a break, I would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with that, I need to ask, is it in its request for relief, is the Company asking for the Commission to approve its authority to exercise the right of first refusal without any further action by the Commission at a later point in time as to the reasonableness of the price or any other related transfer of conditions? And that's probably the same question, Mr. BERSAK: We'll take care of it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't think I was going to get on today, so I'm not quite ready. I'd ask for some additional time to do that. I have a few clearer points questions from Ms. Amidon's cross that I could do.</li> <li>(Chairman and Commissioners conferring.) MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, I think, given where we are in the day, and given how long our court reporter has been going without a break, I would suggest we break for the day. And I am reading that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with that, I need to ask, is it in its request for relief, is the Company asking for the Commission to approve its authority to exercise the right of first refusal without any further action by the Commission at a later point in time as to the reasonableness of the price or any other related transfer of conditions? And that's probably the same question, Mr. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't think I was going to get on today, so I'm not quite ready. I'd ask for some additional time to do that. I have a few clearer points questions from Ms. Amidon's cross that I could do. (Chairman and Commissioners conferring.) MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, I think, given where we are in the day, and given how long our court reporter has been going without a break, I would suggest we break for the day. And I am reading that correctly? It's 4:40? I'd say we recess for the day</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with that, I need to ask, is it in its request for relief, is the Company asking for the Commission to approve its authority to exercise the right of first refusal without any further action by the Commission at a later point in time as to the reasonableness of the price or any other related transfer of conditions? And that's probably the same question, Mr. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. Thank you. MS. AMIDON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't think I was going to get on today, so I'm not quite ready. I'd ask for some additional time to do that. I have a few clearer points questions from Ms. Amidon's cross that I could do.</li> <li>(Chairman and Commissioners conferring.) MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, I think, given where we are in the day, and given how long our court reporter has been going without a break, I would suggest we break for the day. And I am reading that correctly? It's 4:40? I'd say we recess for the day and pick up tomorrow morning at 9 a.m.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	under the right of first refusal? MS. AMIDON: I think that's yes, that's a much more elegant way to say it. Thank you. MR. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. MS. AMIDON: Okay. A. (Mr. Long) But for clarification, are you saying prior to the end of term of the contract? MS. AMIDON: Yes. MR. CHAIRMAN: And we'll reserve PSNH 13 for that response. (PSNH Exhibit 13 reserved.) MS. AMIDON: And in connection with that, I need to ask, is it in its request for relief, is the Company asking for the Commission to approve its authority to exercise the right of first refusal without any further action by the Commission at a later point in time as to the reasonableness of the price or any other related transfer of conditions? And that's probably the same question, Mr. BERSAK: We'll take care of it. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that the Company, PSNH, is assuming some risk as well?</li> <li>A. (Mr. Long) Not sure what risks you're referring to, because the Company gets no gain from this. MS. AMIDON: I will direct Mr.</li> <li>McCluskey to ask additional questions relative to this particular issue. I have nothing further myself.</li> <li>MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, let me just ask a question, in terms of how much additional. MR. McCLUSKEY: Mr. Chairman, I've got quite extensive cross. And I have to say I didn't think I was going to get on today, so I'm not quite ready. I'd ask for some additional time to do that. I have a few clearer points questions from Ms. Amidon's cross that I could do. (Chairman and Commissioners conferring.) MR. CHAIRMAN: Well, I think, given where we are in the day, and given how long our court reporter has been going without a break, I would suggest we break for the day. And I am reading that correctly? It's 4:40? I'd say we recess for the day</li> </ul>

	DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLA		
	Page 137		Page 139
1	recess for the day, are there any issues that we need	1	provided, we will have that tomorrow morning.
2	to address? Mr. Shulock.	2	(Chairman and Commissioners conferring.)
3	MR. SHULOCK: I have not resolved all	3	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Then I guess on
4	of my concerns with regard to the confidential	4	these issues, we'll
5	treatment of the City of Berlin's materials. I still	5	MR. BOLDT: We'll continue to work on
6	have clients to talk to about that. I'm not able to	6	it, Mr. Chairman.
7	get the information they requested today, which	7	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Anything else
8	was	8	before we recess until tomorrow morning?
9	MR. CHAIRMAN: I think you're going to	9	MR. BERSAK: Yes. Mr. Chairman, as
10	need to speak up. I can barely hear you.	10	promised before lunch, during lunchtime the Company
11	MR. SHULOCK: I was not able to get	11	came up with a revision to what's been identified
12	the information which they requested today, which was	12	previously as PSNH Exhibit 9, which were changes to
13	the name of the exact person who will be reviewing	13	the PPA offered by Laidlaw. And I will distribute
14	the information. It will take me time to obtain that	14	those to the parties so they have the opportunity to
15	information.	15	take a look at it. It's what we discussed earlier
16	And secondly, they indicated that they	16	today and the revisions and clarifications based upon
17	want this to take place by mail and not using, you	17	the discussion between the parties. I hope that
18	know I at least get to use overnight mail. But I	18	sometime tomorrow our panel of witnesses will be able
19	can't use modern technology in order to coordinate	19	to discuss this, and then we'll be available to
20	this effort, which may make things difficult.	20	respond to questions that any of the parties have or
21	And then an additional thing that I	21	that the Commission has regarding these possible
22	noticed, based upon the draft protective agreement	22	changes.
23	that they've signed or have asked everyone to	23	MR. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Then we will
24	sign. They are giving Staff, OCA and myself copies	24	recess for the day and see you in the morning. Thank
	Page 138		Page 140
1	-	1	
1	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the	1	you, everyone.
2	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into	2	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon	2 3	you, everyone.
2 3 4	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx	2 3 4	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing	2 3 4 5	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be	2 3 4 5 6	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But	2 3 4 5 6 7	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this? MR. BOLDT: The only thing I could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this? MR. BOLDT: The only thing I could say is on the concern on electronic transfer, Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this? MR. BOLDT: The only thing I could say is on the concern on electronic transfer, Mr. Chairman, we're very concerned about the readily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this? MR. BOLDT: The only thing I could say is on the concern on electronic transfer, Mr. Chairman, we're very concerned about the readily available misdirection, lack of control that e-mail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this? MR. BOLDT: The only thing I could say is on the concern on electronic transfer, Mr. Chairman, we're very concerned about the readily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this? MR. BOLDT: The only thing I could say is on the concern on electronic transfer, Mr. Chairman, we're very concerned about the readily available misdirection, lack of control that e-mail has. We can control a paper copy. They can FedEx it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this? MR. BOLDT: The only thing I could say is on the concern on electronic transfer, Mr. Chairman, we're very concerned about the readily available misdirection, lack of control that e-mail has. We can control a paper copy. They can FedEx it out. I made the copies available to both Staff and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this? MR. BOLDT: The only thing I could say is on the concern on electronic transfer, Mr. Chairman, we're very concerned about the readily available misdirection, lack of control that e-mail has. We can control a paper copy. They can FedEx it out. I made the copies available to both Staff and OCA at the lunch break. I had the same available if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this? MR. BOLDT: The only thing I could say is on the concern on electronic transfer, Mr. Chairman, we're very concerned about the readily available misdirection, lack of control that e-mail has. We can control a paper copy. They can FedEx it out. I made the copies available to both Staff and OCA at the lunch break. I had the same available if Mr. Shulock could have gotten back to us. We're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this? MR. BOLDT: The only thing I could say is on the concern on electronic transfer, Mr. Chairman, we're very concerned about the readily available misdirection, lack of control that e-mail has. We can control a paper copy. They can FedEx it out. I made the copies available to both Staff and OCA at the lunch break. I had the same available if Mr. Shulock could have gotten back to us. We're just this is the first time he's mentioned that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this? MR. BOLDT: The only thing I could say is on the concern on electronic transfer, Mr. Chairman, we're very concerned about the readily available misdirection, lack of control that e-mail has. We can control a paper copy. They can FedEx it out. I made the copies available to both Staff and OCA at the lunch break. I had the same available if Mr. Shulock could have gotten back to us. We're just this is the first time he's mentioned that the packet doesn't include what he was expecting it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of Ventyx reports from 2010. And it appears from the data response which they're trying to get into evidence, and upon which and which relies upon this evidence, that they also relied upon Ventyx information from 2009, but they are not providing that. I don't know what's in the packet. It may be in the packet of what they provided to you. But haven't been allowed to see that, and I didn't have time to ask Mr. Boldt before now. MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Boldt. Anything on this? MR. BOLDT: The only thing I could say is on the concern on electronic transfer, Mr. Chairman, we're very concerned about the readily available misdirection, lack of control that e-mail has. We can control a paper copy. They can FedEx it out. I made the copies available to both Staff and OCA at the lunch break. I had the same available if Mr. Shulock could have gotten back to us. We're just this is the first time he's mentioned that the packet doesn't include what he was expecting it to. So I'll have to ask Mr. Sansoucy what's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you, everyone. (WHEREUPON, Day 2 was adjourned at

	DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLA
	Page 141
1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed
3	Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of
4	the State of New Hampshire, do hereby
5	certify that the foregoing is a true and
6	accurate transcript of my stenographic notes
7	of these proceedings taken at the place and
8	on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the
9	best of my skill and ability under the
10	conditions present at the time.
11	I further certify that I am neither
12	attorney or counsel for, nor related to or
13	employed by any of the parties to the action;
14	and further, that I am not a relative or
15	employee of any attorney or counsel employed
16	in this case, nor am I financially interested
17	in this action.
18	
19	
20	Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter
21	Registered Professional Reporter N.H. LCR No. 44 (RSA 310-A:173)
22	
23	
24	
	Page 142
1	Page 142
1 2	CERTIFICATE
2	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed
2 3	C E R T I F I C A T E I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of
2 3 4	C E R T I F I C A T E I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby
2 3 4 5	C E R T I F I C A T E I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and
2 3 4 5 6	C E R T I F I C A T E I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes
2 3 4 5 6 7	C E R T I F I C A T E I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	C E R T I F I C A T E I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C E R T I F I C A T E I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	C E R T I F I C A T E I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	C E R T I F I C A T E I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	C E R T I F I C A T E I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	C E R T I F I C A T E I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. <u>Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR</u>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time. I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action. Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter

	<i>DE</i> 10 122 16			1
	38:18;42:15;43:12;	61:13	61:2	34:6,20,22;35:3;
¢	134:10,11	23 (2)	3P (1)	36:23;38:8;49:1;57:2;
\$	1-3 (3)	44:17;123:10	61:2	
			01:2	59:6;68:15;101:8;
<b>\$27</b> (7)	16:20;28:9;47:10	24 (2)		122:11
59:10,12;60:9,12,13,	14 (2)	49:20;126:12	4	7.1.1 (1)
19,23	34:24;38:18	24.1 (2)		135:11
\$30.70 (1)	15 (2)	127:1,6	4 (11)	70-megawatt (1)
60:6	34:24;38:18	24.1.2 (2)	34:12,24;37:7;39:13,	88:24
	16 (4)	128:8,12	15;40:2,11;61:17;99:13;	71 (1)
\$47 (1)	44:16,21;46:23,23	24.2 (2)	106:23,23	70:13
44:4	<b>16.2.4</b> (1)	126:12;127:5	4.1 (1)	70.15
				8
[	132:4	24.3.2 (1)	133:16	o
	17 (3)	128:13	4.1.2 (1)	
[sic] (2)	34:6,21;135:23	25 (3)	107:3	8 (7)
92:12,14	18 (1)	42:16;57:22,23	4.1.3 (2)	16:21;34:20,22;35:3;
2.12,11	101:11	25.3 (2)	108:8;114:12	40:1;59:9;116:23
0	1st (2)	113:3,7	4.3.1 (2)	8.1 (11)
0	9:13;22:21	26 (2)	133:15,16	111:4,7;113:16,17,20;
	7.13,22.21	125:14;135:20	4:40 (1)	114:24;115:9,24;119:8,
08-077 (1)	2			
58:24	<u> </u>	26.7 (3)	136:22	11;124:13
09-067 (1)		94:18;95:10;112:4	4:44 (1)	8:30 (1)
65:5	2 (18)	27 (5)	140:3	4:13
	13:8;34:7,12;39:6;	34:21;43:12;54:23;	406,000 (1)	81 (1)
1	43:22;44:21;52:10,10;	57:23;128:13	47:19	83:17
-	59:20,23,23;87:13;	28 (2)	406,464 (1)	82 (1)
1 (4)	92:10;94:17;100:15;	55:11;113:7	48:9	70:13
1(4)	116:23;120:24;140:2	292 (1)	48 (2)	, 0.12
25:11;46:13;47:9;	<b>2.4</b> (1)	101:11	34:6,6	9
61:11		101.11		3
1.1 (1)	122:11	2	484,000 (1)	0.40
113:16	2:00 (1)	3	48:7	9 (16)
1.6 (1)	37:16		_	28:24;29:7;32:12,21,
63:22	2:10 (1)	3 (4)	5	24;33:7,11;34:24;38:13;
1.60 (1)	37:19	34:24;47:11;61:1,17		39:3,5,14,19;69:19;
100:15	20 (11)	3.2 (2)	5 (6)	136:23;139:12
	4:13;15:7;34:8,9;	104:13;106:16	34:4;47:10,14;48:15;	
1.8 (2)	96:10,18,24;97:2,15,19;	3.3 (2)	51:17;60:11	Α
63:11,17				A
1.9 (1)	112:13	101:8,9	5.1 (1)	
63:22	2004 (1)	30 (2)	116:22	abeyance (2)
10 (2)	68:18	66:15;94:17	5:00 (1)	13:19;35:8
16:21;63:7	2006 (1)	31 (1)	14:23	abide (1)
100 (1)	40:3	63:8	5C (2)	130:19
117:2	2007 (1)	33 (4)	51:19;52:6	abilities (1)
	83:14	63:14;87:13;88:20;	5P (1)	7:14
10-195 (1)	2008 (1)	92:10	51:19	ability (5)
4:3	46:10		51.19	
10-257 (1)		34 (3)		22:2;85:2,3;100:19,22
10 <b>10</b> (1)			(	
61:6	2009 (1)	63:14;99:7,13	6	able (13)
61:6	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2</b> )		6:12;22:24;24:18;
61:6 11 (6)	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b>	63:14;99:7,13	6 6 (13)	
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21;	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b>	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1	6 (13)	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23;
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b>	<b>6 (13)</b> 34:20,22;35:3;38:13,	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9;
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b>	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b>	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7	<b>6 (13)</b> 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11;	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11;	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b>	<b>6 (13)</b> 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 above (6)
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11; 16:18	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6 <b>2015 (3)</b>	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b> 112:23	<b>6 (13)</b> 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14 <b>61-megawatts (2)</b>	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 <b>above (6)</b> 10:10;88:22,23;119:6,
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11; 16:18 <b>12 (11)</b>	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6 <b>2015 (3)</b> 46:10,13;47:16	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b> 112:23 <b>362-F (2)</b>	<b>6 (13)</b> 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14 <b>61-megawatts (2)</b> 117:7;118:9	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 <b>above (6)</b> 10:10;88:22,23;119:6, 24;121:22
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11;	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6 <b>2015 (3)</b> 46:10,13;47:16 <b>2025 (7)</b>	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b> 112:23 <b>362-F (2)</b> 45:17;52:21	<b>6 (13)</b> 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14 <b>61-megawatts (2)</b> 117:7;118:9 <b>64 (1)</b>	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 <b>above (6)</b> 10:10;88:22,23;119:6, 24;121:22 <b>above-referenced (1)</b>
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11; 16:18 <b>12 (11)</b> 13:18;34:5,6,23;35:1,	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6 <b>2015 (3)</b> 46:10,13;47:16 <b>2025 (7)</b> 45:14,15;46:11,14,17,	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b> 112:23 <b>362-F (2)</b> 45:17;52:21 <b>362-F1 (1)</b>	<b>6 (13)</b> 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14 <b>61-megawatts (2)</b> 117:7;118:9 <b>64 (1)</b> 118:16	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 <b>above (6)</b> 10:10;88:22,23;119:6, 24;121:22 <b>above-referenced (1)</b> 10:8
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11; 16:18 <b>12 (11)</b> 13:18;34:5,6,23;35:1, 2,9;57:2;128:5,6;129:2	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6 <b>2015 (3)</b> 46:10,13;47:16 <b>2025 (7)</b>	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b> 112:23 <b>362-F (2)</b> 45:17;52:21	<b>6 (13)</b> 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14 <b>61-megawatts (2)</b> 117:7;118:9 <b>64 (1)</b>	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 <b>above (6)</b> 10:10;88:22,23;119:6, 24;121:22 <b>above-referenced (1)</b>
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11; 16:18 <b>12 (11)</b> 13:18;34:5,6,23;35:1, 2,9;57:2;128:5,6;129:2 <b>12:20 (1)</b>	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6 <b>2015 (3)</b> 46:10,13;47:16 <b>2025 (7)</b> 45:14,15;46:11,14,17, 19,19	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b> 112:23 <b>362-F (2)</b> 45:17;52:21 <b>362-F1 (1)</b> 53:16	<b>6 (13)</b> 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14 <b>61-megawatts (2)</b> 117:7;118:9 <b>64 (1)</b> 118:16 <b>64-megawatt (1)</b>	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 <b>above (6)</b> 10:10;88:22,23;119:6, 24;121:22 <b>above-referenced (1)</b> 10:8 <b>absent (2)</b>
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11; 16:18 <b>12 (11)</b> 13:18;34:5,6,23;35:1, 2,9;57:2;128:5,6;129:2 <b>12:20 (1)</b> 13:8	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6 <b>2015 (3)</b> 46:10,13;47:16 <b>2025 (7)</b> 45:14,15;46:11,14,17, 19,19 <b>203.08b (1)</b>	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b> 112:23 <b>362-F (2)</b> 45:17;52:21 <b>362-F1 (1)</b> 53:16 <b>362-F3 (1)</b>	<b>6 (13)</b> 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14 <b>61-megawatts (2)</b> 117:7;118:9 <b>64 (1)</b> 118:16 <b>64-megawatt (1)</b> 118:21	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 <b>above (6)</b> 10:10;88:22,23;119:6, 24;121:22 <b>above-referenced (1)</b> 10:8 <b>absent (2)</b> 51:1;120:7
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11; 16:18 <b>12 (11)</b> 13:18;34:5,6,23;35:1, 2,9;57:2;128:5,6;129:2 <b>12:20 (1)</b> 13:8 <b>12:55 (1)</b>	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6 <b>2015 (3)</b> 46:10,13;47:16 <b>2025 (7)</b> 45:14,15;46:11,14,17, 19,19 <b>203.08b (1)</b> 14:6	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b> 112:23 <b>362-F (2)</b> 45:17;52:21 <b>362-F1 (1)</b> 53:16 <b>362-F3 (1)</b> 45:20	6 (13) 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14 61-megawatts (2) 117:7;118:9 64 (1) 118:16 64-megawatt (1) 118:21 64-megawatts (3)	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 <b>above (6)</b> 10:10;88:22,23;119:6, 24;121:22 <b>above-referenced (1)</b> 10:8 <b>absent (2)</b> 51:1;120:7 <b>absolutely (1)</b>
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11; 16:18 <b>12 (11)</b> 13:18;34:5,6,23;35:1, 2,9;57:2;128:5,6;129:2 <b>12:20 (1)</b> 13:8 <b>12:55 (1)</b> 37:18	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6 <b>2015 (3)</b> 46:10,13;47:16 <b>2025 (7)</b> 45:14,15;46:11,14,17, 19,19 <b>203.08b (1)</b> 14:6 <b>21 (1)</b>	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b> 112:23 <b>362-F (2)</b> 45:17;52:21 <b>362-F1 (1)</b> 53:16 <b>362-F3 (1)</b> 45:20 <b>362-F9 (2)</b>	<b>6 (13)</b> 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14 <b>61-megawatts (2)</b> 117:7;118:9 <b>64 (1)</b> 118:16 <b>64-megawatt (1)</b> 118:21	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 <b>above (6)</b> 10:10;88:22,23;119:6, 24;121:22 <b>above-referenced (1)</b> 10:8 <b>absent (2)</b> 51:1;120:7 <b>absolutely (1)</b> 21:3
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11; 16:18 <b>12 (11)</b> 13:18;34:5,6,23;35:1, 2,9;57:2;128:5,6;129:2 <b>12:20 (1)</b> 13:8 <b>12:55 (1)</b> 37:18 <b>1-22 (1)</b>	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6 <b>2015 (3)</b> 46:10,13;47:16 <b>2025 (7)</b> 45:14,15;46:11,14,17, 19,19 <b>203.08b (1)</b> 14:6 <b>21 (1)</b> 87:1	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b> 112:23 <b>362-F (2)</b> 45:17;52:21 <b>362-F1 (1)</b> 53:16 <b>362-F3 (1)</b> 45:20 <b>362-F9 (2)</b> 53:12,23	6 (13) 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14 61-megawatts (2) 117:7;118:9 64 (1) 118:16 64-megawatt (1) 118:21 64-megawatts (3) 117:7;118:9,14	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 <b>above (6)</b> 10:10;88:22,23;119:6, 24;121:22 <b>above-referenced (1)</b> 10:8 <b>absent (2)</b> 51:1;120:7 <b>absolutely (1)</b> 21:3 <b>accept (2)</b>
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11; 16:18 <b>12 (11)</b> 13:18;34:5,6,23;35:1, 2,9;57:2;128:5,6;129:2 <b>12:20 (1)</b> 13:8 <b>12:55 (1)</b> 37:18	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6 <b>2015 (3)</b> 46:10,13;47:16 <b>2025 (7)</b> 45:14,15;46:11,14,17, 19,19 <b>203.08b (1)</b> 14:6 <b>21 (1)</b> 87:1 <b>21.1 (1)</b>	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b> 112:23 <b>362-F (2)</b> 45:17;52:21 <b>362-F1 (1)</b> 53:16 <b>362-F3 (1)</b> 45:20 <b>362-F9 (2)</b> 53:12,23 <b>38 (1)</b>	6 (13) 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14 61-megawatts (2) 117:7;118:9 64 (1) 118:16 64-megawatt (1) 118:21 64-megawatts (3)	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 <b>above (6)</b> 10:10;88:22,23;119:6, 24;121:22 <b>above-referenced (1)</b> 10:8 <b>absent (2)</b> 51:1;120:7 <b>absolutely (1)</b> 21:3 <b>accept (2)</b> 117:1;124:3
61:6 <b>11 (6)</b> 35:1,2;42:15;54:21; 120:24;124:12 <b>11th (5)</b> 5:4,19;13:10;14:11; 16:18 <b>12 (11)</b> 13:18;34:5,6,23;35:1, 2,9;57:2;128:5,6;129:2 <b>12:20 (1)</b> 13:8 <b>12:55 (1)</b> 37:18 <b>1-22 (1)</b>	<b>2009 (1)</b> 138:5 <b>2010 (3)</b> 60:4;61:13;138:1 <b>2011 (3)</b> 49:23;60:11;61:6 <b>2015 (3)</b> 46:10,13;47:16 <b>2025 (7)</b> 45:14,15;46:11,14,17, 19,19 <b>203.08b (1)</b> 14:6 <b>21 (1)</b> 87:1	63:14;99:7,13 <b>36 (2)</b> 43:22;44:1 <b>362 (1)</b> 53:7 <b>362:9I (1)</b> 112:23 <b>362-F (2)</b> 45:17;52:21 <b>362-F1 (1)</b> 53:16 <b>362-F3 (1)</b> 45:20 <b>362-F9 (2)</b> 53:12,23	6 (13) 34:20,22;35:3;38:13, 17,21,23;47:11,11; 61:11;83:10,11;100:14 61-megawatts (2) 117:7;118:9 64 (1) 118:16 64-megawatt (1) 118:21 64-megawatts (3) 117:7;118:9,14	6:12;22:24;24:18; 26:18;32:23;42:23; 108:6;115:18;117:9; 121:13;137:6,11;139:18 <b>above (6)</b> 10:10;88:22,23;119:6, 24;121:22 <b>above-referenced (1)</b> 10:8 <b>absent (2)</b> 51:1;120:7 <b>absolutely (1)</b> 21:3 <b>accept (2)</b>

accepting (1) 112:2 accommodated (1) 30:7 accommodation (3) 19:21:20:1:22:6 accommodations (1) 28:6 accomplish (1) 25:15 accounting (2) 84:23:110:13 accurately (1) 52:1 acknowledge (1) 7:17 acknowledges (1) 10:1acquire (1) 101:9 action (3) 35:7;127:3;134:16 actions (2) 106:18;110:23 actual (4) 43:13;63:19;97:14; 98:21 actually (19) 13:23;16:15;27:19; 34:13,18;35:3;41:22; 52:6;61:16;63:21;64:17; 87:23:93:18:96:9:97:13: 122:3,5;123:20;127:5 add (8) 54:12;68:8;103:15; 105:4;113:22;130:11,22, 24 added (2) 60:17;129:21 addition (2) 113:22;114:6 additional (18) 36:7;52:12;85:13; 88:18;95:20;103:9; 109:3;119:20;120:13; 121:4;123:13;124:15,18, 21;136:6,10,14;137:21 address (12) 5:5;9:8;13:24;16:11; 28:19;35:6,13,23;36:12; 86:20;87:2;137:2 addressed (3) 8:12:45:6:98:10 addresses (1) 41:13 addressing (1) 31:10 adequate (1) 11:9 adjourned (1) 140:2 adjustment (3) 105:17;106:2;110:13

administration (1) 110:9 administrative (1) 59:1 advance (1) 55:19 advantage (1) 130:15 adverse (2) 44:15:125:4 advice (1) 113:18 advised (1) 84:23 affect (2) 102:21;117:16 affects (1) 98:5 affiliate (1) 131:24 afraid (2) 13:6.11 afternoon (10) 4:2;9:12;22:15;23:4; 38:1,2;40:19,20;85:19, 20 again (18) 13:7;16:12;24:16; 39:19;61:20;62:4;88:13; 92:5;95:15;98:14;99:24; 100:24;101:17;106:3; 115:1:117:12:135:1.11 against (3) 43:1:86:13:93:7 agree (14) 10:4;24:5,9,18;46:18; 66:2;68:4;91:11;96:23; 97:12;111:10,15;114:8; 135:24 agreed (5) 10:22;11:12;30:21; 33:5:129:15 agreeing (1) 106:17 agreement (59) 11:10;23:3;33:14; 42:12,12,21;43:24; 50:15,19,22;51:2,14; 53:9;54:1,7,8;58:16; 84:22;85:12;86:18;87:7, 14,17;91:5,12;92:11,16; 93:6,21;94:5,7,8,22; 95:4,12,17,23;96:14,22; 97:14;98:21;103:12,17; 107:24;108:13;109:8; 113:2;116:24;119:22; 122:5,7,14,17;125:7; 126:2,15,19;127:4; 137:22 agreements (8) 31:20;55:22;56:2,11; 65:2;84:5;102:13; 121:20

agrees (1) 129:12 ahead (3) 90:3;102:24;103:6 air (2)108:2;117:20 alert (1) 36:11 Alexandria (2) 6:24;7:1 align (1) 46:9 all-in (1) 60:15 allow (4) 33:8;109:23;119:5,14 allowances (1) 67:2 allowed (4) 35:3;62:19;109:5; 138:8 allowing (1) 108:11 allows (2) 55:21;119:8 along (5) 9:13;22:12;25:10; 106:23:130:3 alternate (1) 51:1 alternative (3) 55:14.18:56:19 alternatives (1) 130:12 although (2) 11:14;21:5 Alwarton (1) 89:21 always (1) 99:8 amenable (1) 23:7 amend (3) 125:7;126:2;128:23 amendment (8) 33:11;94:21,21,22; 95:1;112:5,6;125:12 amendments (1) 112:9 Amidon (42) 28:21,22;29:3;32:22; 85:9.10.18:89:1.13:90:1. 4,5;94:16;96:15;104:6, 12;115:6,22,23;120:23; 124:3;125:19,20; 127:18;128:7;129:4,10; 131:22;132:22;133:1,4, 11,22;134:2,5,8,12,23, 24;135:17,19;136:5 Amidon's (2) 96:5;136:16 among (4) 44:23;89:7;103:14;

109:6 amount (5) 66:23;68:4;98:7; 116:4.7 amounts (1) 116:5 and/or (1) 122:15 Annual (4) 39:9:40:4:47:22:110:9 annually (1) 48:7 answered (1) 128:10 anticipate (3) 92:14;95:3;97:2 anymore (2) 66:11;98:20 apologies (2) 24:8;31:12 apologize (2) 21:24:99:1 apparent (1) 22:8apparently (1) 123:21 appeals (2) 4:20;10:10 appear (4) 15:2;18:17:34:20; 53:23 appears (4) 18:20;34:21;128:13; 138:1 Appendix (9) 43:23;44:1;92:11; 95:11:117:4,4:119:7,24; 120:9 applicable (2) 100:19,21 application (2) 58:14;128:16 applies (3) 84:3;126:16;127:8 apply (2) 122:2;128:14 appreciate (3) 15:21;28:6;101:22 approach (1) 56:24 appropriate (3) 14:2;24:19;58:9 appropriateness (1) 42:24 approval (16) 51:2;94:4;95:8; 100:18;101:2,5;107:15; 109:3;114:24;120:12; 126:20;127:14;130:22; 131:4.13:133:20 approvals (6) 94:9:100:16,17:107:6. 17:132:7

approve (7) 35:7:96:21:97:8: 103:12;108:20;132:3; 134:15 approved (6) 57:24;95:1,22;102:22; 103:4;114:21 approves (4) 94:6;95:16;109:1; 120:10 approving (7) 94:8;95:17,22;96:8, 13;108:11;113:14 approximately (5) 88:23;117:7;118:8,8,9 arbitration (2) 113:4,8 area (5) 85:24;86:2,3,15;104:3 areas (2) 41:5.6 argue (4) 17:13,14;119:16; 120:18 argued (1) 119:18 argument (4) 7:19,21;17:19;120:20 arisen (1) 30:13 around (2) 25:13:33:7 arrangement (8) 9:21:65:13:92:19.22. 24;93:2,9;97:24 arrangements (2) 56:12:92:20 array (1) 108:5 arrive (1) 44:4 Article (28) 86:19;87:1;100:15; 101:8,9;106:23,23; 107:3;108:8;111:4,7; 113:7,16;114:12,24; 115:9,24;116:22;119:8; 122:1;123:10;124:13; 125:14;126:12,12; 128:12;132:4;133:15 assert (1) 44:18 assertion (1) 18:21 assessments (1) 97:22 asset (1) 122:6 assets (3) 87:24:99:14:131:6 assign (2) 131:24:133:12 assigned (2)

90:12,13
assigning (1)
132:12
assignment (2)
132:3;133:15 assistance (1)
20:3
associated (11)
62:13,17;98:7,7,8;
111:22,22;113:20;121:7, 9,13
Assume (4)
102:24;117:19;
122:16;132:19
<b>assumed (1)</b> 48:12
assuming (5)
99:14;129:12;132:2;
133:13;136:1
assumption (1)
131:9 assurance (1)
55:4
assurances (1)
91:10
<b>atmospheric (2)</b> 117:16,20
attached (3)
14:16;16:19;17:12
Attachment (5)
40:1;48:22;49:1,8; 68:15
attack (1)
24:12
attacking (1)
24:15 attempt (3)
115:4;125:6;126:2
attempted (1)
123:5
attempts (1)
123:9 attention (5)
14:1;34:17;51:16;
59:5;94:18
attorney (8)
6:17;8:8;11:22,24; 12:7;16:24;34:4;96:5
attorneys (1)
10:4
attractive (1)
85:23 attributes (1)
68:11
August (2)
118:3,19
<b>authority (25)</b> 95:14,20;96:24;97:10,
20;98:1;101:19;104:4,4;
109:4;112:23;115:3,8;
123:15,21;126:14,16,22;
127:7,7,16;128:22; 132:2;133:14;134:15
152.2,155.14,154.15

<b>DE 10 198 10</b>	
<b>authorizations (2)</b> 107:7,17	<b>become (2)</b> 84:6;91:16
authorize (2)	becomes (2)
96:21;97:9	26:13;27:13
authorized (2)	bedrock (1)
10:6;14:7	22:2
available (15)	begin (1)
9:10,12;20:23;24:2;	106:24
25:7;27:4;30:2;37:9;	beginning (2)
56:3;57:19;106:19;	40:22;135:23
138:15,17,18;139:19	begins (4)
avoid (2)	39:24;83:20;106:4,23
51:23;129:5	belaboring (1)
aware (7)	106:10
33:17;43:7,11;64:24;	belief (2)
65:3;100:6;107:12	42:19;44:6
05.5,100.0,107.12	
п	BELOW (6)
В	34:8,11,14;46:2;70:9;
	118:20
<b>b1</b> (1)	benefit (2)
14:6	36:7;116:13
back (27)	benefits (2)
4:21;8:4;17:14;20:9,	54:14;114:6
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20;22:8,15;24:19;25:14;	Berlin (14)
27:10,11;33:23;38:3;	13:10;15:12;22:18,21;
52:6;68:13,18;83:3;	25:7;29:11;64:22;69:7;
94:9;95:19;109:17;	85:22;86:24;87:3,19;
110:4;116:8;123:12;	90:17;101:14
124:13;129:8,11;138:19	Berlin's (1)
balance (2)	137:5
7:16;9:6	BERSAK (39)
balancing (1)	22:5;25:1,3;33:16;
10:21	36:19;38:4,22;39:2,18,
bank (1)	20,23;40:6,8,10;43:21;
66:19	89:15;90:3;96:2;104:2;
banked (2)	115:11,13,17;124:5,7;
	125:16;127:20,22;128:1,
66:5,11	
banking (1)	3;129:1,3;133:7,9,17,24;
67:2	134:4,20,21;139:9
bankruptcy (6)	best (3)
42:8,11,14,22;43:1;	41:8;123:24;127:22
44:15	better (4)
barely (1)	31:5;49:16;117:10;
137:10	130:17
bargain (4)	beyond (5)
125:10;126:3,6,7	4:19;7:5;25:13;
base (6)	102:11;113:17
129:22;130:11,23;	bilateral (1)
131:1,12,14	55:9
	binding (3)
based (9)	
19:5,6,13;33:2;37:10;	88:17;91:22;93:17
38:11;97:17;137:22;	binds (1)
139:16	127:2
basically (1)	biomass (4)
4:16	86:11;104:15;106:11,
basis (7)	20
17:8;37:2;47:22;	<b>Biopower</b> (5)
50:24;102:12;105:12;	86:24;87:4,9,20;90:17
117:19	<b>BioPower's</b> (1)
Bates (1)	86:19
49:20	bit (2)
bear (2)	37:13;48:19
84:10;101:17	blank (1)
04.10,101.17	

46:10 board (1) 15:13 Board's (1) 36:8 body (4) 20:24;24:10,14,20 body's (2)9:16;10:2 boiler (6) 105:6,7,11,14,22; 106:6 **Boldt (49)** 4:8,9;5:11;6:4,23; 7:13;8:1,8,14,24;9:23; 10:15;11:11;12:22;14:3, 15,20;15:22;17:2,10; 19:20;20:8,13,18,22; 21:4,12,16,22,24;22:23; 23:17;24:5,8;26:18; 30:20,24;31:8;32:1,4,7, 9;35:14,24;36:2;138:9, 10,12;139:5 Boldt's (1) 17:18 bona (1) 135:12 bonds (1) 84:13 book (2) 83:11,17 bookend (1) 24:23books (1) 84:24 **both** (6) 4:13;9:3;88:21;90:16; 94:24:138:17 bottom (5) 40:1:52:1,4:60:3:63:7 bought (1) 66:9 bound (5) 20:10;23:2;119:21; 120:15;122:7 breadth (1) 28:9break (5) 23:4;36:12;136:20,21; 138:18 brief (1) 22:3 briefly (1) 62:9 bring (5) 28:12;49:14;53:11; 112:16;114:19 broad (1) 28:11 brought (3) 4:12;14:1;34:17 browsed (1) 83:18

BTLA(1) 20:24 built (1) 45:7 bullet (1) 135:24 burdensome (1) 28:15 burn (2) 105:12,22 burning (2) 105:24:106:9 business (6) 7:14;8:9;22:3;87:1; 112:20;119:2 buy (6) 55:8,17;56:3;106:17; 121:12;130:14 buyer (2) 93:10;106:15 buying (2) 106:20.20 С calculated (1) 113:20 call (5) 26:23;31:24;94:17; 95:5:116:14 called (2) 44:17:90:18 calls (3) 31:1,6,9 came (3) 64:1;67:22;139:11 camera (2) 5:15,22 can (62) 6:15:8:3.18:9:5.7.11. 15:10:24:11:14:12:2: 20:2,6;23:8,15;24:22; 25:10,13;26:12;27:9,12, 13;28:8;31:7;33:12; 35:19;36:13;38:5;41:4; 43:17;46:6;49:12,15,20; 52:9,14;53:11;56:18; 62:10;66:5,8,9,11,15; 67:1;68:14;70:11;88:18; 100:12:104:18:105:8; 107:24:109:9:113:19: 115:12;117:17;129:2,3; 130:7;135:2;137:10; 138:16,16

capable (1)

118:15

capacity (4)

capital (4)

113:22

care (5)

48:12,18,20;118:17

84:17;109:23;110:14;

39:16;86:20;115:13;

134:4,21 carries (1) 70:10 case (15) 4:18;7:6,6;10:8;12:7; 13:12;15:5;25:12;28:2; 29:20;58:23;61:7,9; 94:24;100:17 cases (2) 113:4.8 cause (7) 45:3;117:17;122:6,13; 123:15,22;133:18 caused (1) 135:14 cede (1) 36:9 certain (4) 41:7;102:11;116:1; 119:9 Certainly (18) 9:23;15:22;23:9; 28:18;53:21;56:3;67:2; 88:19;92:2,7;108:1; 110:10;112:6,19,21; 114:19;117:22;118:13 certificate (1) 104:21 certification (1) 107:8 certify (1) 107:10 cetera (3) 107:23.24:110:14 CFR(2)101:11;116:15 CHAIRMAN (132) 4:2,9;5:5,13;6:5,20; 7:12,18;8:11,22;9:20; 10:13;11:2,6;12:4,21; 13:1,4;14:4,13,18;15:21; 16:16:17:6.11.17:18:11; 19:17,18,19;20:9,12; 21:2,22;22:5,11,23;23:9, 18;24:6,24;25:17;26:2,8, 16;27:2,6,16,17,18,22; 28:8,20;29:1,4,14,19,22; 30:10;31:14;32:2,5,7,11, 17,20,22;33:14,16,23; 34:15;35:5,15,18,22; 36:2,17,19:37:14:38:2,4, 24;40:14,16;41:3;52:11, 16;58:17;62:3;69:16,20; 70:2,10;83:3,5;85:7,8,9; 89:15;104:2;115:6,11, 15,19,20;124:4,5,9; 127:19,20,24;128:2,4,9; 129:1;132:22;133:7; 134:9;135:18;136:9,11, 17,18;137:9;138:10,14; 139:2,3,6,7,9,23 chance (4) 37:1;70:12;83:16;

129:8 change (31) 39:3,14:44:24:48:19, 21;66:8;93:22;95:4,7, 24;114:14;115:4;116:3; 120:18;123:7,11;125:1, 3,8,11,21,22;126:15,18; 127:3:132:19:135:5.7.8. 9,13 changed (1) 46:21 changes (6) 9:24;51:5;125:7; 128:15;139:12,22 characteristics (1) 105:11 chart (3) 47:14;52:1;61:24 choice (1) 57:15 choose (1) 130:8 Christopher (1) 89:20 circumstance (1) 50:14 circumstances (6) 50:11;109:2;119:9; 130:7,9,18 citation (1) 14:7 citizens (2) 6:6.7City (4) 15:12;29:11;64:21; 137:5 Citv's (4) 5:1;8:4;15:11;16:18 claim (7) 15:10;31:16;42:13,24; 93:5.7:135:21 clarification (2) 20:9;134:6 clarifications (1) 139:16 clarify (4) 18:12;33:20;53:15; 131:3 clarifying (1) 30:9 **Class (10)** 46:13,17,22;48:24; 49:23;51:10;104:23,23; 107:9,11 Clean (8) 65:3,10;86:12,14; 98:16;99:22,24;100:2 clear (11) 16:7;18:13;19:16; 27:24;29:16;41:16; 95:12;98:15,16;112:22; 131:15 clearer (1)

136:15 clearly (3) 18:15:100:13:113:9 clerk (3) 36:21;38:15;124:11 client (4) 10:16;18:4,6;30:22 clients (25) 6:22,24;7:22;10:16; 11:14,18,20;12:3,8,8,17, 17,23;17:4,24;20:3;21:7, 10,13:23:2:25:9,16; 27:9;31:22;137:6 client's (2) 20:3:36:6 close (1) 135:17 CMSR(7) 30:19;31:4,13;34:8, 14;70:8,9 coal (1) 105:10 colleague (2) 99:6,8 colleagues (1) 103:15 column (3) 52:2;61:17,22 columns (1) 46:9 coming (5) 17:14;40:8;95:8,19; 110:21 commence (1) 107:7 comment (3) 28:9;36:3;95:3 commercial (1) 107:7 **Commission (90)** 9:6;13:16,17;22:7; 28:23:33:3.8:39:9:40:4; 41:18;49:20;51:3;53:5; 57:24;58:19;59:1;62:8, 16;65:4,14,19;70:4; 91:11;94:6;95:8,13,16, 19;96:7,20,23;97:7,8,20, 24;98:5;101:19,21; 102:2,9,19;103:5,11,23; 104:9;107:13,15; 108:20;109:1,3,9,14,17; 110:2,16,19,22;111:20; 112:2,11,17,21;113:14; 114:18,20,21;115:2,5,8; 119:19;120:10,12,19; 125:14;126:20,21; 127:14,15;128:20,22; 131:2,7,13,16;132:2,20; 133:21;134:14,16; 139:21 **Commissioner** (2) 30:18:34:10 **Commissioners (8)** 

19:18:27:17:36:22; 38:15:40:7:85:8:136:17: 139:2 Commission's (5) 35:4;94:3;130:22; 132:6:133:14 **Committee (2)** 100:24;107:15 companies (3) 19:10:44:3:84:3 company (40) 6:8;7:3;8:7,10;16:22; 19:8;32:23;33:3;47:5; 48:23,24:49:23:50:8,11; 51:20;54:24;55:8;57:12; 65:8;84:19;87:19;89:17, 22;96:21;97:10,18; 108:19;110:4,21; 111:23;114:9;115:7; 116:14;130:10,12,22; 134:14:136:1,4:139:10 Company's (6) 50:6;51:8;61:6,10; 85:2;114:22 compel (5) 7:11;14:22;24:17; 30:15;122:24 compensate (1) 111:6 competing (1) 10:21 competitive (1) 7:21 complaint (3) 65:12,16;86:13 complete (4) 22:17;29:5;132:7; 133:6 completed (1) 29:9 completion (1) 129:16 compliance (7) 50:13,18,22;55:14,18; 56:19;110:1 complied (1) 110:11 comply (5) 51:10;55:23;56:18; 123:16,22 complying (1) 106:16 comport (1) 132:4 concept (1) 111:8 conceptually (1) 68:5 concern (3) 6:4;23:21;138:13 concerned (7) 6:14;8:16;9:2;14:8; 19:22;23:19;138:14

concerning (1) 9:11 concerns (3) 9:17:85:3:137:4 conclude (1) 33:4 concludes (1) 69:17 conclusion (4) 10:9;43:6;63:24;64:1 Concord (7) 11:18:26:24:36:24; 37:8;68:24;69:3,4 concur (1) 70.9condition (5) 107:3;112:24;114:12; 131:16,17 conditioned (1) 91:13 conditions (19) 44:15;91:23;104:10; 107:2;108:8,12;110:12, 16;116:1,24;117:6,8,16, 20,21,21;118:12;129:15; 134:19 conduct (2) 12:9;22:19 conducted (1) 25:22 conducting (1) 85:11 conferring (6) 19:18:27:17:85:8: 133:3;136:17;139:2 confidence (2) 5:21,24 confidential (37) 4:11,17;8:5;10:2,5; 14:16:16:18:17:20,20; 18:8,21;19:7;31:17; 41:5.6.9.10:49:9.19: 51:20,21,24;52:14;58:7, 19,21;59:3;61:3,16,21; 62:5;69:18;70:5,7,11,15; 137:4 confidentiality (9) 4:22,23;5:6,20;9:3; 18:5;30:14;31:20;69:23 confirm (4) 5:23;25:17;51:24;52:9 confirming (1) 32:8 confused (1) 123:18 confusion (1) 96:4 connection (6) 108:15;110:24;111:3; 112:23;119:4;134:12 consensus (1) 38:12 consider (5)

24:14,20;50:12; 112:22:131:16 consideration (2) 9:17;36:8 considered (1) 15:20 considering (1) 53:5 consistent (2) 35:11:54:15 constraints (2) 6:3:44:18 constructed (1) 42:20 **Construction** (1) 106:12 consult (1) 42:10 consulted (1) 84:22 consumers (1) 132:18 contact (2) 18:4;21:7 contained (3) 70:14;104:24;128:8 contemplated (3) 97:23;113:10;132:8 contemplates (1) 113:13 contingent (3) 92:4;101:13;107:1 continue (5) 25:4:92:16:121:16: 130:19:139:5 continues (1) 46:20 continuously (1) 105:8 contract (28) 17:9;43:6;54:19;65:8; 86:23,24;95:22;98:15; 99:5;104:8,10;106:2; 109:10,22;110:18,23; 111:4;112:22;116:10; 120:8;124:2,21;125:13; 130:1,20;131:9;132:10; 134:7 contracts (6) 64:12,15,18;84:10,12; 101:20 Control (5) 21:23;26:20;122:11; 138:15,16 controlled (1) 104:19 controversial (1) 84:9 convenience (1) 15:3 conversation (2) 16:14:68:13 conversations (1)

6:12
conversion (3)
63:9,11;64:2
convert (1)
64:3
convinced (1)
19:23
Cooperative (1)
86:4
Co-operative (1)
69:14
coordinate (1)
137:19
copies (23)
4:13,14,21;6:13,15,16;
8:16,20;9:4;10:6,11,23,
23;12:13;19:23;21:17;
23:1,5,6;26:12;58:18;
137:24;138:17
copy (15)
5:9:7:24:10:14.15;
11:12,14;19:22;20:14;
26:20;27:8,9,11;34:2;
138:16,24
copyright (7)
4:24;7:8,9,19,24;8:1;
14:10
copyrighted (2)
14:8;32:3
corporate (1)
90:23
<b>Corporation (3)</b>
68:24;99:18;100:3
corrected (1)
47:24
correction (1)
48:6
corrections (3)
35:9;38:11;95:5
correctly (2)
64:16;136:22
COS (1)
84:15
Cost (17)
39:10;40:4;45:2;
57:16,20;60:15;62:14;
84:15,16;108:11,16,20;
109:4,15;113:19;
116:17;120:13
cost-of-service (1)
57:9
costs (19)
60:13,19;62:20;64:4,
5;83:23,23;84:2;108:14;
109:21;111:21;113:15;
114:2,6,11,11,24;116:4,
9
counsel (6)
45:12;58:18;64:20,21;
113:18;133:3
counter-party (1)
86:23
course (4)

		<u> </u>
	36:11;43:4;56:20;	
	107:22 court (6)	
	20:24;86:9;113:6; 117:11;121:15;136:19	
	court's (1)	
	4:10 cover (1)	
	70:15	
	<b>covering (1)</b> 19:12	•
	<b>covers (3)</b> 8:5;52:3;125:21	
16.	<b>create (1)</b> 105:3	•
16; 23,	created (3)	•
17; 8;	66:17;104:21;124:19 creates (1)	1
ο,	11:24	
	<b>creating (1)</b> 14:4	
l4; 2;	creator (1) 86:8	•
_,	credibility (1)	]
l;	24:13 credit (3)	•
	116:7,18;125:23 credited (1)	
	116:19	•
	<b>credits (2)</b> 125:24;126:7	•
	<b>CRF (5)</b> 41:15,18,22;42:4;	
	116:15	
	<b>criteria (1)</b> 55:11	•
	<b>cross (7)</b> 9:5;41:6;69:17,18;	•
	85:11;136:12,16	•
	<b>cross-examination (15)</b> 10:24;12:6;23:14;	
	24:10;29:6,9,12;33:2,4, 6,10;40:17;70:6;85:16,	•
	17	•
14;	<b>crossings (1)</b> 108:4	•
20;	<b>crystal (1)</b> 29:16	1
	CSC (1)	
	40:4 cumbersome (1)	
1,	11:20 cumulative (10)	
14;	41:14,15;43:4;44:7,9;	
15; 5:4,	58:6;68:1,3;97:4;130:18 current (6)	
	59:9;63:11;66:16; 83:24;123:9;126:3	]
21;	currently (5)	•
	41:18;45:4;46:18; 49:1;60:10	•
	<b>customers (7)</b> 57:7,17,21;116:8,10,	
	, , ,,,,,,,	1

18:130:4 D data (20) 16:5,19,20;18:14,17; 19:4,15:37:8,10:39:8; 40:3:59:19.24:61:1.5: 68:24;89:4,16;120:5; 138:2 date (7) 5:20;22:7,9;35:24; 96:18;97:16;101:12 dated (1) 61:13 dates (3) 92:6;94:12;95:5 Day (11) 13:8;22:14;28:5;30:1; 118:15;136:19,21,22; 137:1;139:24;140:2 days (3) 28:2;118:13,19 **DE (4)** 4:3;58:24;61:6;65:5 deal (7) 10:18;22:21;23:19,23; 25:9;28:24;36:23 dealing (1) 38:5 deals (1) 12:7 debris (1) 106:12 debt (3) 84:12,13,24 decided (2) 28:24:65:15 decision (5) 13:16;27:22;108:10; 131:8:132:6 declines (1) 125:23 deeds (2) 88:1;91:15 deem (1) 125:8 Defer (1) 66:6 defined (6) 88:22.23:116:9: 118:17;121:24;123:4 definitions (3) 100:11,12;101:8 degree (2) 9:15;44:14 Delaware (1) 87:19 delay (1) 13:14 delaying (1) 36:14 delegate (1)

97:10 delegated (1) 102:10 deleted (1) 39:9 delineate (1) 26:5 deliver (3) 60:18,20;117:1 delivered (1) 60:16 delivery (2) 60:14:117:2 demanded (1) 43:13 dependent (1) 48:18 depending (3) 130:7,8,18 depends (1) 26:22 describe (6) 11:18;31:7;62:7; 90:23;92:22;118:1 described (3) 43:16;114:12;121:8 describes (2) 88:20;121:1 describing (1) 44:17 design (1) 117:19 designated (1) 70:15 designed (1) 117:5 desire (1) 12:24desires (1) 121:3 detail (1) 111:12 details (2) 23:16;31:12 determination (1) 128:24 determine (6) 51:12,14;109:17,18; 110:4;119:19 determined (2) 114:2;129:23 determines (1) 97:5 determining (1) 50:8develop (1) 64:6 developed (1) 56:23 developer (1) 55:3 developers (1) 64:14

	1
development (10)	(
54:13;55:20;56:7,7;	
65:3,10;86:12,14;99:22; 100:2	0
developments (1)	•
56:10	
difference (2)	
38:16;48:13 differences (2)	(
56:9;117:15	]
different (11)	
42:2;46:8;48:11;	
63:17,18,19,22,24;65:7; 101:7;122:21	
difficult (4)	(
5:2;28:19;84:14;	
137:20 direct (4)	(
19:2,13;116:18;136:5	
direction (1)	
115:21	(
<b>directly (4)</b> 16:17;17:10;116:17;	
122:22	(
disallowances (2)	
63:2,6	(
disclose (2) 20:16,18	
discovery (11)	
4:7;12:6,9,11;20:5;	(
22:19;24:2;25:21;28:3; 29:10;32:24	
discretion (1)	(
108:11	
<b>discuss (5)</b> 22:3;32:15;36:4;84:8;	
139:19	Ì
discussed (8)	(
30:20;33:21;42:1,16; 59:7;62:8;125:15;	
139:15	(
discussing (2)	(
63:8;84:20	
<b>discussion (11)</b> 8:15;39:1;44:1;45:11;	0
50:3;67:7;95:2;103:14;	(
109:6;118:11;139:17	
discussions (1) 4:5	0
disparate (1)	(
25:9	
<b>dispatch</b> (1) 60:10	(
displays (1)	
40:2	
dispute (3)	
113:5,9;119:21 disputes (2)	
109:9;113:10	╞
disseminate (1)	
6:14 disseminated (2)	
4:19;7:5	

	_
disseminates (1)	
21:18 distinction (1)	e
65:16 <b>distribute (5)</b>	e
10:5;27:8,13;58:20;	e
139:13 distributed (2)	e
6:1;38:14 Docket (15)	
4:3;7:6;10:9,10;36:24;	e
50:15;58:20;59:2,17; 61:5;62:15;65:3,9;96:8;	
110:24	e
<b>dockets</b> (1) 62:24	e
document (10)	•
33:20;43:18;49:17;	e
52:5;53:14;54:4;93:17, 20;118:22;119:1	e
documentation (1)	
62:13 documents (6)	e
5:10;14:19,20;19:7;	e
20:5;58:19	
<b>dollar (1)</b> 51:7	
dollars (2)	e
64:3,4 done (5)	]
4:21;92:8,9;116:11; 127:23	
down (6)	e
7:20;15:10,14;36:5; 63:8;67:22	e
draft (1)	•
137:22 drafted (1)	6
87:22	]
<b>driver (1)</b> 86:10	•
drivers (1)	
60:17 <b>drop (1)</b>	e
59:12	
<b>dropped (2)</b> 51:7;60:8	e
<b>due (3)</b> 30:6;51:5;85:23	e
duplicate (2) 11:16;129:6	
duration (1)	e
98:7 during (4)	e
23:16;54:18;119:6; 139:10	e
duty (1)	e
122:23	
E	
<b>earlier (7)</b> 4:12;38:7;53:16;	e

55:19;59:7;86:7;139:15 earn (1) 83:22 easements (1) 108:4 easier (2) 11:21:118:1 economic (6) 54:13;56:7;86:7,10; 125:10;126:3 effect (5) 19:3:92:2:107:24; 109:2;125:4 effective (1) 87:18 efficiency (2) 117:16,17 effort (1) 137:20 efforts (1) 107:10 eight (1) 118:4 either (8) 9:12;11:17;55:8; 56:16;66:17;112:14; 113:14;128:11 elect (1) 133:12 Electric (7) 45:22;69:13;84:3,6; 86:4:88:24:117:6 electricity (1) 46:3 electronic (1) 138:13 elegant (1) 134:3 Eleven (1) 124:11 elimination (1) 125:4 else (10) 11:3;13:2;28:1;30:17; 32:12;35:22;36:18; 57:19;120:8;139:7 e-mail (2) 11:16;138:15 emissions (1) 108:2 encourage (3) 54:9;56:6;57:1 encouraging (1) 55:20 encumbrances (2) 99:15,16 end (13) 28:5;29:6;34:11;42:4; 45:15;52:15;67:8; 103:13,16:132:10,14; 134:7;135:18 ended (1) 50:23

ends (3) 45:14:46:7.18 Energy (38) 4:14;5:9;8:6,23;18:16; 19:3;26:7;39:10;40:4; 41:19,19;53:19;55:1; 61:6;62:15;64:5,6; 65:14,19;83:12;86:20, 21;87:4,5,9;90:22; 101:21;102:9;104:16, 21;105:16;106:11; 110:24;111:18;119:10; 120:14;124:17,19 engage (1) 28:3 England (2) 53:20;56:21 enhance (1) 111:17 enhancing (1) 124:16 enough (6) 23:17;28:3;94:2; 100:9;104:6;125:19 ensure (1) 104:14 enter (4) 54:7;56:11;84:10; 96:22 entered (3) 31:20;47:9;118:24 entering (2) 84:18:96:9 entire (1) 91:17 entirely (1) 22:13 entities (3) 6:22;90:24;119:3 entitled (1) 84:1 entity (1) 90:18 environmental (5) 54:14;68:11;105:22; 108:2;110:1 envision (1) 110:21 equivalency (2) 84:13,24 Erika (1) 89:19 error (3) 34:3,7;48:5 essence (2) 10:1;13:11 essentially (3) 64:4;114:23;129:19 established (1) 91:1 estate (2) 88:7.8 estimated (2)

47:15.18 et (3) 107:23,23;110:13 evaluate (1) 36:8 evaluates (1) 7:3 **Evaluation** (2) 100:24;107:15 even (4) 11:10;19:14;46:9; 105:15 evening (1) 14:24 event (7) 44:15;89:14;112:7,8; 116:6;124:18;125:3 everybody (7) 12:13;25:15;33:1,4, 22;36:21;38:16 everyone (4) 11:22;37:16;137:23; 140:1 everyone's (1) 30:6 evidence (5) 47:9;65:10;107:4; 138:3,4 exact (3) 90:23;99:5;137:13 exactly (6) 9:21:23:24:26:5: 49:10,11:112:18 example (6) 6:23;105:9;109:15,16, 21;126:8 except (5) 35:8;110:23;113:4,8; 132:5 exception (1) 69:17 excess (1) 66:19 exchange (1) 67:21 Excuse (6) 8:24;14:6;21:22;35:2; 46:17;99:6 executed (2) 91:15;94:13 execution (3) 86:22;92:15;94:5 exercise (10) 67:9;95:14;96:8;97:6, 10,13,23;131:5;132:17; 134:15 exercised (3) 97:15;130:5,21 exercises (2) 98:11:129:13 exercising (3) 96:9;126:22;127:16 exhibit (47)

DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLAW BERLIN BIOPOWER				
7:10;25:11;28:24;	expressly (1)	feature (1)	53:1,6	70:3
29:7;32:12,21,24;33:7,	92:20	58:15	financial (2)	formally (1)
11,12;36:23;37:7,10;	extensive (1)	February (2)	84:11,22	65:17
38:8;39:12,13,15,16;	136:12	22:21;118:18	financing (8)	formation (1)
40:11,11;43:22;47:9;	extent (5)	fed (1)	53:22,24;54:10;85:2,	24:4
48:15,16;51:17;52:6,12;	25:7;100:19,21;	105:8	4;92:19;99:15,16	24.4 forth (2)
48.13,10,31.17,32.0,12, 59:20;69:19;83:10,11;	125:11;131:11	<b>Federal (9)</b>	4,92.19,99.13,10 find (7)	102:12;122:17
87:13;92:10;93:13;	extremely (1)	65:14,18;101:20;	12:2;21:7;30:16;39:3,	forward (7)
94:17;100:15;116:23;	11:20	102:8,9;104:4;124:19;	20;43:20;123:10	95:18,24;98:1;101:4;
120:16,17,24;124:10,12;	11.20	102.8,9,104.4,124.19, 125:22,24	fine (8)	114:8,16,19
128:5,6;129:2;134:11;	F	FedEx (1)	6:17,18,19;10:16;	foundation (1)
139:12	•	138:16	15:17;21:17;36:16;	111:14
exhibits (11)	face (1)	fee (2)	106:22	four (2)
17:12;34:18,19,22;	131:15	88:21;92:17	firm (4)	21:12,17
35:1,3;40:23,24;41:5;	facilities (5)	feed (1)	31:21;83:21,22,24	frankly (1)
47:1;58:23	53:21;57:8;62:14;	51:13	firms (1)	6:6
existing (2)	88:16;102:11	feel (3)	84:4	Frantz (2)
39:15;53:21	facility (59)	6:15;10:21;114:16	firm's (1)	30:4;85:14
exists (2)	48:2,6,11;56:16;64:7;	feeling (1)	28:16	Frantz's (1)
56:14;67:24	85:22;86:14;88:7,8,22,	126:10	first (33)	15:18
exit (1)	23,24;90:6,8,9,10;92:18,	felt (2)	5:3;17:21;26:4;32:15,	free (1)
69:23	18;93:7,18,19;98:13,13;	106:15;120:16	21;38:16;41:12;43:3;	99:14
expand (2)	99:14,19,23;101:9,10,	FERC (3)	46:1;58:14;89:3,4;93:6;	frees (1)
119:5,14	14;102:3,18;103:6,22,	126:14,14,17	103:3;121:1;122:21;	50:17
expanded (1)	24;104:15,22;106:18,20,	few (10)	123:13,16,19,23;125:17;	front (5)
120:11	21;107:11;110:1;	13:23;17:22;28:1;	129:11,14;130:21;131:5,	34:2;45:16,19;52:19;
expands (1)	114:15;117:3,5;118:23;	32:15;34:3;59:13;62:4;	23;132:13;133:12;	53:10
119:23	119:6,15,24;120:12;	69:17;118:15;136:15	134:1,15;135:3,9;138:20	fuel (11)
expansion (2)	121:3,6;122:3,12;123:1,	fide (1)	five (2)	60:14,17;62:18;69:1;
19:12;120:3	20;129:12,14,21;135:4	135:12	15:17;24:20	104:15,18,24;105:7,8,
expect (2)	fact (9)	field (1)	fix (1)	15,24
95:16;96:7	9:2;16:4;33:1,10;	36:9	37:4	fuels (2)
expected (2)	55:15;65:24;66:5,8;	fifth (1)	flat (1)	62:14;105:13
48:6;89:7	111:21	61:22	117:19	full (8)
expecting (1)	factor (9)	fight (1)	flow (1)	40:24;108:11,16,20;
138:21	48:12,20;63:9,11;	14:10	116:16	109:4,15;114:10,23
expediting (1)	64:2;68:1,4;97:4;130:19	figure (6)	flows (1)	fully (3)
15:23	failures (1)	12:12;20:7;26:9;44:4;	29:23	43:10;108:15;133:10
expeditiously (2)	30:14	63:14,15	fly (1)	fund (5)
11:1;115:14	fair (10)	file (1)	12:1	41:15;43:5;44:8,9;
expenditures (8)	14:5;23:17;30:12;	35:16	folks (2)	58:7
109:18,20;110:3,5,23;	67:13,18;91:8;100:9;	filed (9)	23:13;84:23	Fundamentals (1)
111:9,16;115:9	104:6;125:19;133:22	5:19;13:10;14:5,23;	follow (2)	83:11
expense (3)	fairly (2)	15:4;24:17;33:9;65:12;	7:19;104:24	funds (2)
109:24;110:14;116:5	42:13;130:16	86:13	following (4)	41:14;116:19
expenses (1)	faith (1)	files (16)	6:21;40:22;54:23;	further (5)
83:24	125:6	8:7,10,12,13;16:13,22;	107:2	11:13;37:15;85:6;
experience (1)	fall-back (1)	17:3,3,7;18:20,21;19:8;	follows (1)	134:16;136:7
28:13	55:16	23:20;28:11,16;31:17	127:6	future (9)
experienced (1)	familiar (3)	filing (8)	follow-up (1)	19:4;44:11;55:4;
118:12	58:3;66:10;89:11	5:18;33:2,5,10,11;	30:20	69:18;97:3;98:10;
expert (8)	far (2)	97:20;98:4;107:13	follow-ups (1)	128:22;130:2;132:3
14:10;15:2,5,6,6;22:4;	84:6;102:5	filings (2)	62:5	~
36:4,6	Farm (2)	110:8;127:3	font (1)	G
explain (5)	58:1;69:12	filled (1)	46:8	• (4)
67:1;90:21;100:20;	fashion (1)	95:6	footnote (1)	gain (1)
114:1,2	85:16	fill-ins (1)	46:12	136:4
explains (1)	favor (1)	94:10	form (13)	gas (3)
46:12	15:23	final (3)	43:24;45:6;55:3;	19:2,9;105:10
explanation (1)	favorable (1)	103:21;108:9;132:6	87:14;92:11;93:20,24;	gas-fired (1)
127:12	118:13	finally (2)	94:8;95:4,22;96:13;	106:21
explored (1)	fax (1)	5:9;39:11	98:6;109:12	gave (8)
42:7	11:15	financeable (2)	formality (1)	7:10;27:11;36:20;
			•	

	~			1
39:5;40:7;50:16;55:15;	guess (14)	83:1;110:20;113:13	35:19	125:7
124:19	12:5;20:8;23:20,21;	held (1)	hypothetical (1)	incorporates (1)
general (3)	35:18;49:10;53:15;	87:23	68:1	60:19
25:20;110:6;115:2	66:17;104:17;110:15;	help (5)		increase (2)
generate (1)	112:17;115:16;128:5;	12:9,10;100:12;	I	48:14;111:9
48:17	139:3	111:24;117:9		increases (1)
generating (2)	guided (1)	helpful (2)	idea (2)	46:13
57:7;88:24	120:8	33:18;99:17	49:16;91:24	increasing (3)
generation (10)	Gwyne (1)	henceforth (1)	identified (4)	119:9,12,13
19:9;53:19;57:16;	89:20	126:21	61:12;95:11;135:24;	incredible (1)
84:4,16;107:8;129:21;		hereunder (1)	139:11	11:24
130:11,23;131:1	H	92:21	identifies (1)	incremental (1)
Gestamp (3)		herring (3)	54:13	120:2
99:18;100:2,6	half (1)	21:15,17;24:16	identify (1)	incurred (5)
gets (2)	12:17	hesitate (2)	89:5	84:1;108:14;109:19,
103:22;136:4	HALL (2)	120:4;136:24	<b>Ignatius (5)</b>	24;110:5
GETZ (1)	38:21,23	higher (3)	30:18,19;31:4,13;70:8	incurs (2)
38:24	Hampshire (17)	68:3;117:18;118:14	ignites (1)	103:9;116:5
Giacchino (1)	50:21;51:10;53:20;	historic (1)	105:8	indefinitely (1)
83:13	54:14;56:5;66:14;68:9;	40:2	immediately (5)	46:21
<b>given (7)</b> 5:9;24:3;33:1,10;35:6;	69:13;86:4;100:20,22; 101:3;102:12;103:5;	historically (1) 69:2	10:10;15:4;23:5; 27:10;59:14	indicated (3) 5:13:38:7:137:16
5:9;24:3;33:1,10;35:6; 136:18,19	101:3;102:12;103:5; 107:9;109:14;126:18	69:2 history (1)	27:10;59:14 impact (4)	5:13;38:7;137:16 indicates (1)
gives (4)	hands (2)	59:17	8:9;85:1;102:16;126:8	128:15
23:3;25:8,14;101:19	53:14;66:8	Hold (5)	impacted (1)	indulge (1)
giving (1)	happen (4)	27:16;29:1;58:21;	68:7	83:7
137:24	7:23;42:3,9;103:7	59:3;122:5	impaired (1)	industry (1)
goals (1)	happened (2)	holders (1)	7:15	84:7
55:1	23:11;96:18	93:5	implementing (1)	information (36)
goes (13)	happening (1)	holding (4)	113:20	7:21;8:7;10:5;12:15;
12:5;20:19;22:1;	91:14	13:17;35:8;89:3;	implicated (1)	13:9,15,20;14:11,14,15;
24:12;46:2,10,15;62:21;	happens (6)	122:22	23:20	15:13,24;16:2,8;17:20,
92:2;102:24;103:6;	26:22;42:7;46:19;	Holdings (7)	implication (1)	21,24;18:3,8,9,10;23:3;
109:1;115:24	98:12;116:6;129:17	87:18,20;89:8;90:10,	128:22	25:12;30:23;31:16;37:8;
Good (13)	hard (4)	17;91:4;99:2	import (1)	41:9;48:23;59:16;61:21;
4:2;15:22;38:2;40:19,	5:2;12:2;46:9;123:3	holes (1)	7:4	97:3;137:7,12,14,15;
20;42:13;85:19,20;99:8;	harm (1)	19:13	importance (3)	138:5
109:11;112:19;114:13;	7:23	Honor (1)	<b>4</b> :24;15:12;53:1	input (1)
125:6	harsh (1)	32:10	important (7)	12:24
governing (1)	8:18	honoring (1)	24:13;67:14;69:7;	in-service (3)
119:1	HATFIELD (50)	4:23	84:6;104:9;128:11,19	96:18;97:15;101:11
government (1)	8:3;10:1;13:5,6;16:12,	hook (1)	improvements (1)	inside (2)
102:8	15;18:18;25:19;27:19,	105:1	111:5	38:10;56:16
governmental (2)	21,23;29:14,21;30:8;	hope (5)	Inc (8)	insisted (1)
107:6,17	32:13,14,19;33:24;34:1,	9:17;33:13;68:2;	83:15;86:20,21;87:4,	91:20
grant (1)	10,16;35:15,21;39:18;	108:24;139:17	5,9;90:22;99:2	insistence (1)
10:2	40:6,9,14,15,18;41:3,11;	hopeful (1)	incidental (2)	91:21
granted (1)	49:7;51:18,22;52:5,11,	30:1	105:21,23	instance (1)
31:24	17,18;53:14;58:17;59:4;	hopefully (2)	include (9)	94:12
granting (2)	62:3,6;65:21,23;69:16;	23:15;26:9	49:24;60:13;89:18;	in-state (7)
4:11;35:10	83:4,5,9;85:5	hoping (1) 31:5	108:1;119:10;122:13;	55:2,20;56:2,7,8,10,11
great (4)	head (3) 87:22;88:9;92:5		124:16;129:2;138:21	<b>instead (1)</b> 13:19
36:16;37:2;116:21; 118:6	hear (6)	hour (1) 64:4	<b>included (6)</b> 50:7;89:6,23;90:2;	institutions (1)
greater (2)	9:22;11:3,4;24:14;	64:4 hours (4)	107:20;122:13	84:11
97:4;120:16	9:22;11:5;4;24:14; 33:9;137:10	48:2,7;106:5;117:24	includes (3)	instructions (1)
GRM2 (1)	heard (5)	housekeeping (3)	100:18;111:8;131:4	4:10
93:14	13:12;17:22,22;67:16,	38:5;39:16;40:12	including (10)	instruments (1)
group (8)	15.12,17.22,22,07.10,	huge (1)	18:19;19:8;42:11;	84:12
6:6;52:14;86:20,21;	hearing (16)	56:9	97:21;106:2;107:8;	insurance (2)
87:4,5,9;90:22	4:3;10:9;13:8;22:7,20;	hundred-percent (1)	111:17;121:7;128:17;	43:13;44:2
growth (2)	25:23;29:12;37:18;	101:18	129:15	intend (1)
46:16,17	54:18;59:7;70:1,6,13;	hurt (1)	incorporate (1)	58:24
	,	()		

	DE 10-1931 S			
intended (2)	issue (14)	knows (1)	15,19;68:7,9,10;108:6;	limited (3)
42:13;107:20	5:3;10:20;19:20;	44:9	116:3;123:11;124:19;	87:19;126:15;130:17
intending (1)	21:17;22:2;23:24;24:1,		125:1,3,9,11,21,22	line (25)
36:5	9,23;30:17;84:13;	L	laws (2)	10:4;34:6,6,7,12,23;
intent (5)	123:13;128:8;136:7	<b>L</b>	51:5;105:1	39:6;43:3,12;44:17,19,
21:3;43:1;88:15;	issued (1)	labeled (1)	lawyer (7)	20,21;54:23;55:11;57:2,
93:23;125:10	84:13	39:12	42:23;91:19;95:15;	23;59:9;63:8,14;93:7;
intention (5)	issues (17)	Labrecque (40)	101:1,17,23;112:18	102:5;117:19;130:4;
29:10;34:11;36:6;	4:24;7:16;9:4;16:1,9;	38:10;47:2,8,13,15,17,	lawyers (10)	135:23
87:23;126:13	30:12;33:20;36:10,16;	20,24;48:4,8,10,16,20;	42:10,11;87:22;88:14;	lines (9)
interest (10)	43:8;45:3,9,10;84:9,19;		89:9,10,11,22;91:22;	9:13;22:12;34:12,24;
10:21;42:9;43:2;44:7;	137:1;139:4	49:4,10,16;50:2;59:6;	131:12	
		66:7,10,12,14,21;86:22;		35:2;38:18;42:15;57:10;
53:18;99:19,23;100:3,7;	item (2)	88:18,20;89:7,19;90:7,	<b>lawyer's (1)</b> 102:4	86:6
128:14	25:6;88:20	23;104:19;106:14;		list (4)
interesting (1)	items (2)	107:12;110:6;111:2,11,	LBB (1)	44:23;89:9,10;135:22
84:8	31:21;135:24	19;116:16;126:4,6	92:18	listed (2)
interests (6)	т	lack (2)	lead (1)	45:8;49:22
9:7;12:23;20:3;87:8;	J	30:14;138:15	13:15	lists (1)
121:7,9	- (1)	Laidlaw (56)	lease (3)	87:1
interjects (4)	James (1)	13:12;41:22;42:7;	45:2;92:17;123:21	little (7)
86:9;113:6;117:11;	89:20	43:3;47:18;48:2;49:24;	lease-back (2)	11:21;23:18;31:5;
121:15	January (6)	58:16;84:18;85:22;	92:19,22	37:2;38:5;48:19;100:13
interpretation (1)	5:4,19;13:10;16:18;	86:19,20,21,24;87:3,4,5,	least (4)	LLB (2)
115:2	60:11;118:18	8,9,19;90:17,22;99:19,	12:16;18:12;44:3;	92:12,14
interrupt (1)	job (2)	23;100:4,7,17;101:14;	137:18	LLC (6)
8:4	37:2;69:14	102:2,17,24;103:6,9,18;	leave (2)	86:24;87:4,18,20;
interrupting (1)	jobs (1)	109:23;111:5,23;	34:21;70:1	90:10,17
99:1	86:7	113:16;116:12;118:24;		located (3)
into (32)	join (1)	119:5,14,16,18,23;	34:19;61:22	7:1;86:2,3
7:7;14:10;18:24;	11:20	120:11;122:1,23;123:4,	legal (6)	location (1)
26:23;31:20;41:6,8,10,	joint (1)	5,19;124:18,20,22;	44:18;90:24;103:19;	85:23
22;45:7;47:9;51:13,23;	39:7	135:21;139:13	111:24;112:19;115:1	logistical (3)
54:7;58:22;61:20;62:21;	Jonathan (1)	Laidlaw's (1)	Lempster (7)	10:20;11:11,24
64:4;70:5,11;84:10,18;	83:12	43:7	57:24;58:4,6,20;	Long (204)
92:2;96:9,22;104:3;	judge (1)	language (3)	69:11;86:2;110:7	38:9;41:17,24;42:6,
109:1;111:12;116:14,	62:16	6:18;7:10;39:19	lenders (1)	10;43:19,23;44:5,20,22;
17;118:24;138:2	July (3)	lapses (1)	43:7	45:5,11,15,18,21,24;
investment (6)	33:3;118:3,18	125:23	Leonard (1)	46:5,8,15,20;49:3;50:14,
53:18;54:5;125:22;	jump (1)	Large (50)	83:13	24;51:11;52:19,21,23;
129:20;130:11,23	96:4	38:9;42:18,23;43:10,	less (1)	53:3,7,13,15;54:5,16,17,
investments (5)	June (2)	15,17;47:22;50:5,10;	135:22	20,22;55:6,10,14,24;
53:22;54:9,9;57:1;	118:3,18	52:4,9;54:12;59:8,11,13,	lessee (5)	56:18;57:14;58:2,5,11;
119:8	jurisdiction (5)	19,22;60:2,5,7,10,16,19,	93:11,15;98:17,19,24	60:22;63:5,10,13,16,18,
investors (1)	102:8,20;103:24;	24;61:4,8,12,14,19,23;		23;64:3,8,10,13,20;65:1,
43:2	104:3;113:11	62:2,12,23;63:4;66:22;	83:13	6,9,18,24;66:2,6,10,13;
invoicing (1)		67:2,11;68:13,16,19,21,	lesser/operator (1)	67:15,16,20;68:8;69:6,
110:12	K	23;69:5;89:6,19;108:1;	93:14	10,13;83:18,19,22;
involve (2)		117:15;118:1,3,11	lessor (1)	84:18,21;85:3,21;86:1,3,
126:7;132:19	keep (5)	larger (2)	93:11	10,16;87:5,10,16,21;
involved (2)	10:4;35:19;36:13,14;	56:14;120:7	letter (3)	88:3,6,9,13;89:6,9,16,
89:5,18	109:24	Large's (1)	35:19;56:13,15	19;90:7,12,14,20;91:7,9,
involvement (2)	kept (1)	48:4	level (3)	13,18,20;92:1,2,5,23;
103:13,16	4:17	last (10)	46:20;119:7,24	93:13,23;94:6,12,19,21;
<b>IPP (9)</b>	kind (3)	9:23;31:9;39:14;	licenses (3)	95:10,15,21,23;96:6,11,
6:15;15:4;16:19;28:9;	7:20;111:20;127:6	53:16;54:15;83:19;	107:6,16;108:3	17;97:2,12,19;98:3,14,
45:11;47:1,10,14;48:15	knew (1)	89:21;99:12;118:13,15	liens (5)	19,23;99:4,9,12,20,24;
IPPs (5)	64:18	Late (1)	98:12,16;99:3,15,16	100:4,6,23;101:15,17;
6:5,6;19:21;24:17;	knowing (1)	9:23	light (3)	102:4,7,19;103:3,11,15;
64:21	98:9	later (3)	9:2;31:3;37:12	105:4,18;106:3,13;
ironic (1)	1			107:18,21;108:18,22;
	knowledge (3)	25:14;35:24;134:17	likely (1)	107.10,21,100.10,22,
100:11	knowledge (3) 63:5;90:14;99:20	25:14;35:24;134:17 law (23)	56:4	109:7,20;110:17;111:12,
. ,				
100:11	63:5;90:14;99:20	law (23)	56:4	109:7,20;110:17;111:12,

116:9,12:117:9,13; 119:1.11.16.21:120:4. 15;121:11,16,20;122:4, 9,19;123:2,7,24;124:23; 125:12;127:1,6;129:19, 23;130:14,24;131:9,17; 132:1,9;134:6;135:2,6, 11:136:3.19 longer (1) 37:9 long-term (15) 53:9;55:2,11,21;56:1, 12;64:11,14,18;65:1,8, 11,13;102:13;103:19 look (15) 16:11,17;37:1;46:6; 54:21;59:20,23;61:15, 22;68:14;83:16;99:6,12; 128:12:139:15 looked (1) 34:9 looking (11) 24:1;53:8,23;62:21; 65:8;92:10;99:10; 110:17;127:5;132:23; 133:10 looks (1) 68:17 loss (1) 125:24 lost (1)113:2 lot (1) 111:14 low (1) 51:9 low-emission (1) 53:19 lower (3) 48:12:84:15:117:18 lowest (2) 57:16,20 lunch (12) 23:13,16;26:9,17; 33:22;36:11,18;37:4,15, 17;138:18;139:10 lunchtime (2) 37:12;139:10 Μ magic (2) 26:9,12 mail (3)

18:3 many (2) 48:24:56:21 mark (1) 52:13 marked (1) 43:22 market (9) 19:3;51:12,15;55:4,8, 17;67:13,18;97:21 marketplace (1) 56:4 markets (1) 51:6 Massachusetts' (1) 44:23 material (25) 5:16,19;7:4,7,22;8:2; 13:21;14:8;15:7;21:9, 13;36:7;94:24;95:4,7, 24;112:5,6,6,8,9,16; 120:18;125:4,5 materials (18) 4:7,17;7:13,16;9:1,12; 10:6,7,18;11:8,13,19; 14:7;15:1;17:5;29:11; 32:3;137:5 matter (5) 4:20;10:8;11:1;41:12; 112:1 matters (5) 38:6,18;39:17;40:12; 70:7 may (39) 11:13;12:14;13:15; 14:3;17:16;18:22;19:1; 21:13,16;22:3,23;24:1; 26:14,24;28:2;29:6; 31:15;34:18;36:8;37:12; 41:6;57:14;58:7;66:22; 84:15,16;89:15;93:14; 97:18:98:19:105:4; 111:8;113:10,15; 118:19;120:6;127:11; 137:20;138:6 maybe (8) 25:14,19;29:24;56:13; 109:13;113:24;123:24; 133:18 McCluskey (4) 30:3:85:12:136:6.11 McCluskey's (3) 15:18;57:3;135:21 mean (19) 12:8,11;19:11;35:1,2; 63:17,18;67:1;107:21; 109:11,21;112:9; 113:16;120:19;122:1; 123:7;126:21;127:9; 129:24 meaning (3) 8:22;10:8;135:8 meaningful (2)

9:5:10:24 means (6) 11:15:93:4:98:8: 100:21;127:13;132:18 meant (6) 36:23;67:21;107:16; 117:8;118:7;121:9 meantime (1) 23:5 mechanism (4) 41:23,24;51:13;64:9 meet (8) 10:16;32:23;54:24; 55:10,12;56:5,13,15 meeting (1) 9:14 megawatt (5) 48:2,7,11;64:4;106:5 megawatt-hour (1) 104:22 members (7) 40:20;41:2;85:20; 89:23;90:2;103:14; 109:6 memory (1) 18:13 Menard (1) 89:19 mentioned (10) 13:13:19:14:22:6; 86:12:95:5:100:14; 112:4:122:22:130:10: 138:20 merchant (1) 135:22 met (1) 91:23 Michelle (1) 89:20 middle (2) 9:15;96:5 mid-term (1) 130:15 might (29) 5:16;7:23;19:3;28:5; 41:10;42:3;44:24;45:3; 52:11;53:13;58:9;60:22; 67:8;68:6;97:5;100:23; 109:24;110:18;111:22; 117:9;118:14,15,17,20; 119:16:120:18:121:20; 132:16:135:6 million (1) 44:4 minimum (2) 24:1;45:22 minor (1) 94:10 minutes (4) 15:8,17;17:22;24:20 misdirection (1) 138:15 misunderstood (1)

96:12 mix (1) 6:5 modern (1) 137:19 modify (2) 126:23;127:16 moment (13) 22:3;43:17;45:18; 49:5:65:21:94:11.14; 96:1;120:21;123:11; 129:5;131:20;133:2 money (2) 124:18,21 monies (1) 41:22 month (3) 60:11;116:18,20 months (3) 117:24;118:1,4 more (21) 13:5:24:13:25:1: 31:12;33:8;34:19;38:11; 41:23,24;42:3;58:9; 84:6;100:13;118:13,16; 127:9;130:4;132:23,23; 133:6;134:3 morning (16) 4:5,12;5:8;8:21;10:1; 32:24;33:21;36:20;37:1; 38:12,17:39:6:136:23; 139:1.8.24 morning's (1) 33:18 mortgages (2) 98:12;99:3 most (4) 9:2;21:14;40:21;86:17 motion (30) 4:11:5:3,20:7:10:8:4; 10:2;13:18,24;14:5,16, 22,23;15:16;16:12,17, 18;17:15;24:17,21,21; 32:16,17;34:2,23;35:7, 10,12,16;36:1;70:10 motions (2) 30:13,15 motives (1) 15:22 move (10) 22:12:25:10,13:35:24: 70:5,5,11;95:24;104:11; 123:4 moved (1) 18:24 moving (4) 90:4;95:17;101:7; 106:23 much (10) 9:14;22:9,11;31:16; 37:3:51:23:84:12: 104:17;134:3;136:10 multiple (3)

10:23,23;12:7 municipalities (2) 6:8;7:3 municipality (1) 14:9 must (2) 15:4;50:20 mutually (1) 125:8 myriad (1) 44:17 myself (3) 89:21;136:8;137:24

## Ν

name (1) 137:13 natural (2) 105:10;106:21 nature (2) 5:16;121:19 near (1) 63:7 nearly (1) 8:17 necessarily (3) 29:22;93:15,19 necessary (6) 108:4,5;121:21;125:8; 131:18:132:7 need (29) 9:22;12:8;19:23;20:5; 22:18;23:12;25:15;26:5, 10;32:15;35:23;41:10; 50:7,8;51:8,14;53:15; 101:2;104:11;105:13; 111:24;112:18;131:13; 132:16.23:133:20; 134:13:137:1.10 needed (5) 13:24;38:11;107:7; 111:9,16 needs (7) 10:21;19:20;28:1; 47:16;50:12;55:3; 133:20 negatively (1) 85:1 neglected (1) 83:6 negotiate (2) 125:6;126:1 negotiated (5) 42:12;44:5;63:15,22, 23 negotiation (1) 87:6 negotiations (2) 89:6,18 net (4) 37:1;114:16;116:4; 117:5

26:23;137:17,18

13:16;22:9;56:19,20;

65:16,17;97:19

101:12;126:2

maintain (2)

makes (1)

19:15

making (7)

manage (1)

	DE 10-195 PS	NH/LAIDLAW BERLIN	BIOPOWER	
new (29)		4:19;6:4,24;10:14;	27:3;130:16	89:11;93:14
37:7;39:15,19;40:7,	0	11:12,22;12:10,18;	order (12)	owner's (1)
10;50:21;51:10;53:20,	0	16:10;18:4,10;19:20,22;	4:10,23;6:3;30:11,12;	98:15
20,21;54:14;56:5,21;		25:6,11;27:16;28:22;	36:14;50:20;67:23,23;	ownership (11)
66:14;68:9;69:13,19;	object (3)	29:2;30:19;33:8;34:3;	104:20;128:23;137:19	57:16,20;87:8;100:7;
86:4;90:18;100:20,22;	27:23;29:16;30:14	36:20;37:3,7;44:9;45:8;	orders (2)	122:11;123:8;135:5,7,8,
101:3;102:12;103:4;	objection (5)	49:5;53:10;54:2;55:7;	126:23;127:17	10,14
107:9;109:14;122:15,	11:7;16:5;25:19,20;	56:9,22;62:7;65:21;	organizations (1)	owning (1)
	26:3			57:18
16;126:18	obligated (2)	66:19;67:3;69:7;83:6;	21:8	
NewCo (4)	119:20;120:1	85:21;87:10;94:11,14;	original (2)	owns (3)
90:18,22;91:1;135:4	obligation (3)	96:1;100:14;103:21;	11:7;39:7	87:11;90:6,10
newly (1)	122:6,15;125:5	104:10,14;107:3;108:8;	originally (1)	Р
9:12	obligations (6)	111:15,24;113:3;120:4,	38:18	P
next (10)	69:22;101:13;103:1,9;	20,21;122:10,19;123:11;	others (2)	
22:7;25:7,22,24;28:1;	106:24;122:17	124:7,15;129:5;131:20;	89:7;122:20	packet (3)
29:13;30:1,2;115:16;	obtain (1)	133:10	otherwise (3)	138:6,7,21
126:10	137:14	only (15)	97:9;130:16;131:13	page (57)
NHPUC (4)	obtained (2)	10:7;18:17;20:22;	ought (1)	16:20,21;34:4,6,6,21,
95:1;108:9;113:9;	8:20;107:5	27:7;36:3;38:15,21,23;	23:10	21,24;38:13,13,17,21,
126:14	obtaining (1)	41:20;66:20;93:23;	ourselves (1)	23;39:3,5,14,19;40:1;
night (1)	101:14	102:1;112:8;132:16;	30:16	42:15;43:12,20,22;44:1,
9:24	obvious (1)	138:12	out (27)	16,21;49:20;52:10;
nit (1)	54:6	open (2)	5:17;7:5,7;10:19;	54:21;57:2,22,23;59:6,
66:22	obviously (7)	22:7:23:22	12:12,19;16:10;18:18;	23,23;61:15,16;63:7,8;
non-appealable (1)	22:1;24:15;44:10;	opened (1)	20:7;21:7,20,20;23:15;	83:11,17,20;87:13;
108:10	48:18;49:24;114:5;	65:4	26:9,17,19;30:11;35:20;	88:20;92:10;94:17;99:7,
non-biomass (1)	120:5	operate (7)	37:2,5;90:7;102:5;	13;100:14;101:8;113:2,
104:17		100:19,22;108:6;	112:12;113:19;114:4;	7;116:23;120:24;
non-confidential (1)	OCA (16)	109:11;121:11,14,16	119:24;138:17	122:11;123:10;128:13;
69:24	6:17;9:3;10:24;13:9;	operated (1)	outlook (2)	135:20
non-public (1)	23:6,10;40:23,24;47:10;	93:19	8:6;26:7	pages (4)
70:6	51:17;59:20;61:1;83:10,	operating (4)	output (9)	37:6;38:13;70:13;
normal (4)	10;137:24;138:18	83:23;84:2;106:5;	106:17;117:6,18;	109:13
43:3;117:13,19;	OCA's (1)	122:11	118:14,20,23;119:6,20,	pagination (1)
131:18	13:18	operation (9)	23	35:17
Northern (5)	occur (3)	5:18;43:4;62:13,17;	outside (4)	paid (3)
	67:3;114:7;117:18			
4:14;8:16,17,19,22	occurred (1)	88:12;117:13,23;	12:19;56:4,17;101:7	69:4;105:3;111:23
note (4)	66:24	118:23;121:21	over (17)	panel (14)
34:9;41:4;51:18;62:4	occurring (1)	operations (2)	4:20;10:17;15:7;	22:15;33:6;38:3;
noted (2)	9:5	62:18;63:19	21:17;26:9,17,20;36:11;	40:13,20;41:2;43:22;
5:24;57:6	occurs (1)	operator (2)	37:4,12;47:19;101:19;	85:20;89:2,24;103:14;
notice (4)	111:1	106:4;122:16	103:24;104:4;115:3;	104:5;109:6;139:18
59:1;87:1;90:15;93:20	October (1)	operators (1)	118:12;123:8	panelist (1)
noticed (1)	61:13	93:15	overall (3)	41:13
137:22	off (9)	opinion (2)	84:14,16;105:21	panelists (4)
notices (1)	6:12;29:2;38:24;39:1;	17:8;91:19	overlook (1)	40:19;58:3;83:10,16
87:2	87:21;88:9;92:5;99:3;	opinions (4)	16:13	paper (2)
notion (1)	115:19	19:5,6;24:7,14	over-market (1)	26:14;138:16
6:21	offer (3)	opportunity (12)	41:19	papers (1)
notwithstanding (1)	121:6,8;135:12	5:14,22;25:9;27:20;	overnight (1)	4:4
93:8	offered (3)	29:10;35:12,24;36:3;	137:18	Paragraph (6)
null (1)	4:15;11:11;139:13	109:16;110:3;130:3;	own (13)	13:18;34:5,23;83:20;
91:16	4.13,11.11,139.13 offers (1)	139:14	12:11,23;34:8;88:5,7,	92:12;99:12
number (22)	122:24	opposed (3)	8,10,15;90:8;91:5;	pardon (2)
16:8;18:19;20:6,14;		101:20;119:13;135:4	102:13;122:3;123:20	118:18;123:12
26:10,12;30:15;44:10,	Offhand (1)	option (30)	owned (2)	parens (1)
12,20;48:1,9,14,17;	53:7	42:17,20;43:24;57:17,	57:8;93:18	84:5
49:22;63:19,22,23;66:8;	office (2)	17,20;67:9,17;87:14,17;	owner (13)	part (18)
67:14;124:10;135:23	18:7;31:1	91:4,12;92:11,16;93:21;	88:4,21,21;90:8;	18:23,23;55:21;60:3;
numbered (2)	omitting (1)		92:13,14,16,17;98:21;	
	121:5	94:1,4;95:23;96:9,13,22;		94:22;96:7;99:10;
26:13;65:4	once (2)	97:6,13,24;98:12;101:4;	99:15;109:20;122:15;	101:11,24;110:8,8;
numbers (3)	26:11;29:8	121:2;122:5;130:1,5	123:18	114:11,24;119:11,14;
49:8,11;52:2	one (63)	options (2)	owners (2)	122:13;125:17;131:18

participant (2) 61:9:62:23 participated (1) 89:10 particular (6) 25:11;53:20;58:15; 93:16;127:15;136:7 particulars (1) 26:19 parties (46) 4:6,17,19;6:1,2;7:17; 10:6:11:9:15:3:20:2.10: 28:24;32:23;36:20,24; 37:6;38:12;43:2;45:9; 51:2;56:11;63:21,24; 64:1;69:24;87:23;89:5; 94:24;106:17;114:4,7, 15;121:21;122:7; 123:16,22;125:6;126:1, 13,16;127:8;128:15; 129:6;139:14,17,20 parts (2) 36:7;113:1 party (11) 10:3;91:4,10;92:23; 122:12,15;125:5;129:13, 16;135:13,15 past (1) 31:10 path (1) 7:20 Pause (7) 39:22:49:6:65:22: 94:15;120:22;129:9; 131:21 pay (7) 55:18;111:6,8,16; 116:3:124:17.21 paying (1) 99:3 payment (2) 116:7,20 payments (6) 41:19;55:15,18;56:19; 113:15;116:12 **PDF** (1) 26:15 people (8) 11:22;21:6,8;26:23; 27:14;31:2;89:10,22 per (4) 46:13;59:10;64:3,4 percent (4) 46:13;66:15;87:11; 117:2 percentage (2) 105:19,20 perfect (4) 37:3;57:7,12,14 perhaps (4) 8:9;22:5;59:7;100:12 period (1) 66:23

permission (2) 31:24:32:2 permit (1) 105:23 permits (4) 107:6,16,23;108:2 person (1) 137:13 pertaining (1) 128:23 pertains (1) 112:8 PhD (2) 83:13,13 phone (2) 11:17;31:6 phrase (2) 100:21;125:2 pick (4) 20:6,14;23:14;136:23 picture (1) 98:20 piece (2) 25:11;26:14 pipeline (1) 19:9 **PJPD (13)** 87:18,20;89:2,8; 90:10,16;91:3,10;99:2; 100:18;122:2,22,24 place (4) 37:3;67:8;91:24; 137:17 placed (1) 84:24 places (2) 122:10,19 plan(2)45:2;116:7 plant (29) 6:24:42:4:50:16: 57:18:65:7:67:13,19,22; 68:3,6;69:4;86:11;92:7; 93:16;96:10,24;97:11, 21,22;105:9;106:3,4; 113:23;117:23;121:12, 17,22;130:14;131:11 plants (9) 6:9;28:14;31:17,19, 19;62:17;64:11,18; 135:22 play (1) 95:15 please (24) 21:23;39:19;44:20; 45:20;47:14;49:5,19; 51:17,21;54:2,21;57:23; 59:6;65:21;68:15;69:23; 83:19;94:11,14,20;96:1; 117:12;120:21;131:3 pleasure (1) 35:4 pm (3)

37:18,19:140:3 **POA** (4) 95:11,14,18;122:22 point (15)8:14;13:22;16:6; 18:22;21:6;24:22;44:11; 69:19;98:19;106:10; 107:22;113:19;114:13; 130:13;134:17 pointed (6) 5:17;16:10;18:18; 37:5:90:7:112:12 pointing (2) 35:20;99:5 points (1) 136:15 policy (1) 43:14 Portfolio (1) 45:23 portion (6) 58:21;66:20,22;83:1; 86:5;121:6 position (7) 26:3;55:17;65:9; 114:22;120:1;122:23; 133:20 positive (2) 44:12;114:16 possibility (1) 130:10 possible (9) 9:14:11:1:14:24: 15:15:27:24:93:21: 111:5;125:11;139:21 **power** (35) 19:9;42:11;53:9,24; 54:7,8;55:21;56:2; 57:18;58:16;65:3,10; 84:5,21:85:11:86:5,12, 14,18;87:6;92:8;94:7; 95:12,16;99:22,24; 100:2;102:7,13;103:12, 17;106:3,4;117:23; 119:22 **PPA (54)** 41:18;42:5;43:16; 44:1;45:3,6,7;47:7; 54:18;57:24;58:4,6; 64:7;67:9;84:19;85:4; 87:13;91:10,13,16,17, 21;92:2;101:13;102:1, 22;103:4;104:1,20; 105:2;106:19;108:15; 109:1;110:7,7;112:9; 113:19,24;114:21; 116:17;118:22;119:1,5, 14;120:11,24;126:9,21; 127:14,17;128:24; 129:17:132:8:139:13 PPAs (4) 55:2,11;84:6,8 practical (2)

12:5,12 practice (3) 112:1,15,20 practices (1) 109:11 preambles (1) 106:16 pre-approval (1) 114:10 preapprove (1) 95:13 pre-approving (2) 111:21;114:23 preclude (1) 127:15 preference (1) 22:22 premarked (1) 40:23 premise (1) 103:3 prepared (6) 5:23;12:6;17:12; 30:23;33:1;48:10 preparing (1) 20:4present (1) 40:3 preserving (1) 125:10 pressures (2) 106:7.8 presuming (3) 22:16;27:2;29:8 pretty (1) 54:6 prevented (1) 126:22 previously (5) 5:14:54:17:59:16; 125:15:139:12 price (22) 51:9;55:3;59:10,12; 60:8,12,13,16,19,22; 62:7,11;63:3;64:6; 97:22;99:13;105:17; 106:2;110:13;129:15; 131:8;134:18 price-adjustment (1) 64:8 priced (1) 106:1 prices (11) 19:2;40:3;55:4;59:17; 60:1;62:22;68:14,20,23; 69:1,3 pricing (5) 65:11,13;68:17; 105:16;106:1 Primarily (3) 8:1,17;106:11 primary (4) 104:16;105:5,8,13

prime (1) 15:12 principal (1) 87:5 principals (1) 10:19 printed (1) 39:21 prior (7) 59:14:66:17:84:21; 94:5;101:11;131:6; 134:7 private (2) 6:6,7 probably (12) 12:16;21:10;66:7; 92:8;111:24;112:13,14; 114:5;127:22;128:9; 130:17;134:19 problem (4) 6:16;12:13;14:4;27:14 problematic (1) 27:13 problems (4) 11:12;12:1;45:3;56:22 procedural (1) 28:23 proceed (3) 23:8;24:18;51:4 proceeded (1) 51:1 proceeding (16) 18:5:20:23:24:22: 25:4;65:15;95:14;96:21; 97:1,7,11;108:21;109:4; 114:9;115:10;131:4; 132:3 proceedings (10) 20:24;39:10,22;40:5; 49:6;65:22;94:15; 120:22;129:9;131:21 process (16) 11:20,21;15:10,23; 25:10,20;26:3;30:5,6,12; 31:2;33:15;36:5,13; 62:10;119:22 procurement (2) 62:14,18 produce (4) 48:3,7;107:9,11 produced (4) 47:19;105:16;117:2; 120:2 producer (1) 69:15 produces (2) 50:4;92:7 producing (2) 106:5;118:16 product (1) 119:13 production (2) 31:11;104:22

products (13) 107:1.8:111:10.17.18: 116:2;117:2;119:10,13; 120:2:124:15.16.17 programs (1) 51:6 progress (1) 22:10 prohibitions (1) 45:1 project (7) 53:5;69:8;100:3,4,7; 120:6;135:21 projections (1) 47:6 projects (1) 53:1 promised (1) 139:10 proper (5) 15:13,17;21:8;110:12, 13 properly (2) 34:19;129:13 property (5) 42:16;88:16;98:16; 108:3;123:6 proposal (2) 25:21;112:10 propose (3) 33:3:34:20:115:17 proposed (4) 86:13:108:15:128:15; 135:9 propounded (1) 69:1 **Proprietary** (1) 70:16 propriety (2) 17:13,24 protect (5) 15:11:43:1:44:7; 49:12;101:2 protected (2) 16:4;42:20 protecting (2) 4:22,23 protection (3) 42:8;44:14;45:7 protective (3) 5:17;6:3;137:22 provide (10) 6:15;8:18;11:12; 12:24;24:11,11;33:5,21; 88:18;115:12 provided (16) 8:20;11:10;14:11,13; 16:2;31:21;38:7,16; 48:15,24;52:5;59:16; 61:2,15:138:7:139:1 providers (1) 46:3 provides (2)

55:2:111:11 providing (3) 6:19:15:24:138:5 provision (7) 14:21;87:1;93:1; 109:8;125:1;126:1,23 provisions (5) 99:6:109:10.22; 110:18;112:10 prudence (1) 110:22 prudent (2) 62:19.22 prudently (3) 84:1;109:18;110:5 **PSNH (74)** 24:19;28:24;29:6,8, 24;33:5;36:4;37:7;38:8; 39:8,12;40:2;42:9; 43:13;44:4;54:19,24; 55:12:57:18:58:16; 64:12.15.19:67:9:84:18: 86:13;87:13,18;92:10, 23;93:4;94:1,3;95:13; 97:5;98:11;100:14; 103:9;106:15;107:4; 108:9,10,14;109:21; 111:6,8,16;113:15; 116:2,3,6,8;117:1; 118:24;120:12,24; 121:8;123:23;124:12, 17:127:2:128:5.6: 129:13.20:131:4.23: 132:4.20:133:12:134:10. 11:136:1:139:12 **PSNH's (21)** 36:22;40:10;42:19; 45:13:67:17:69:8:85:23: 86:2,14;92:21;93:2,4; 95:13:96:8:101:13: 103:1.13:106:24:120:1: 133:19:135:2 public (9) 51:19;53:18;61:2; 70:3;83:1,4,7,14;128:14 published (1) 83:14 **PUC (6)** 4:16;7:6;100:18; 101:3;113:11;126:18 pull (1) 27:10 purchase (66) 42:11,17,20;43:5,24; 51:9;53:9;54:1,7,8;55:9, 21;56:1,5;58:15;65:2; 67:10,17,18;84:4,5,15, 21;85:11;86:18;87:6,14, 17;91:4,12;92:11;93:21; 94:4,7:95:12,17,23; 96:13,22,24;97:11,13, 14,21,23;98:1,11;99:13; 102:13;103:12,17;

107:1;117:1;119:20,22; 120:2:121:1:122:4: 129:14,16;131:6,7,8,11; 135:13.14 purchaser (1) 86:5 purchases (3) 56:20;110:8;120:13 purchasing (3) 56:16:96:10:98:17 PURPA (7) 64:17,19;65:2,11,13, 20:103:19 Purpose (7) 53:16;54:8,15;55:19; 56:6,14;64:2 purposes (4) 7:4,5;50:8;118:17 **Pursuant (6)** 4:10;14:5,21;101:11; 104:18:135:12 pursue (1) 106:18 put (8) 30:21;41:22;51:11; 58:22;88:14;112:24; 130:1;131:11 putting (2) 91:21;111:13 Q Q3(1) 60:4 **QF**(4) 102:17;103:1,10,22 qualification (1) 107:13 qualified (1) 104:5 qualifies (3) 102:17:103:1.10 qualify (1) 104:23 qualifying (4) 101:10;102:2,11,18 question's (1) 55:24 quickly (3) 12:1;14:24;15:15 quite (5) 22:8;28:11;89:11; 136:12,13 quote (2) 100:18;101:10 R raise (6) 32:16;41:7;84:8,16, 19:114:13 raised (7)

36:10:43:8:45:9 raises (1) 84:14 rate (10) 65:20;84:2;110:8; 115:4;129:22;130:11, 23;131:1,11,14 ratepayers (2) 42:9;120:14 ratepayers' (1) 44:7 rates (7) 98:5;103:19;108:12, 16,20;115:3;132:19 rather (3) 6:10;49:13;58:22 rational (1) 23:7 reach (2) 20:1;36:15 reaches (2) 50:16:105:11 read (14) 34:7,23;39:19,23,24; 55:19;83:19;92:13; 94:20;98:14;121:2; 122:9,20;124:1 readily (1) 138:14 reading (6) 37:12:54:2:88:13; 123:3:135:11:136:21 ready (5) 32:11:38:3:40:13: 104:10:136:14 real (2) 88:7,8 realize (2) 67:24;124:13 really (11) 13:14.21:16:23:19:11: 41:23:54:8:86:7:94:7; 104:3;105:21;127:2 reason (4) 48:13;89:1;91:3;105:5 reasonable (7) 9:18;10:22;15:24; 23:8;47:5;107:5;128:17 reasonableness (3) 17:9;131:7;134:17 reasons (3) 30:16;85:22;93:24 rebuttal (28) 15:20;17:13;18:14,15; 19:11,12,15;37:7;38:6,9; 39:7,13,15;40:2,11,22; 42:15;43:12;44:16; 52:24;54:21;57:2,22; 59:5,14;63:7;68:14; 135:20 **REC (5)** 48:9:66:11,16:105:3,3 recall (18)

42:5;45:10,11;47:7, 11:48:5.22:53:2:64:10. 13,19;66:1;67:10,14,15; 69:9:117:10:122:4 recalling (1) 64:16 receipt (4) 4:16;6:13,17;20:11 receive (3) 10:7;116:5;124:20 received (6) 13:9:31:23:107:4; 108:9;116:14,19 receives (1) 116:4 recent (2) 21:14;59:17 recently (3) 59:13;62:24;65:15 recess (9) 23:14,16:36:18:37:15, 17;136:22;137:1;139:8, 24 recognize (3) 9:18;97:9;105:23 recognized (1) 105:20 recognizing (1) 35:23 recollection (1) 99:4 reconciliation (2) 62:15:110:24 reconciliations (1) 110:9 reconsider (4) 13:17:15:16:24:21; 36:1 record (23) 17:2;18:24;30:21; 35:20:38:3.24:39:1.3: 49:19;51:19;58:23;70:3, 11;83:4,7;96:4;115:7, 18;124:1;125:17; 126:11;127:11;132:16 recorded (1) 87:24 recording (1) 92:15 recover (1) 62:19 recovered (1) 108:16 recovery (8) 108:12,17,20;109:5, 16;114:10,23;120:13 **RECs (22)** 47:18,19;48:24;49:22; 50:3,7,13,17,21;51:9,10, 11,15;55:8,17;56:3,16; 66:1,19;67:5;107:9,11 red (3) 21:15,16;24:16

5:3;16:17,23;34:3;

redacted (1) 49:14 red-lined (2) 36:22:38:8 reduced (2) 94:23;118:20 reduction (10) 41:14,15;43:5;44:8,9; 58:7;68:1,4;97:4;130:19 refer (4) 41:14;43:21;57:23; 94:17 reference (6) 16:22;18:19;32:3; 34:5;40:21;122:4 referenced (3) 64:17;117:4;123:19 references (3) 13:21;17:11;121:24 referred (8) 39:13;52:24;54:16; 65:24;110:15;111:3,5; 135:20 referring (19) 8:9,10;28:17;43:23; 52:21;57:3;66:4,4,7; 96:19;105:19;111:7; 119:12,12;123:8; 126:12;127:1;129:24; 136:3 refers (2) 17:7:122:5 reflect (4) 68:22,23;125:8; 133:15 reflects (1) 52:1 refusal (17) 121:1;122:21;123:13, 17,19,23;129:11,14; 130:21;131:5,23; 132:13;133:13;134:1, 16;135:3,9 regard (4) 67:18;84:14;103:2; 137:4 regarding (4) 19:2,5;131:23;139:21 regardless (2) 93:1;114:20 registered (2) 91:14,15 registry (2) 88:1;91:14 regulated (6) 62:8,11;83:20,22; 131:10,19 regulation (3) 57:9;83:12;131:19 regulations (2) 66:15;110:2 regulators (1) 105:22

Regulatory (5) 65:14,19;84:9;101:21; 102:9 reimburse (1) 109:23 relate (1) 95:2 related (13) 10:10;28:2;48:1,24; 59:2:60:13:63:3.6; 126:8;127:17;128:7; 131:8:134:18 relates (2) 31:17;103:16 relationship (4) 87:3;90:21;119:2; 123:17 relative (1) 136:6 release (3) 18:4:30:22:31:3 relevant (1) 104:20 reliance (1) 18:16 relied (3) 18:3;37:8;138:4 relief (2) 131:4;134:14 relies (1) 138:3 relv (1) 17:19 relying (1) 17:7 remain (1) 60:23 remedies (2) 106:19;109:13 remember (1) 7:9 Renewable (10) 45:23;53:1,19;55:1,2; 104:21;111:18;116:2; 124:17,19 renewables (3) 55:20;56:2,23 **Reopening** (1) 4:3 repetitive (2) 13:7:108:24 replace (1) 39:14 replaced (1) 39:9 replacement (3) 37:6,10;38:13 reporter (7) 36:21;38:14;86:9; 113:6;117:11;121:15; 136:20 reports (3) 4:14;83:14;138:1

represent (1) 31:22 representation (1) 25:16 represented (1) 20:4 representing (1) 11:23 represents (1) 132:5 reproduction (1) 27:12 request (27) 4:6;14:12,22;15:16; 16:6,7,20;18:4;19:4,15; 24:17;37:8;39:8;59:19; 65:1,12,17;89:16;115:7; 124:1;125:18;126:11; 127:11;131:3;132:16, 20;134:13 requested (2) 137:7.12 requesting (1) 97:20 requests (2) 16:20;89:4 require (9) 53:22;54:10;58:7; 86:6;125:13;126:1,11; 127:11,21 required (4) 92:7:113:22:114:17: 121:11 requirement (6) 46:15,16,20;66:16; 67:4;112:19 requirements (6) 44:24;45:1;46:16; 47:6;55:12;104:24 requires (1) 70:4 resellable (1) 66:1 reserve (3) 115:15;124:9;134:9 reserved (3) 124:12;128:6;134:11 reside (1) 6:9 residence (1) 6:10 resolution (1) 119:22 resolved (1) 137:3 resource (1) 104:23 resources (1) 55:3 respect (7) 4:5;30:13;33:7;41:13; 45:9;89:16;125:22 respectfully (1)

15:9 respond (3) 14:3;125:18;139:20 responding (1) 14:24 response (29) 9:22;11:5;18:18; 28:14:39:8:42:1:47:10, 11;49:21;58:8;59:19,21, 24;61:2,5,10,11;65:18; 68:24;69:1;88:18;89:3, 23;110:20;120:5;128:1; 133:5;134:10;138:2 responses (1) 18:14 responsibility (1) 98:15 responsible (2) 99:3,16 restated (1) 133:19 restructured (1) 84:7 restructuring (2) 44:24;45:1 result (5) 33:17;56:24;85:4; 120:3:135:3 resulting (3) 116:2;125:3,24 resume (1) 37:15 resumed (1) 37:18 resumes (1) 83:1 retained (2) 16:22;19:8 return (6) 9:4:10:11:83:23:84:1, 2;98:6 returning (1) 17:23 revenues (1) 116:17 review (31) 4:6;5:15,23;10:17; 11:9,19;12:9;20:5;21:8; 28:3;43:18;51:21;62:21; 95:20;97:6;109:3,7,12, 17;110:10,11,22;112:17, 20:115:9:125:14: 128:14,17,23;131:6; 132:2 reviewable (1) 23:22 reviewed (1) 62:15 reviewing (3) 111:21:113:14:137:13 reviews (2) 49:17:54:4 revised (2)

35:16:40:10 revision (3) 33:19:94:4:139:11 revisions (2) 35:11:139:16 revolves (1) 25:12 Rick (1) 105:19 ridiculous (1) 13:22 right (54) 11:15;13:4;20:21; 24:24;26:23;34:15; 36:17;41:1;42:17;46:4, 15;47:19;50:4;53:15; 54:1,19;57:15,17;61:7, 21;63:15,16;68:18; 87:11;90:7;92:21;96:11; 103:15;105:11;112:11; 113:1;121:1;122:18,21; 123:12,16,18,23;124:3; 125:5;126:17;127:10; 129:11,14;130:21;131:5, 23,24;132:12;133:12; 134:1,15;135:2,9 right-hand (1) 61:17 rights (13) 15:11:30:6:93:2.4: 121:7,9,13,18;122:16; 127:9:128:16:131:24: 133:24 rigidity (1) 94:2 rise (1) 50:16 risk (3) 84:9:112:3:136:1 risks (2) 135:23;136:3 risk-sharing (1) 51:13 risky (1) 135:22 role (3) 111:20;113:13;115:5 Roman (1) 34:4 **room** (6) 12:19:15:7:17:23; 33:8;69:22;70:1 **RPS** (15) 44:23;45:10,13;46:18; 47:6;50:13,17;51:5; 52:19;53:4;55:13,23; 66:14;68:7;105:1 **RSA (4)** 52:21;53:11;102:13; 112:23 Rule (2) 14:6:20:6 rules (4)

	1
4:16;5:18;14:21;16:3 <b>ruling (1)</b>	59:23;61:15;70:8;129:8; 138:24,24
8:12	secondly (2)
run (1)	17:21;137:16
89:13	Section (35)
	13:18;34:20;35:8,9;
S	45:20,22;46:6;49:21;
	53:8,17;54:1,13;99:13,
colo ( <b>5</b> )	13;100:11;101:7;104:8,
sale (5)	
92:18;122:14;135:3,4,	13,14,18;108:17;111:4,
12	7,23;112:4;113:12,13;
sale/lease-back (6)	119:4,11;121:2,23;
92:24;93:2,3,5,8,12	127:1,14;128:21;129:24
same (9)	seeing (2)
16:6;17:5;20:10;23:3,	29:23;92:6
3;33:6;132:10;134:19;	seek (9)
138:18	30:22;42:8;58:22;
San (1)	94:3;95:20;112:20;
17:4	120:12;126:17;130:22
Sansoucy (22)	seeking (2)
7:14;8:7,10;9:10;	64:18;107:13
16:13,22;18:6;19:1,8;	seem (3)
20:16,21;21:10,15,20;	28:11,15,18
25:5;29:13,17;30:2,11;	seems (3)
31:22;36:15;138:22	19:24;50:6;54:14
Sansoucy's (10)	sell (8)
6:8;7:2;13:20;15:19;	50:20;51:8;117:1;
18:20;24:12;25:23;	121:3,6;123:1,5;129:12
28:10;31:1,21	seller (25)
satisfaction (2)	93:10;104:14;107:5;
107:2,5	111:9,16;116:1,3,4,5,8,
satisfactory (1)	24;121:3,5,23,24,24;
33:13	122:6,13,17;123:3,15,
satisfy (1)	17,22;127:2;129:12
66:15	seller-required (2)
saying (7)	100:15,16
36:10;56:18;102:1;	seller's (2)
106:24;114:14;116:13;	100:19,22
134:6	send (1)
scan (1)	26:15
26:14	sending (1)
scattered (1)	12:13
7:2	sense (1)
scenario (1)	60:22
132:10	sensitive (1)
scenarios (1)	22:1
42:2	sent (1)
scheduled (1)	7:7
25:23	sentence (4)
Schiller (16)	39:24;46:1;53:17;
50:4,7,13,16,19,20,20;	54:15
51:6,9,14;60:11,20;	separate (3)
62:11;63:3;68:20;105:9	12:22;70:14;109:4
Schiller's (1)	separately (1)
59:9	33:12
seasonal (1)	September (2)
117:15	118:4,19
seasonality (1)	serve (1)
118:11	87:2
seasons (1)	service (8)
117:22	
	61:6;62:15;69:8,11;
second (9)	85:23;86:2,3,14
27:16;29:2;54:2;	session (9)
	i de la companya de l

4:7:9:10:15:3:33:18; 38:1:41:10:51:24:62:5: 70:6 set (7) 40:24;47:11;61:11; 89:4;102:12;119:24; 122:17 setting (2) 101:19;115:3 settlement (2) 50:15,24 several (2) 6:11;13:13 severely (1) 7:15 shall (14) 5:23;46:3;94:22; 101:9;104:14,15; 115:13;116:24;117:1; 121:5;122:13,16; 126:15;128:3 shape (1) 45:5 shared (2) 18:7,10 short (2) 12:13;102:17 2 short-term (1) 56:20 show (5) 11:14:15:19:27:9; 28:16:99:10 shown (1) 93:13 shows (4) 47:15;68:17;93:14; 122:10 Shulock (41) 6:11;8:18;9:22;11:4,6, 7;12:15;13:3;15:6; 16:24;17:16,17,18;19:1, 24:21:5:23:1,15:25:8, 18;26:1,4,11,21;27:5,7; 28:17;31:14,15;32:6; 42:2;47:4;62:9;64:11, 17;67:12;111:13;137:2, 3,11;138:19 Shulock's (5) 6:24;7:22;9:22;17:4; 20:10 side (1) 52:6 sign (3) 6:12;91:11;137:24 signature (2) 10:3;91:13 signed (3) 93:22;94:23;137:23 significant (1) 105:21 significantly (1) 51:7 signing (1)

84:21 simple (3) 66:18;88:21;92:17 Simply (2) 64:3;130:1 sit (3) 11:17;15:6;129:8 site (21) 60:14;88:3,6,11,12,21, 22;90:8,8;91:5;92:12,14, 16,18;93:8,18;98:13; 100:23;107:14;121:8,10 sitting (2) 12:20;22:14 situation (5) 9:19;30:16;68:2; 113:21;138:23 six (4) 7:1;11:22;12:22;19:23 size (4) 48:11,11,18;119:15 slash (1) 92:19 slow (2) 15:14;36:5 slowing (1) 15:10 small (2) 105:18,20 smaller (1) 8:19 so-called (1) 84:13 sold (2) 66:9:99:14 sole (4) 88:21:92:17:94:1; 108:11 solution (8) 9:7,18:10:22:12:12; 22:24;23:8;57:7,13 Solutions (4) 5:10;8:6,23;18:16 Somebody (1) 57:19 somehow (2) 7:21;17:24 someone (3) 67:17;89:2;120:20 sometime (2) 97:3:139:18 sometimes (2) 105:6,13 somewhat (1) 65:6 somewhere (5) 26:24;39:4,21;47:2; 130:3 soon (1) 33:22 sooner (1) 92:9 sorry (9)

8:3:18:8:29:15:54:12: 57:22:61:9:62:24: 103:24:133:16 sort (5) 28:14;62:10;112:17; 132:18,20 sought (3) 18:24:44:14:49:11 sounded (1) 5:15 sounds (1) 49:16 source (3) 84:15;104:16,24 speak (5) 7:8;16:24;27:14; 29:15;137:10 specific (6) 25:21;31:12;107:18, 19,21;126:8 specifically (7) 18:9;19:14;28:13; 29:15;49:1;119:7; 127:13 specification (1) 106:6 specified (2) 46:2;119:7 specify (1) 46:3 speed (2) 15:14:36:13 split (1) 85:15 stab (1) 49:12 stability (1) 40:2 **Staff** (13) 6:16,16;9:3;10:23; 23:6,10;47:11;59:21; 89:3;128:20;133:3; 137:24;138:17 Staff's (2) 61:11;115:16 stand (3) 102:4;115:19;124:2 standard (6) 117:6,8,19;128:14,17, 18 Standards (1) 45:23 standing (1) 98:23 standpoint (2) 26:19;31:10 stands (2) 11:8;48:16 start (10) 19:19:40:1:103:3; 105:6,7,9,10,14,15; 114:5 starting (4)

44:16:54:23:57:23; 68:18 starts (1) 46:10 state (11) 7:2;10:19;23:21; 43:13;56:17;57:6;59:9: 63:14:102:12:104:4; 109:14 stated (1) 58:13 states (9) 34:5;84:5;100:16; 102:10;116:23;117:5; 118:23;125:2;126:12 state's (1) 55:1 states' (1) 51:5 Station (1) 60:20 status (7) 5:6;68:7;101:10,12, 14;103:22;107:10 statute (6) 45:16,18;55:1,15; 56:6;57:1 statutory (2) 126:22;127:16 stays (1) 46:23 steady-state (1) 117:13 Steam (4) 36:24;37:9;68:24;69:4 step (2) 30:5.6 stepping (1) 14:9 steps (1) 30:21 still (10) 13:9;23:18;25:20; 51:8;52:19;70:3;92:10; 105:16;106:1;137:5 stimulate (1) 53:18 stock (2) 121:19;135:4 straight (1) 4:4 stricken (1) 38:19 strictly (1) 126:15 strike (8) 7:15;13:19;14:23; 15:16;24:21;32:18; 35:10;36:1 strong (1) 7:10 struck (1) 34:18

structure (2) 87:11:90:24 structured (1) 45:4 studies (2) 19:7;26:6 study (1) 8:6 sub (1) 93:3 subject (12) 6:2;9:3;22:1;57:8; 65:14;69:22;92:20; 110:10,18;113:9,11; 116:23 submission (1) 59:14 submit (3) 62:12;121:5;122:24 subordinate (2) 92:21;93:4 subscriptions (1) 26:7 subsection (4) 16:3;34:5;35:9,10 subsequent (2) 92:15;115:9 subsequently (1) 120:11 subset (1) 12:10 subsidiaries (1) 90:18 substantial (1) 114:14 substitute (1) 39:12 successor (2) 42:8:99:2 Sue (1) 70:12 sufficient (3) 27:1;28:7;44:6 sufficiently (1) 42:21 suggest (3) 13:16;25:4;136:21 suggested (1) 89:17 summary (1) 14:15 summer (4) 117:8;118:1,10,17 Sunday (1) 14:23 superior (2) 42:16;98:23 supplied (2) 40:3;68:23 suppliers (2) 55:16:56:21 supporting (1) 114:22

supposed (2) 90:15:138:24 sure (26) 16:13;28:17;30:6; 31:18;49:10;54:3;63:23; 85:13;87:10;90:3;93:17; 96:2;100:24;101:3,22; 102:20:104:4:112:5; 114:17;122:19;129:3,7; 132:9:133:9:135:1; 136:3 surprised (1) 23:10 survive (2) 42:14,21 susceptible (1) 5:16 sustained (1) 105:12 Т table (8) 46:2,6,7,8,9;48:10; 59:24;60:3 talk (2) 33:12:137:6 talked (2) 68:9;109:22 talking (6) 96:17:100:4:113:21: 132:9,10,12 talks (9) 28:13:53:4:92:12: 112:4;113:3,7;121:2; 128:13;135:12 tax (7) 7:4;17:3;21:14;116:4; 125:23,24;126:7 tax-evaluated (2) 6:7,22 tech (1) 33:18 technical (6) 4:7;9:10;15:2;93:24; 94:10;95:5 technically (1) 36:4 technological (1) 27:3 technology (2) 53:19;137:19 telephone (2) 31:1,23 tells (1) 23:1temperature (2) 117:20,21 temperatures (2) 106:7,8 tempted (1) 114:19 tendering (1)

6:16 term (15) 43:6,8,11:47:6:50:15, 16.22:101:12:108:16: 109:8;119:6;126:18; 132:11.14:134:7 terminate (1) 129:18 terms (13) 10:12:20:10:23:7; 25:18,19;106:1;108:12; 110:12;116:24;117:15; 129:15;130:20;136:10 territory (4) 69:8,11,14;86:6 Terry (2) 66:6;117:9 testified (4) 41:17;54:17;69:6; 122:2 testify (1) 25:5 testifying (1) 89:24 testimonies (1) 44:18 testimony (36) 13:20;15:5,18,19,19; 17:7,13,19;18:15,15,23; 19:2,11,12,13,15;22:21; 25:23:29:9:38:6.9.10; 39:7:40:22:48:1.23: 49:2,21:57:3,6:58:14; 59:15;60:8;70:7;125:18; 135:20 Thanks (1) 124:24 there'd (1) 23:22therefore (1) 53:17 thinking (1) 119:8 third (5) 122:12,14;129:13; 135:13,14 third-party (1) 19:9 though (2) 50:6;106:10 thought (9) 7:20;44:14;67:16,16; 89:2:96:12:104:8: 120:17;132:17 Three (7) 4:14;5:12;8:5;20:15; 67:4,5;89:21 throughout (3) 7:2;52:24;101:12 time-consuming (1) 30:4 times (3) 10:5;13:13;66:9

timing (1) 26:21 tiny (1) 37:13 title (4) 44:2,2;62:1;93:20 titled (6) 45:22;60:1;83:11; 87:14;94:21;123:11 today (10) 17:22;22:10;45:13; 56:23:89:24:102:15: 136:13;137:7,12;139:16 together (6) 11:21;12:3;52:15; 88:14;91:21;102:14 tomorrow (8) 9:13;22:16;29:24; 36:15;136:23;139:1,8,18 ton (4) 59:10,12;60:23;64:3 took (2) 35:7;37:2 top (5) 60:1;61:24;87:21; 88:9;92:5 topic (1) 5:7 Total (1) 52:2 tracking (2) 41:23.24 tracks (1) 4:16 traditional (1) 57:9 transaction (1) 96:17 transactions (2) 102:7;132:7 transcript (1) 70:14 transfer (5) 116:1;122:14;133:24; 134:18;138:13 transferred (1) 122:12 transpired (1) 67:6 Traum (2) 28:1:30:3 Traum's (3) 48:22;49:2,21 travel (1) 26:24 treat (1) 84:11 treated (3) 5:21,24;83:24 treatment (10) 4:11;5:17;6:5;8:5; 10:3;14:17;16:9,19; 84:3:137:5

(16) starts - treatment

	DE 10-195 PS	SNH/LAIDLAW BERLIN	BIOPOWER	
trigger (1)	22:13	109:11;131:10,19	wait (1)	36:23
135:8	unfortunately (1)	utility's (1)	58:9	withdrew (1)
triggered (1)	14:12	84:16	waiting (1)	13:13
135:3	unilateral (1)	utilize (1)	102:6	within (5)
true (3)	127:3	22:9	waived (3)	15:7;100:20,22;105:1;
18:2;52:7;94:3	unique (1)	utilizing (1)	126:17;127:8;128:16	108:6
try (7)	58:15	50:12	walk (1)	without (8)
11:21;18:11;22:14,16;	unit (6)		62:10	67:18;88:13;92:6;
52:13;61:20;102:23	60:10,11;117:14,17,	V	warm (1)	99:4;106:10;131:6;
trying (19)	17;118:20		118:19	134:16;136:20
5:2;7:15,18;12:12;	United (1)	valid (1)	warming (1)	witness (12)
15:11,14,14;16:11;	84:4	94:23	106:6	5:1;21:23;22:18;
18:13;26:4;43:19;57:1;	unknown (3)	valuable (1)	warrants (1)	28:12;29:17;49:17;
95:15;103:8,21;104:7;	66:23;114:10,11	120:6	132:5	53:14;54:4;96:2,11;
129:5,7;138:2	unless (6)	valuating (1)	watch (1)	124:2;128:10
Tuesday (8)	12:17;46:21;90:12;	28:14	13:9	witnesses (8)
22:7,8;25:7,22,24;	94:23;130:15;131:12	valuations (1)	water (2)	22:13,18;25:5;43:18;
27:15;29:13;30:2	unlikely (1)	31:18	108:3;117:21	51:20;58:18;84:20;
turn (9)	130:14	value (24)	way (22)	139:18
30:18;45:20;47:14;	unobjected-to (1)	51:6,12,15;67:13,18,	4:21;11:17;15:24;	witness's (1)
51:12,16;59:5;61:1;	36:16	23,24;68:3,6,12;98:6;	20:1,4;22:10,19;31:11;	24:6
83:10;116:22	unquote (2)	111:10,17;113:23;	35:6;36:13;40:8;45:5;	wonderful (1)
Turning (2)	100:20;101:10	116:2;119:9,12;120:17;	49:15;51:1,3;86:10;	36:16
44:16;57:22	unstricken (1)	124:15,16,20;125:23;	102:1,14;103:11,21;	wondering (2)
two (14)	38:19	130:4;132:18	121:18;134:3	8:8;62:9
8:19;20:2,6,14;23:1;	up (26)	Vancho (1)	weather (1)	wood (31)
34:8;37:5;38:10;66:17,	4:15;15:15;23:14;	89:20	118:12	19:21;31:17,19,19;
18,20;67:3;118:13;	29:7;33:19;36:13;37:10;	various (4)	week (1)	40:3;59:10,17;60:1,14,
119:2	39:21;46:17;50:17;	6:8;25:16;67:7;97:22	31:9	16,18,20;62:7,11,22;
type (5)	63:24;66:15;85:15;	vary (3)	weeks (1)	63:3,6;64:3,8,11,18;
33:6;60:17;62:21;	102:4;105:6,7,10,14,15;	37:12;117:22,22	59:13	68:14,17,20;69:1;
125:12;128:8	106:6,6,8;123:24;	Ventyx (16)	weight (4)	105:11,17;106:2,9;
types (1)	136:23;137:10;139:11	4:13;5:9;8:6,15,17,21;	24:3,9,13,15	110:13;121:18
67:8	upfront (2)	9:1;11:13;13:3;18:7,9,	weren't (3)	wood-fired (1)
typically (4)	28:4;126:6	16;25:6;26:6;138:1,4	64:23;91:15;106:15	106:18
12:10;105:23;117:18; 118:3	<b>upon (11)</b> 37:10;38:11;48:18;	<b>verbal (1)</b> 11:5	what's (13) 26:2;38:22;39:12,15;	word (8) 38:19;54:5;57:14;
typographical (3)	107:1;125:4;129:16;	verbiage (1)	43:22;49:15;61:12,15;	61:8;105:5,13;107:21;
34:3,7;48:5	137:22;138:3,3,4;139:16	9:24	120:8;133:8;138:6,22;	118:7
54.5,7,48.5	upper (1)	9.24 version (7)	120.8,155.8,158.0,22, 139:11	words (9)
U	61:17	36:22;38:8;39:7;	whereas (1)	39:6,8,9;57:15;83:24;
	urge (1)	49:14;51:21;61:16;	118:16	93:6;121:4;129:17;
ultimate (1)	24:16	138:24	WHEREUPON (3)	135:5
17:8	use (14)	versus (2)	37:17;69:24;140:2	work (11)
under (28)	10:7;11:8;20:22;43:4;	42:24;65:17	whole (6)	5:2;11:19;12:16,18,
5:18;11:10;16:3,3;	50:21;67:3;104:15;	view (5)	6:21;39:23;88:13,14;	19,20;23:15;26:16,19;
43:3;50:11;64:7,19;	105:6,10,12;108:3;	46:22;50:6,19;51:8;	122:20;124:1	102:14;139:5
65:2,11,13,20;66:14;	118:7;137:18,19	107:22	wholesale (1)	worked (3)
70:14;92:18;101:13;	used (8)	violate (1)	102:7	6:18;87:6;114:4
106:18;110:15;112:23;	4:18;50:17;64:6;	7:8	who's (1)	works (3)
113:16;115:24;119:9;	66:11;104:18;105:15;	violation (2)	69:21	26:21;27:5;123:19
121:23;124:20;125:1,	106:12;107:22	7:9,24	whose (1)	world (1)
14;129:14;134:1	useful (2)	vital (1)	69:11	7:7
underlying (1)	13:14,15	4:24	wide (1)	worth (1)
35:11	using (5)	void (1)	108:4	42:4
understood (5)	40:3;102:23;106:1;	91:16	willing (6)	writing (3)
17:18;32:2;35:14;	108:16;137:17	voluntary (1)	6:12;12:18;15:1,2;	94:23;124:8;127:24
96:3;108:7	usually (1)	54:18	58:11;114:15	written (3)
undertake (1)	105:18	vote (1)	Wind (2)	83:12;121:5;128:1
4:6	utilities (2)	70:4	58:1;69:11	
unfair (1)	55:16;84:10		winter (5)	Y
30:11	utility (7)	W	117:7,23,24;118:4,9	
unfortunate (1)	57:8,16;83:14;84:12;		withdrawal (1)	yanked (1)
	1	1	1	1

DE 10-195 PSNH/LAIDLAW BERLIN BIOPOWER				
7:14 <b>year (17)</b> 46:1,7,13;48:17,19,19,				
21;52:2;66:19;67:3,3,3, 5,5;111:1;117:22;118:5 years (11)				
28:12;66:17,18,20; 96:10,18,24;97:2,15,19; 112:13				
<b>year's (1)</b> 66:16				
yesterday (23) 4:12;5:14,24;16:7; 17:19;22:6;30:20;31:5; 32:16;35:7;41:17;42:1; 45:12;47:1,4,21,24; 64:10;65:24;67:7;68:10; 69:6;85:21				
Z				
<b>zero (3)</b> 44:12;46:23;97:5				